Adams County Legal Journal

Vol. 54 November 2, 2012 No. 25, pp. 185-194

IN THIS ISSUE

PHILLIPS ET AL VS. GETTYSBURG HOSPITAL ET AL

This opinion is continued from the last issue (October 26, 2012).



Trust and investment services from a bank with a long history of trust.

For more information or a free consultation, please call 717.339.5062.



Securities and Insurance Products are: Not FDIC Insured • May Lose Value • Not Bank Guaranteed • Not a Deposit • Not Insured by Any Federal Government Entity

ADAMS COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL (USPS 542-600)

Designated for the Publication of Court and other Legal Notices. Published weekly by Adams County Bar Association, John W. Phillips, Esq., Editor and Business Manager.

Business Office - 117 BALTIMORE ST RM 305 GETTYSBURG PA 17325-2313. Telephone: (717) 334-1553

Copyright© 1959 by Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., for Adams County Bar Association, Gettysburg, PA 17325.

All rights reserved.

CHANGE OF NAME NOTICE CAPTION 2012-S-1493

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on October 16, 2012, the Petition of Benjamin J. Codori and Lisa Schydlowski-Codori, natural parents of and on behalf of Kailyn Isabella Schydlowski, was filed in the above-captioned court petition requesting an order to change the name of Kailyn Isabella Schydlowski, a minor child, to Kailyn Isabella Codori.

The Court fixed the day of December 7, 2012, at 8:30 a.m., in Courtroom number 4, third floor of the Adams County Courthouse, 111 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, Pennsylvania, as the time, place, and date for the hearing on said petition, when and where all interested parties may appear and show cause, if any, why the request of the petitioners, on behalf of the minor child, should not be granted.

11/2

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

CIVIL ACTION—LAW
CIVIL DIVISION
NO. 2010 CV 15360 CV
NOTICE OF COMPLAINT

THE CINCINNATI INSURANCE COMPANY as subrogee of TRANSCORPS ENTERPRISES, INC., Plaintiffs

vs.

CRAIG A. DUBS, et al, Defendants

NOTICE

TO: CRAIG A. DUBS

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that on December 3, 2010, Plaintiff, Cincinnati Insurance Company as subrogee of Transcorps Enterprises, Inc., filed a Complaint enclosed with a Notice to Defend, against you in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, docketed to No. 2010 CV 15360 CV which was reissued on October 11, 2012. Wherein, Plaintiffs seek to recover property damages to a tractor-trailer occurring on or about December 8, 2008.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED to plead to the above referenced Complaint on or before twenty (20) days from the date of this publication or a Judgment will be entered against you.

NOTICE

IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND, you must enter a written appearance personally or by attorney and file your defense or objections in writing with the court. You are warned that if you fail to do so, the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you without further notice for the relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS NOTICE TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

DAUPHIN COUNTY LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE 213 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 717-232-7536

11/2

SHERIFF SALES

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale on FRIDAY, the 16th day of November 2012, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon at the 4th floor Jury Assembly room in the Adams County Court House, 111 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, PA, the following real estate. viz.:

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001388
Property Address: 660 Shrivers Corner Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325-8133
Parcel No.: 38-G09-0036-000
Municipality: Straban
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Mark D. Webb, in his capacity as Executor and Devisee of the Estate of Robert W. Edling
Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000289 Property Address: 170 East York Street,

Biglerville, PA 17307-9425 Parcel No.: 05004-0022---000 Municipality: Biglerville Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Kenneth W. King, Merline King, and Denise Engelberg Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000513

Road, Biglerville, PA 17307-9310 Parcel No.: 29D07-0011---000 Municipality: Menallen Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Brian C. Weigle Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Property Address: 210 Upper Temple

Notice directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than thirty (30) days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER, SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

James Muller Sheriff of Adams County

http://www.sheriffofadamscounty.com/sheriffsales.html

10/19, 26 & 11/2

Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

PHILLIPS ET AL VS. GETTYSBURG HOSPITAL ET AL

Continued from last issue (10/26/2012)

MOTION IN LIMINE FILED ON BEHALF OF GETTYSBURG HOSPITAL

Hospital has filed a Motion in Limine challenging various aspects of Plaintiffs' anticipated trial evidence. As Plaintiffs correctly note, in some instances, it is impossible to address evidentiary issues until the specific nature of the proffered evidence, and the context in which it is presented, is known at trial. However, Pennsylvania law recognizes a trial court may rule upon the admissibility of evidence before the evidence has been offered or heard. *Delpopolo v. Nemetz*, 710 A.2d 92, 94 (Pa. Super. 1998). In such instance, the trial court is permitted to make rulings on the evidence prior to trial in order to expedite the trial and assist in producing just determinations. Moreover, a ruling on a pretrial motion in limine provides counsel with a basis upon which to structure trial strategy. Accordingly, to the extent Hospital's motion is specific in its requests, it shall be addressed.

Hospital initially seeks to preclude several opinions of Plaintiffs' hospital administration expert, Gary Steinberg, F.A.C.H.E., on the basis that the opinions go beyond the liability theories alleged by Plaintiffs in their Complaint. Hospital argues that Plaintiffs' corporate negligence claim, as set forth in the Complaint, identifies three separate theories: (1) failure to have available the appropriate diagnostic testing tools, surgical equipment, supplies, and facilities necessary for Sova's proper care; (2) failure to have available proper transportation for patients with Sova's condition; and (3) failure to have policy and procedure in place sufficient to advise the treating surgeon of important medical information prior to surgery. Hospital argues that the following conclusions reached by Steinberg go beyond these allegations: (1) Hospital lacked a quality assurance

⁵ As discussed above, the final theory of corporate negligence in Plaintiffs' Complaint is inartfully drafted and subject to varying interpretations. Plaintiffs' claim, however, that Hospital's characterization of this paragraph as one alleging negligence on the part of the nurse who received the information is incorrect. Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Hospital's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, pg. 11. Indeed, Plaintiffs clarify this allegation as a failure on the part of Hospital "to have adequate procedures in place to ensure the communication of vital information to the [treating physician]." *Id.*, pg. 10. Since Plaintiffs are not pursuing a vicarious liability claim against Hospital for the nurse's alleged negligence, the only possible corporate negligence theory available to Plaintiffs must rely on Hospital's duty to oversee persons within its employ or to formulate, adopt, and enforce adequate rules and policies to ensure the quality of care for its patients. See *Thompson*, 591 A.2d at 707.

plan requiring a definitive diagnosis within a reasonable amount of time; (2) Hospital lacked a policy requiring a written consultation report from all consulting specialists to be made part of the medical record; and (3) Hospital failed to provide administrative oversight to ensure only low-risk catheterization procedures were conducted at Hospital. Additionally, Hospital seeks to strike Steinberg's opinion of Hospital's alleged administrative failure in failing to meet with Sova's family to discuss the family's complaints. Finally, Hospital seeks to preclude Steinberg's opinion that serious ethical questions exist surrounding the decision to transport Sova to York Hospital as she was already deceased at the time of transport.

Plaintiffs argue Hospital's motion misstates allegations in their Complaint and the substance of Steinberg's opinion. Plaintiffs counter that, when read properly, the Complaint fully covers the administrative errors pointed out by Steinberg.

In resolving this issue, I note Plaintiffs' Complaint, with the exception of the paragraph discussed in footnotes 3 and 5, clearly and succinctly sets forth the theories for liability. As the statute of limitations has now expired, any effort to allege new or distinct theories through creative interpretation, or otherwise, is improper as an expert may not provide a new theory not mentioned in the complaint. *Reynolds v. Thomas Jefferson University Hospital*, 676 A.2d 1205, 1213 (Pa. Super. 1996).

Turning to Steinberg's report, it clearly and numerically identifies the topics cited by Hospital as "administrative failures that fell below the standard of care in Ms. Sova's case ..." Steinberg Opinion, September 8, 2011, pg. 4. Reading the opinions in the context of the allegations of the Complaint reveals Hospital's objections are well placed. There is a paucity of any specific reference in the Complaint to the alleged policy failures in neglecting to have a quality assurance plan for definitive diagnosis or requiring written consultation reports. Similarly, the Complaint does not include any reference to Hospital's alleged failure to have in place administrative oversight ensuring that only low-risk catheterizations are performed at the facility. Moreover, the Complaint lacks any reference to policy failure on the part of Hospital in not requiring a physician to meet with family members or, indeed, any duty to do so. Finally, ethical concerns over Hospital's attempt to transport Sova to York Hospital are irrelevant and beyond

the scope of the Complaint.⁶ Accordingly, Hospital's motion to preclude Steinberg from offering opinion concerning subjects identified in paragraphs 1, 2, 6, 7, and 8 of his September 8, 2011 letter is granted.

Hospital next seeks to strike Steinberg's opinion as it relates to the standard of care of the nurse involved in the alleged lack of report of critical information to the treating surgeon. Plaintiffs concede Steinberg is not qualified to render an opinion as an expert on the standard of care for nursing staff, but they oppose the motion on the grounds that Steinberg's opinion properly refers to failure of Hospital policy.

This issue does not raise a legal dispute, but rather a dispute as to the interpretation of Steinberg's report. A cursory perusal of the report, however, reveals that both parties' points are valid. As discussed previously, Steinberg's report can broadly be read as suggesting that Hospital is negligent in failing to have established policy which requires an attending nurse to inform the surgeon of the type of development alleged by Plaintiffs. On the other hand, in a supplemental report, Steinberg clearly implies a breach of care on the part of the attending nurse. As Steinberg is not qualified to render such an opinion, he is precluded at trial from offering any opinion concerning the nurse's deviation from the standard of care. Moreover, in light of the allegations in the Complaint, the same is irrelevant. On the other

⁶ As mentioned in discussion concerning Hospital's summary judgment motion, Plaintiffs allege liability on the theory of lack of appropriate transportation resources, yet are unable to establish how the alleged negligence caused harm to Sova. As summary judgment has already been granted on this claim in favor of Hospital, ethical issues surrounding transport of a person in Sova's condition are both irrelevant and potentially prejudicial.

⁷ The Complaint does not allege any cause of action against the nurse for negligence. Although the Court reads Plaintiffs' Complaint broadly to include a claim that Hospital failed to properly oversee the nurse at issue, this Court is unaware of expert testimony sufficient to present that issue to the jury, as none of the expert reports tendered to the Court include such an opinion. It is important to recognize that a single act of negligence on the part of Hospital staff does not trigger a claim for improper supervision. *Edwards v. Brandywine Hospital, et al.*, 652 A.2d 1382, 1386-87 (Pa. Super. 1995) ("The *Thompson* theory of corporate liability will not be triggered every time something goes wrong in a hospital which harms a patient ... To establish corporate negligence, a plaintiff must show more than an act of negligence by an individual for whom the hospital is responsible ... *Thompson* contemplates a kind of systemic negligence, such as where a hospital knows that one of its staff physicians is incompetent but lets that physician practice medicine anyway ... *Thompson* does not propound a theory of strict liability.").

hand, if it is Steinberg's opinion that the Hospital was negligent in failing to have appropriate policy in place to ensure appropriate information is relayed to a surgeon prior to surgery, he is properly qualified to provide such an opinion.

Hospital also seeks to preclude opinion testimony of Sova's mother, Lillian Phillips. Phillips opined during deposition concerning negligence on the part of the several Defendants in failing to conduct diagnostic tests and properly obtain informed consent from Sova. Apparently, Phillips' opinions are partially based upon her experience as a licensed practical nurse. Plaintiffs concede Phillips is not being offered as an expert, nor has an expert report concerning her testimony been provided in compliance with the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. In light of Plaintiffs' concession that she will not be tendered to offer expert testimony at trial, her opinions as to the propriety of the diagnostic tests conducted by Defendants or the sufficiency of informed consent discussions or procedures are not admissible at trial.

Hospital next seeks to limit the testimony of Plaintiffs' experts alleging the same to be cumulative. Hospital cites four separate areas where Plaintiffs' experts' testimony may overlap: (1) Dr. Kamsler's prescription of flecainide to Sova; (2) Dr. Martin's performance of the catheterization at Hospital rather than a hospital with "high-risk" facilities; (3) the staff nurse's negligence in failing to advise the treating surgeon of relevant information; and (4) Dr. Martin's alleged failure to obtain proper informed consent. In light of the discussion above, the overlapping testimony regarding the staff nurse's alleged negligence is irrelevant. Accordingly, only the remaining three claims of cumulative testimony will be further addressed.

Undoubtedly, Pennsylvania Rule of Evidence 401 permits a trial court to limit the "needless presentation of cumulative evidence." However, even if evidence is cumulative, the trial court may properly permit additional evidence on a contentious point central to the case. See e.g., *Land v. The Salvation Army*, 783 A.2d 775, 778 (Pa. Super. 2001) (affirming order granting new trial where the exclusion of testimony as cumulative involved testimony on a matter of consequence). As the testimony at issue is not overly burdensome, and relates to key issues in dispute, this Court will not unnecessarily limit Plaintiffs' presentation of evidence. Accordingly, Hospital's Motion in Limine on this basis is denied.

Hospital's Motion in Limine further seeks to limit Steinberg's opinion on the issue of informed consent. Steinberg, proffered as an expert in hospital administration, opines that appropriate pre-surgery informed consent was not provided to Sova. Hospital objects to this testimony, challenging Steinberg's qualifications to offer an opinion on whether proper informed consent was obtained for the cardiac catheterization procedure. Hospital notes that Steinberg is not a licensed physician in the same medical subspecialty which is at issue in this cause of action.

Plaintiffs concede Steinberg is not being offered to address the standard of cardiac care or the medical care provided by the treating surgeon. Rather, Plaintiffs argue Steinberg's opinion addresses Hospital's written policies and procedures.

Pennsylvania law is clear in holding that the duty placed on a physician to obtain informed consent prior to surgery is non-delegable. Penea v. Isdaner, 773 A.2d 782, 796 (Pa. Super. 2001), affirmed 812 A.2d 566 (Pa. 2002), appeal denied 841 A.2d 532 (Pa. 2003). Consent is informed if a doctor advises a patient of the material facts, risks, complications, and alternatives to surgery sufficient to give the patient "a true understanding of the nature of the operation to be performed, the seriousness of it, the organs of the body involved, the disease or incapacity sought to be cured, and the possible results." Valles v. Albert Einstein Medical Center, 805 A.2d 1232, 1237 (Pa. 2002). In establishing a lack of informed consent, the patient bears the burden of producing expert testimony to identify the risks of a procedure, the alternatives to the procedure, and the risks of the alternatives. *Pollock* v. Feinstein, 917 A.2d 875, 878-79 (Pa. Super. 2007) (citations omitted). Importantly, a person is incompetent to offer an expert medical opinion on this subject unless the person possesses sufficient education, training, knowledge, and experience. 40 P.S. § 1303.512(a).

Applying the instruction set forth above, Plaintiffs' concession that Steinberg is not qualified to address the medical care provided by the treating surgeon is appropriate. Steinberg does not possess a medical degree and has never practiced as a physician or provided direct medical care. Moreover, he is not a board certified cardiologist and has never performed a cardiac catheterization.

Plaintiffs' effort to preserve this testimony by claiming the same relates to Hospital's policies and procedures is meritless. As exhaustively discussed hereinabove, Plaintiffs' Complaint has not properly alleged a cause of action for corporate negligence against Hospital based upon a lack of policy relating to informed consent. As such, the testimony is irrelevant, prejudicial, and will be precluded at trial.⁸

The next subject of Hospital's Motion in Limine relates to the potential testimony of Plaintiffs' private investigator, Charles Tuer. Hospital takes issue with several conclusions reached by the investigator relating to standards of care for the respective Defendants. Plaintiffs, without speaking to the merits of the objection, acknowledge Tuer is not offered as a medical expert and will not be expressing opinions concerning standard of care or treatment provided by the respective Defendants. As it appears that there is no dispute concerning the parameters of Tuer's trial testimony, ruling on the specifics of that testimony will be reserved for trial. Nevertheless, all parties should be aware that Tuer, as any other lay witness, will be limited to providing factual testimony unpolluted by improper opinion.

Hospital also objects to Tuer's anticipated testimony on the basis that it includes hearsay. Specifically, Hospital cites portions of Tuer's testimony referencing conversations with a nonparty. Plaintiffs counter this objection is premature as Tuer's trial testimony has not yet been presented and pretrial exclusion of testimony would therefore be speculative. I agree.

In addressing this issue, it is important to keep in mind that all counsel, while representing the respective interests of their parties, are officers of the court. *Commonwealth v. Stevenson*, 393 A.2d 386, 390 (Pa. 1978) (citations omitted). As such, counsel may not properly assert an issue which is frivolous and lacks any reasonable support in law. Pennsylvania Rule of Professional Conduct, 3.1. This Court will not, pretrial, presume counsel would violate this duty. The rules of hearsay are well defined and have been thoroughly interpreted by the Pennsylvania Rules of Evidence and comprehensive appellate authority. As such, while it is not unusual for counsel to

⁸ In his opinion, Steinberg questions whether informed consent was ever provided to Sova as the authorization form, purportedly carrying the signature of Sova, includes a date and time of execution subsequent to the commencement of surgery. Steinberg's opinion on this subject is irrelevant as it is a factual conclusion which is in the realm of ordinary knowledge and does not require expertise in a particular field. *Trach v. Fellin*, 817 A.2d 1102, 1114 (Pa. Super. 2003) (en banc) ("expert testimony is only required where the knowledge is beyond that possessed by a layperson ...").

inadvertently, or in good faith, make effort to introduce inadmissible evidence, repeated efforts to place clearly improper hearsay testimony before a jury will not be tolerated by this Court. As the Court is currently satisfied that all counsel in this action are both competent and experienced, further discussion of this issue is not necessary. Hospital's PreTrial Motion in Limine is reserved until the trial testimony of Tuer is presented.

Finally, Hospital objects to a portion of Plaintiffs' medical expert's opinion which Hospital interprets to refer to attending physicians not named as Defendants in the Complaint. This issue is moot as Plaintiffs clarify the reference in the report to be Dr. Kamsler who is a named Defendant. Subject to that clarification, the motion is otherwise denied.

MOTION IN LIMINE FILED ON BEHALF OF DAVID KAMSLER, M.D. AND GETTYSBURG INTERNAL MEDICINE ASSOCIATES, INC.

Dr. Kamsler seeks to preclude witness testimony regarding the grief and suffering experienced by Sova's family members following her death. Kamsler argues Pennsylvania's Wrongful Death Act, 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 8301, does not allow compensation for emotional pain or the grief and mental suffering endured by family members following the death of an individual. Kamsler's challenge questions the relevancy of evidence describing the survivors' grief and suffering. Plaintiffs counter that the Wrongful Death Act permits recovery not only for the value of services lost, but also the society and comfort lost as a result of the death. They argue witness testimony relating to the depth of the grief experienced is necessary to inform the fact-finder of the extent of the loss of companionship and comfort occasioned by the unexpected death.

It is beyond reproach that relevant evidence is defined as "evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence." Pa. R. E. 401. Resolution of this issue as to the relevancy of the subject evidence therefore must begin with an identification of proper damages under the Wrongful Death Act.

A wrongful death action is not intended to compensate the decedent; rather, it compensates the survivors for damages they sustained as a result of the decedent's death. *Machado v. Kunkel*, 804 A.2d 1238, 1246 (Pa. Super. 2002) citing *Dennick v. Scheiwer*, 113 A.2d 318, 319 (Pa. 1955). Under Pennsylvania law, an immediate family member can recover wrongful death damages for the loss of services, society, and comfort decedent would have provided if she survived. *Rittenhouse v. Hanks*, 777 A.2d 1113, 1119 (Pa. Super. 2001). The Superior Court has succinctly stated:

[T]his element of damages has also been described as "loss of guidance, tutelage, and moral upbringing." Buchecker v. Reading Co., 271 Pa. Super. 35, 57, 412 A.2d 147, 158 (1979). Walton v. Avco Corp., 383 Pa. Super. 518, 557 A.2d 372, 388 (1989), aff'd in part, rev'd in part on other grounds, 530 Pa. 568, 610 A.2d 454 (1992). See also, Summary of Pennsylvania Jurisprudence 2d Torts § 25:41 (1999). The losses recoverable by the [immediate family] of the decedent in a wrongful death action include the loss of such services as the deceased's guidance, tutelage, and moral upbringing. These damages are recoverable not under the general theory of loss of "[familial] consortium" but as part of the damages in a wrongful death action. Quinn v. Com. Dept. of Transp., 719 A.2d 1105 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1998), appeal denied 737 A.2d 1227 (Pa. 1999).

Machado v. Kunkel, 804 A.2d 1238, 1245 (Pa. Super. 2002).

The Wrongful Death Act permits recovery not only for medical, funeral, and estate administration expenses, but also the value of services including society and comfort. However, appellate authority has historically held no recovery is permitted for grief and mental suffering resulting from the loss of the decedent. *Mazzagatti v. Everingham*, 516 A.2d 672, 679 (Pa. 1986); *Sinn v. Burd*, 404 A.2d 672, 675 n.3 (Pa. 1979); *Papieves v. Lawrence*, 263 A.2d 118, 122 (Pa. 1970) (citation omitted); *Skoda v. W. Penn Power Co.*, 191 A.2d 822, 828 (Pa. 1963); *Ferne v. Chadderton*, 69 A.2d 104, 197 (Pa. 1949). Recently, in *Rettger v. UPMC Shadyside*, 991 A.2d 915 (Pa. Super. 2010), a panel of the Superior Court identified damages appropriate in a wrongful death action to extend "to the profound emotional and psychological loss suffered upon the death of a parent or child where the evidence establishes the negligence of another as

its cause." *Id.* at 933. While it is arguable *Rettger* appears to expand damages collectible under a wrongful death action, this Court will interpret *Rettger* in a manner consistent with previously cited Supreme Court authority. See *Mazzagatti*, 516 A.2d at 679.

Keeping in mind that Kamsler's objection is based upon relevancy, it is critical to determine whether evidence as to the effect of a loss has any impact on the value of that loss. Central to this resolution is the practical understanding of human nature. It can hardly be questioned that the guidance, tutelage, and comfort provided by a close family member is often more meaningful than that provided by a social acquaintance. On the other hand, the comfort and console provided by a close friend may, in certain circumstances, have greater value to one than would the advice of a family member. Thus, the depth of those services, and the impact caused to one by the lack of those resources, gives color to the value of their termination. At trial, a fact-finder is asked to make a monetary determination as to the value of services lost to family members as a result of an unexpected death. One may properly surmise that if a person is grieving due to the loss of those services, the importance of those services to the survivor has significance as it is a means to establish monetary value. Therefore, the testimony in dispute makes the existence of the factual value of this loss more probable than it would be without admission of the evidence. As such, it is relevant.

In reaching this conclusion, it is important that the parties understand the Court's interpretation of relevant case law as establishing a distinction between the emotional injuries of grief or mental suffering and actual injury from the loss of society and comfort. As such, the evidence is admissible as it relates to the value of the losses suffered following Sova's death. On the other hand, it is not admissible as a separate class of damages. While the Court recognizes the difficulty of evidentiary issues necessitated by this separation, sanitizing the trial of this evidence would unfairly limit Plaintiffs' damages to a mathematical calculation where the substance and depth of society and comfort lost as a result of the decedent's death is immaterial. Such a conclusion is unfair to any Wrongful Death Act claimant. On the other hand, once this evidence is admitted, a cautionary instruction may be appropriate directing the jury to set aside sympathy and to focus on the proper use of the evidence, thus eliminating prejudice to the Defendants.

Accordingly, Kamsler's PreTrial Motion in Limine seeking to preclude this evidence is denied. However, the Court will properly consider appropriate objection at trial once the context of the testimony is known in compliance with the reasoning set forth herein.

Continued to next issue (11/9/2012)

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale on FRIDAY, the 16th day of November 2012, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon at the 4th floor Jury Assembly room in the Adams County Court House, 111 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, PA, the following real estate, viz.:

Writ of Execution No.: 2009-NO-0001123
Property Address: 36 South Queen Street, Littlestown, PA 17340
Parcel No.: 27008-0302---000
Municipality: Littlestown
Improvements: Bar/Hotel
Defendants: Robert J. Via
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Robert E.
Campbell, Esq., 717-334-9278

2011-SU-0000028
Property Address: 8 Victor Drive,
Arendtsville, PA 17303
Parcel No.: 02006-0163
Municipality: Arendtsville
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Robert R. Lorenz and
Jennifer L. Lorenz

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Marc S.

Weisberg, Esq., 215-790-1010

Writ of Execution No .:

Writ of Execution No.: 2008-SU-0001310
Property Address: 4698 Baltimore Pike, Littlestown, PA 17340
Parcel No.: 116-49
Municipality: Germany
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Connie M. Lee and
Travis Lee

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Sherri J. Braunstein, Esq., 856-669-5400

Writ of Execution No.: 2008-SU-0001599
Property Address: 29 Clines Church Road, Aspers, PA 17304
Parcel No.: (29)-F05-0041A
Municipality: Menallen
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Barry A. Hush
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Stuart Winneg,
Esq., 856-669-5400

Writ of Execution No.: 2009-SU-0001132
Property Address: 1975 Carrolls Tract Road, Orrtanna, PA 17353
Parcel No.: C12-0051B
Municipality: Highland
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Joann R. Garcia and
Rolf Garcia

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Patrick J. Wesner, Esq., 856-482-1400

Writ of Execution No.: 2008-SU-0001459 Property Address: 2705 Biglerville

Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325-8046 Parcel No.: 07F09-0052---000 Municipality: Butler Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Roberta W. Brown Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2008-SU-0000500 Property Address: 59 Steelman Marker

Road, Fairfield, PA 17320
Parcel No.: (25)-C18-0011A
Municipality: Liberty
Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Kevin Michael Gaspin and Shari Ellen Gaspin Attorneys for Plaintiff: Stuart Winneg,

ttorneys for Plaintiff: Stuart Winneg Esq., 856-669-5400

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0000930
Property Address: 518 North Street, McSherrystown, PA 17344
Parcel No.: 28-05-149
Municipality: McSherrystown
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Mark Wade and
Donna Kuhn

Donna Kuhn
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Kassia Fialkoff,
Esq., 856-669-5400

Writ of Execution No .:

2011-SU-0000055
Property Address: 840 J. Kuhn Fording
Road, East Berlin, PA 17316
Parcel No.: 17-108-84
Municipality: Hamilton
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Jody L. Allen and
Joseph M. Allen

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Agnes Mombrun, Esq., 856-669-5400

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001883
Property Address: 35 Raven Trail, Fairfield, PA 17320
Parcel No.: 43-029-0249
Municipality: Carroll Valley
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Jennifer A. Hodges
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Terrence J.
McCabe, Esq., 215-790-1010

Writ of Execution No.: 2009-SU-0001278 Property Address: 455 Gardners Station Road, Gardners, PA 17324-9781 Parcel No.: 40G04-0070---000

Municipality: Tyrone Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Clair R. Hikes Attorneys for Plaintiff: Christina C. Viola,

Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000791

Property Address: 112 Jefferson Street a/k/a 112 South Jefferson Street,

Hanover, PA 17331 Parcel No.: 08-008-0271 Municipality: Conewago

Improvements: Erected a Dwelling House

Defendants: Clara A. Hicks and

Robert W. Hicks Attorneys for Plaintiff: Leon P. Haller, Esq., 717-234-4178

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000300

Property Address: 696 Gablers Road, Gardners, PA 17324

Parcel No.: 29F04-0049-000 Municipality: Menallen

Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Luis Freire

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Salvatore Carollo, Esq., 856-669-5400

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000189
Property Address: 210 Main Street, Arendtsville, PA 17303
Parcel No.: 4-60
Municipality: Arendtsville
Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Robert Dorn and Cheryl Werner

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Jill P. Jenkins, Esq., 215-627-1322

Notice directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than thirty (30) days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER, SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

James Muller Sheriff of Adams County

http://www.sheriffofadamscounty.com/ sheriffsales.html

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale on FRIDAY, the 16th day of November 2012, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon at the 4th floor Jury Assembly room in the Adams County Court House, 111 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, PA, the following real estate, viz.:

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000188

Property Address: 115 Oak Drive, New Oxford, PA 17350

Parcel No.: 3-65 Municipality: Oxford

Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Keith E. Miller and Karen L. Miller

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Jill P. Jenkins, Esq., 215-627-1322

Writ of Execution No.: 2010-SU-0000591

Property Address: 1380 Chambersburg Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 09-E12-0079 Municipality: Cumberland Improvements: Maintenance Building Defendants: Oak Lawn Memorial Gardens, Inc. and James H. Delaney Jr.

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001434

Property Address: 269 South Street, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Douglas K.

Marsico, Esq., 717-232-7661

Parcel No.: (08)-008-0297 Municipality: Conewago Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: William Lutz and Melissa Ann Cacace Attorneys for Plaintiff: Craig Oppenheimer, Esq., 215-886-8790

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000764

Property Address: 1244 Gablers Road, Gardners, PA 17324

Gardners, PA 17324
Parcel No.: 29-F4-24
Municipality: Menallen
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Alfonso G. Lua
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Kevin P. Diskin,
Esq., 215-572-8111

Writ of Execution No.: 2010-SU-0000395
Property Address: 27 Main Trail, Fairfield, PA 17320
Parcel No.: 2-131
Municipality: Carroll Valley
Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Ronald E. Kutz and Deanna Lynne Kutz Attorneys for Plaintiff: Lisa Lee, Esq.,

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Lisa Lee 215-627-1322 Writ of Execution No.: 2010-SU-0000536

Property Address: 485 Basehoar Road, Littlestown, PA 17340 Parcel No.: 41,003-0003

Municipality: Union Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: James W. Houseman III

Attorneys for Plaintiff: David Fein, Esq., 215-627-1322

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001329

Property Address: 68 Maple Avenue, Littlestown, PA 17340 Parcel No.: 27-008-0126 Municipality: Littlestown Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Tony E. Crowl and
Tine R. Crowl

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Louis P. Vitti, Esq., 412-281-1725

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000612

Property Address: 114 Old Route 15, York Springs, PA 17372 Parcel No.: 23-14-42 Municipality: Latimore

Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Samuel J. Talton and

Jennifer L. Talton Attorneys for Plaintiff: Patrick J. Wesner, Esq., 856-482-1400

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000071

Property Address: 1946 East Berlin Road, New Oxford, PA 17350 Parcel No.: J07-0019A Municipality: Reading

Municipality: Reading
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Rebecca Strausbaugh and
Michael J. Strausbaugh

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Jill P. Jenkins, Esq., 215-627-1322

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000729

Property Address: 2474 Old Waynesboro Pike a/k/a 2474 Waynesboro Pike, Fairfield, PA 17320

Fairfield, PA 17320
Parcel No.: (18)-B17-0061
Municipality: Hamiltonban
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Peter Joseph C. Smith
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Paige M. Bellino,
Esa., 856-699-5400

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000514

Property Address: 998 Dicks Dam Road, New Oxford, PA 17350 Parcel No.: 17,J09-0142 Municipality: Hamilton Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Douglas E. Senft and

Diane M. Redding Attorneys for Plaintiff: Marc S. Weisberg, Esq., 215-790-1010 Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001524

Property Address: 39 Commerce Street, New Oxford, PA 17350 Parcel No.: 34-005-0212-000

Municipality: New Oxford Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Timothy Rill

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Thomas M. Federman, Esq., 215-572-5095

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000524 Property Address: 106 North Howard

Avenue, Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 16-006-0047-000 Municipality: Gettysburg Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Dominic Picarelli and

Kristen Picarelli

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Lisa Lee, Esq., 215-627-1322

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001518

Property Address: 2896 Tract Road, Fairfield, PA 17320

Parcel No.: D18-75
Municipality: Liberty
Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Kathy L. Tracey, Kathy
Lynn Tracey, Ralph Douglas Tracey,
Ralph D. Tracey

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Gregory Javardian, Esq., 215-942-9690

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000475

Property Address: 39 Main Street, McSherrystown, PA 17344 Parcel No.: 28-002-0084

Municipality: McSherrystown Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Carl A. Yingling Attornove for Plaintiff Mars S

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Marc S. Weisberg, Esq., 215-790-1010

Notice directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than thirty (30) days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER, SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

James Muller Sheriff of Adams County

http://www.sheriffofadamscounty.com/ sheriffsales.html

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale on FRIDAY, the 16th day of November 2012, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon at the 4th floor Jury Assembly room in the Adams County Court House, 111 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, PA, the following real estate, viz.:

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000361
Property Address: 9 Marsh Creek
Heights Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325
Parcel No.: 13E17-0024---000
Municipality: Freedom
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Jamie Noel Miller, Jamie
Noel Warfield Petta, Jamie Noel
Petta, and Douglas A. Miller
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Barbara A. Fein,
Esq.. 215-653-7450

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000048
Property Address: 61 Shirley Trail, Fairfield, PA 17320
Parcel No.: 25-B17-84B
Municipality: Liberty
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: M. Joanne Woodward and James L. Zeigler
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Stuart Winneg,

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000310 Property Address: 486 Guernsey Road, Biglerville, PA 17307 Parcel No.: 07 F 07 0026 Municipality: Butler

Esq., 856-669-5400

Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Wayne B. Ogburn Jr. and
Melissa A. Ogburn
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Mark S.

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Mark S. Weisberg, Esq., 215-790-1010

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0000883
Property Address: 235 Lake Meade Drive, East Berlin, PA 17316
Parcel No.: 6-12
Municipality: Latimore
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Scott E. Hosman and the United States of America
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Craig

Oppenheimer, Esq., 215-886-8790

Writ of Execution No.: 2009-SU-0001869

Property Address: 1459 Hanover Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325-7714 Parcel No.: 38G13-0069---000 Municipality: Straban Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Sean H. Myers and Jessica A. Hill a/k/a Jessica Ann Hill Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000624
Property Address: 20 Stonybrook Lane, New Oxford, PA 17350-8581
Parcel No.: 17K09-0125---000
Municipality: Hamilton
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Donna K. Brown
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Melissa J.
Cantwell, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000447
Property Address: 115 Redding Lane, Gettysburg, PA 17325-7271
Parcel No.: 09W03-0022---000
Municipality: Cumberland
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Carmen A. Doyle
Attorneys for Plaintiff: Melissa J.
Cantwell, Esq., 215-563-7000

2012-SU-0000473
Property Address: 55 Thomas Drive,
McSherrystown, PA 17344-1136
Parcel No.: 08101-0049---000
Municipality: Conewago
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Anthony R. Beccio and
Jennifer E. Beccio
Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael
Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.:

Writ of Execution No.: 2010-SU-0000450
Property Address: 11 Smokehouse Court, Littlestown, PA 17340-1155
Parcel No.: 27007-0149---000
Municipality: Littlestown
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Ryan Purcell and Tara
Purcell f/k/a Tara N. Oliver (deceased)
Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael
Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-NO-0000026 and 09-TL-612 Property Address: 324 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 16009-0053---000 Municipality: Gettysburg Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Kathy Oberlin Attorneys for Plaintiff: Bernard A. Yannetti Jr., Esq., 717-334-3105

Writ of Execution No.: 2010-TL-0000330

Writ of Execution No.:

Esq., 215-563-7000

Property Address: 2455 Granite Station Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 38022-0080---000 Municipality: Straban Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Luzminda U. Schott Attorneys for Plaintiff: Bernard A. Yannetti Jr., Esq., 717-334-3105

2011-SU-0001531
Property Address: 1096 Bollinger Road,
Littlestown, PA 17340-9147
Parcel No.: 41K17-0036B--000
Municipality: Union
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Keith A. Crawmer and
Wendy M. Crawmer

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Andrew J. Marley,

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000596
Property Address: 54 Red Bird Lane, Gettysburg, PA 17325-7988
Parcel No.: 06002-0023---000
Municipality: Bonneauville
Improvements: Residential Dwelling
Defendants: Matthew G. Hall a/k/a

Matthew Grant Hall Attorneys for Plaintiff: Andrew J. Marley, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000241 Property Address: 177 Town Hill Road, York Springs, PA 17372-9767 Parcel No.: 23103-0030---000 Municipality: Latimore

Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Aaron R. Fishel Attorneys for Plaintiff: Matthew Brushwood, Esq., 215-563-7000

Notice directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than thirty (30) days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER, SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

James Muller Sheriff of Adams County

http://www.sheriffofadamscounty.com/ sheriffsales.html

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale on FRIDAY, the 16th day of November 2012, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon at the 4th floor Jury Assembly room in the Adams County Court House, 111 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, PA, the following real estate, viz.:

2009-SU-0001015 Property Address: 3 Schofield Drive, East Berlin, PA 17316 Parcel No.: 37-8-41 Municipality: Reading Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Tina L. McCauslin Attorneys for Plaintiff: Marc S.

Weisberg, Esq., 215-790-1010

Writ of Execution No .:

Writ of Execution No.:

2012-SU-0000243 Property Address: 35 Buford Avenue, Unit 29, Gettysburg, PA 17325-1144 Parcel No.: 16007-0143---029 Municipality: Gettysburg Improvements: Condominium Unit Defendants: Cheryl T. Sheads Attorneys for Plaintiff: Christina C. Viola, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000446

Property Address: 24 Blenheim Street, Hanover, PA 17331-7836 Parcel No.: 08031-0066---000 Municipality: Conewago Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Ernest F. Malkin Jr. and Carolyn J. Malkin Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael

Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2008-SU-0000239 Property Address: 85 Skylark Trail, Fairfield, PA 17320-8122 Parcel No.: 43028-0104---000 Municipality: Carroll Valley Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Andrew L. Boyd Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No .: 2011-SU-0001919 Property Address: 19 McClellan Drive, East Berlin, PA 17316-9312 Parcel No.: 36105-0074---000 Municipality: Reading Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Teri L. Kretzer and Donald N. Kretzer II Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No .: 2012-SU-0000402 Property Address: 18 Antler Lane,

New Oxford, PA 17350-9780 Parcel No.: 36002-0081---000 Municipality: Reading Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Steven C. Wray Jr. and Lisa E. Wray

Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No .: 2011-TL-0000805

Property Address: 17 Red Bridge Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Parcel No.: 38-021-0040---000 Municipality: Straban Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Brian L. Marsh Attorneys for Plaintiff: Bernard A.

Yannetti Jr., Esq., 717-334-3105

Writ of Execution No .:

2011-NO-1230 and 2011-TL-1421 Property Address: 112 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 16010-0100A---000 Municipality: Gettysburg Improvements: A building is constructed on the property. Defendants: Travis I. Braha Attorneys for Plaintiff: Bernard A. Yannetti Jr., Esq., 717-334-3105

Writ of Execution No .: 2012-SU-0000156

Property Address: 1259 The Spangler Road, New Oxford, PA 17350-8751 Parcel No.: 17J10-003-1J

Municipality: Hamilton Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Rafael Leyva Mendivil a/k/a Rafael Leyva and Sharon K. Leyva

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Ashleigh L. Marin, Esq., 908-233-8500

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001278 Property Address: 11 Oxwood Circle, New Oxford, PA 17350 Parcel No.: 34,007-0092 Municipality: New Oxford Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Donald L. Roller Jr. a/k/a Donald Roller Jr. Attorneys for Plaintiff: Patrick J. Wesner,

Writ of Execution No.: 2011-SU-0001577 Property Address: 53 Hunterstown Hampton Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 38,022-0081 Municipality: Straban

Esq., 856-482-1400

Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Stanley A. Plank Jr. and Melissa Plank

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Patrick J. Wesner, Esq., 856-482-1400

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000255

Property Address: 252 Thomas Drive, Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 30-106-0031

Municipality: Mount Joy Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Susan L. Lain a/k/a

Susan Lewis Lain, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Robert F. Lain II a/k/a Robert Freddie Lain II Attorneys for Plaintiff: Kristine M. Anthou, Esq., 412-281-7650

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000220

Property Address: 128 Rodes Avenue,

Gettysburg, PA 17325 Parcel No.: 38,002,0074 Municipality: Straban

Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Christopher J. Popovice and Jennifer M. Popovice

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Richard E. Thrasher, Esq., 717-334-2159

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0000307

Property Address: 1484 Cranberry Road, York Springs, PA 17372 Parcel No.: (22) H05-00063 Municipality: Huntington Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Jessica R. Krishingner Attorneys for Plaintiff: Andrew Gornall,

Esq., 215-627-1322

Notice directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than thirty (30) days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER. SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

> James Muller Sheriff of Adams County

http://www.sheriffofadamscounty.com/ sheriffsales.html

ESTATE NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in the estates of the decedents set forth below the Register of Wills has granted letters, testamentary or of administration, to the persons named. All persons having claims or demands against said estates are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates are requested to make payment without delay to the executors or administrators or their attorneys named below.

FIRST PUBLICATION

- ESTATE OF THELMA O. BOYD, DEC'D
 - Late of the Borough of Littlestown, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Joe D. Boyd, 128 West King Street, Littlestown, PA 17340; Constance B. Bankert, 1528 East Mayberry Road, Westminster, MD 21158
 - Attorney: David K. James III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
- ESTATE OF JOSEPH W. CLABAUGH a/k/a JOSEPH WILLIAM CLABAUGH, DEC'D
 - Late of Mt. Pleasant Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Mary E. Reiter, 5076 Carrollton Drive, Harrisburg, PA 17112
 - Attorney: David C. Smith, Esq., 754 Edgegrove Road, Hanover, PA 17331
- ESTATE OF DOROTHY M. DeVINE a/k/a DOROTHY MARY DeVINE, DEC'D
 - Late of the Borough of New Oxford, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Georgia A. Becker, 910D Hanover Street, New Oxford, PA
 - Attorney: David C. Smith, Esq., 754 Edgegrove Road, Hanover, PA 17331
- ESTATE OF MARY G. HEISER, DEC'D
 - Late of Highland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executor: Edward W. Heiser Jr., 62 Weikert Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325
 - Attorney: Bernard A. Yannetti Jr., Esq., Hartman & Yannetti, 126 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
- ESTATE OF RUTH C. MALBON, DEC'D
 - Late of Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Elizabeth Richardson Viti, 117 Springs Avenue, Gettysburg, PA 17325
 - Attorney: Chester G. Schultz, Esq., 145 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

- ESTATE OF ANGELA MARIE RIGBY, DEC'D
 - Late of the Borough of Fairfield, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - William E. Rigby, 4950 Fairfield Road, Fairfield, PA 17320
 - Attorney: David K. James III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
- ESTATE OF FREDA S. SCOTT a/k/a FREDA G. SCOTT, DEC'D
 - Late of Freedom Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Margaret I. Scott, 10 South Brian Hollow, #95, Houston, TX 77027
 - Attorney: Gary E. Hartman, Esq., Hartman & Yannetti, 126 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
- ESTATE OF KENNETH E. STEVENS SR., DEC'D
 - Late of Huntington Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executor: Adam Gebhart, 3531 Carlisle Road, Gardners, PA 17324
 - Attorney: John C. Zepp III, Esq., P.O. Box 204, 8438 Carlisle Pike, York Springs, PA 17372
- ESTATE OF JANET R. THOMPSON, DEC'D
 - Late of Tyrone Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executors: Lee S. Thompson, 11534 Sipes Mill Road, Harrisonville, PA 17228; Laura A. Koontz, 1658 Deer Ford Way, York, PA 17408; Raymond Jay Thompson, 70 Sayber Trail, Orrtanna, PA 17353
 - Attorney: Wendy Weikal-Beauchat, Esq., 63 West High Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

SECOND PUBLICATION

- ESTATE OF MARION S. BLACK, DEC'D
 - Late of Menallen Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Jessica J. Black, 34 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
 - Attorney: Robert E. Campbell, Esq., Campbell & White, P.C., 112 Baltimore Street, Suite 1, Gettysburg, PA 17325-2311
- ESTATE OF CLYDE W. CROUSE, DEC'D
 - Late of Germany Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Jayme Hull, 301 Tinnan Avenue, Franklin, TN 37067

- ESTATE OF DONALD KENT DAVIS, DEC'D
 - Late of the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Administratrix c.t.a.: Marian E. Davis, 141 Barlow Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
 - Attorney: Chester G. Schultz, Esq., 145 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
- ESTATE OF SUSAN A. ELLIS, DEC'D
 - Late of Reading Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Stacie S. Burnside, c/o Sharon E. Myers, Esq., CGA Law Firm, PC, 135 North George Street, York, PA 17401
 - Attorney: Sharon E. Myers, Esq., CGA Law Firm, PC, 135 North George Street, York, PA 17401
- ESTATE OF JOSEPH A. SCARSELLETTA, DEC'D
- Late of the Borough of Bonneauville, Adams County, Pennsylvania
- Executor: Layne Scarselletta, c/o Daniel C. Herr, Esq., Herr & Low, P.C., 234 North Duke Street, P.O. Box 1533, Lancaster, PA 17608
- Attorney: Daniel C. Herr, Esq., Herr & Low, P.C., 234 North Duke Street, P.O. Box 1533, Lancaster, PA 17608
- ESTATE OF IDALIA M. SCHAEFFER, DEC'D
 - Late of Tyrone Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrix: Isabel C. Lankford, 290-D Peach Glen-Idaville Road, Gardners, PA 17324
 - Attorney: John R. Zonarich, Esq., SkarlatosZonarich, LLC, 17 South Second Street, 6th Floor, Harrisburg, PA 17101
- ESTATE OF FLORENCE L. SMITH, DEC'D
 - Late of Conewago Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 - Executrices: Rita M. Groft, 128 South Street, McSherrystown, PA 17344; Denise Groft Zinn, 731 Edgegrove Road, Hanover, PA 17331
 - Attorney: Ronald J. Hagarman, Esq., 110 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

THIRD PUBLICATION ESTATE OF MARIE G. BAKER, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of McSherrystown, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Co-Executrices: Darlene Barnhart, 252 Vincent Drive, McSherrystown, PA 17344; Mary Ann Roth, 399 School Street, York, PA 17402

ESTATE OF ROSEMARY A. HUNT, DEC'D

Late of Franklin Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: ACNB Bank, P.O. Box 4566. Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: Teeter, Teeter & Teeter, 108 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF LEE HAROLD KRAFT, DEC'D

Late of Straban Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Timothy W. Kraft, 5307 Wentz Road, Manchester, MD 21102

ESTATE OF JAMES CURTIS OSBORNE,

Late of the Borough of Littlestown, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Christiana L. Osborne. 1279 Wanda Drive, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Stonesifer and Kelley, P.C., 209 Broadway, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF RICHARD F. WILAND, DEC'D

Late of Reading Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Donna K. Blevins, 103 Hill Drive, Carlisle, PA 17013

Attorney: Karl E. Rominger, Esq., 155 South Hanover Street, Carlisle, PA 17013

SHERIFF SALES

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale on FRIDAY, the 16th day of November 2012, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon at the 4th floor Jury Assembly room in the Adams County Court House, 111 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, PA, the following real estate, viz.:

Writ of Execution No .: 2011-SU-0001377 Property Address: 109 West York

Street, Biglerville, PA 17307 Parcel No.: 05003-0052---000 Municipality: Biglerville Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Justin K. Kerchner and Kristen L. Kerchner

Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No .: 2012-SH-0000558

Property Address: 1876 Stoney Point Road, East Berlin, PA 17316-9722 Parcel No.: 36-K06-0011F-000 Municipality: Reading Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Vanessa D. Little and John C. Balek Jr. Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael

Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No .: 2011-SU-0001956 Property Address: 78 Maple Street, Littlestown, PA 17340-9665

Parcel No.: 06009-0098---000 Municipality: Bonneauville Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: Richard A. Wilkinson Jr. and Stephanie L. Wilkinson

Attorneys for Plaintiff: Andrew J. Marley,

Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No .: 2011-SU-0001752

Property Address: 305 Harrisburg Street, East Berlin, PA 17316-9503 Parcel No.: 10004-0075---000 Municipality: East Berlin Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Charles H. Philips Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No.: 2012-SU-0001026 Property Address: 147 Main Street,

McSherrystown, PA 17344 Parcel No.: 28-002-0116 Municipality: McSherrystown Improvements: Single level frame and T-III-sided ice cream shop on

concrete slab Defendants: James M. Bivens and Kimberly A. Bivens Attorneys for Plaintiff: Keith Mooney,

Esq., 717-299-5201 Writ of Execution No.:

2009-SU-0000197 Property Address: 74 Springfield Drive, New Oxford, PA 17350-8579 Parcel No.: 17-K09-0066-000 Municipality: Hamilton Improvements: Residential Dwelling

Defendants: Paula Lara and Alfonso Lua Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Writ of Execution No .: 2009-SU-0000778 Property Address: 7 Brooke Court, Unit 91, Gettysburg, PA 17325-6628 Parcel No.: 30, F18-0087---000 Municipality: Mount Joy Improvements: Residential Dwelling Defendants: David O. Smith Attorneys for Plaintiff: John Michael Kolesnik, Esq., 215-563-7000

Notice directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than thirty (30) days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER, 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER. SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

> James Muller Sheriff of Adams County

http://www.sheriffofadamscounty.com/ sheriffsales.html