Northumberland Legal Journal

USPS 396-860 Published weekly by

NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION

NOTICE-PRINTING DATE-FRIDAY

Advertisements must be received by NOON the preceding Wed.

Vol. 91

Sunbury, PA

Monday, July 29, 2019

No. 30

Subscription Price - \$30.00 Out of County • \$20.00 In County
Periodicals Postage Paid at Sunbury, PA 17801 and Additional Mailing Offices
PRINTING OFFICE - Paulhamus Litho, Inc. 2824 Old Montoursville Road, Montoursville, PA 17701
POSTMASTER: Send Address Correction Form 3579 to

Northumberland Legal Journal P.O. Box 126, Sunbury, PA 17801

CONTENTS

 $Commonwealth\ of\ Pennsylvania\ v.\ Heffner..... Judgment$

COURT CALENDAR - 2019

ACCOUNTS CONFIRMED

July 22; August 26; September 23; October 28; November 25: December 23

ARDS/ARD REVOCATIONS

July 18; August 8; September 19; October 24; November 14; December 19

ARGUMENT COURT

July 17; August 7; September 4; October 2; November 6; December 4

ARBITRATION (PM ONLY)

July 12,19; August 2,16,30; September 13,27; October 11,25; November 8,22; December 13,27

BHIDS TREATMENT COURT

July 16,30; August 20; September 3; October 1,15,29; November 12,26; December 31

CHILDREN AND YOUTH

July 1,8,10,11,12,15,18,19,22,29,31; August 1,2,8,15,16,19,22,23,26,29,30; September 5,6,12,13,16,19,23,26,27,30; October 3,4,7,10,11,17,18,21,24,25,28,31; November 7,14,15,18,21,22,25; December 5,9,12,19,20,30

CIVIL JURY SELECTION

July 8; August 12; September 9; October 7; November 18; December 9

CIVIL PRE-TRIALS

July 2; August 6; September 24; October 22; November 14; December 3

CIVIL JURY TRIALS

July 9,10,11,12; August 13,14,15,16; September 10,11,12,13,16,17,18,19,20; October 8,9,10,11; November 19,20,21,22; December 10,11,12,13,16,17,18,19,20

COST CONTEMPTS

July 16; August 13; September 24; October 15; November 19; December 3

CRIMINAL JURY SELECTION

July 8; August 12; September 9; October 7; November 18, December 9

CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS

July 9,10,11,12; August 13,14,15,16; September 10,11,12,13,16,17,18,19,20; October 8,9,10,11; November 19,20,21,22; December 10,11,12,13,16,17,18,19,20

CRIMINAL PRE-TRIALS

July 19; September 26; October 31; December 27 **DRUG COURT**

July 2,9,16,23,30; August 6,13,20,27; September 3,10,17,24; October 1,8,15,22,29; November 12,19,26; December 3,10,17,31

DUI COURT

July 16,30; August 20; September 3; October 1,15,29; November 12,26; December 31

GUILTY PLEAS/SENTENCINGS

July 1,15,29; August 5,26; September 9,23,30; October 7,21,28; November 4,25; December 2,16,30 LEGAL HOLIDAYS

LEGAL HULIDAYS

July 4; September 2; October 14; November 5,11,28,29; December 25

JUVENILE COURT

July 3,10,17,24,31; August 7,14,21,28; September 4,11,18,25; October 2,9,23,30; November 13,20; December 4,11,18

JUVENILE TREATMENT COURT

July 9,23; August 6,20; September 3,17; October 1,15,29; November 19; December 3,17

PENN DOT APPEALS

July 10; August 14; September 11; October 19; November 13; December 18

REVOCATIONS

July 3; August 1,2; September 5,6; October 3,4; November 1; December 5,6

SUMMARY APPEALS

July 19; August 2; September 6; October 4; November 1; December 6

SUPPORT APPEALS

July 2,30; August 20; September 24; October 1,15,29; November 26; December 3

SUPPORT CONTEMPTS

July 16,30; August 20; September 3,17; October 1,15,29; November 12,26; December 10,31

VETERANS' COURT

July 16,30; August 20; September 3; October 1,15,29; November 12,26; December 31

Copyright ©1985 Northumberland County Bar Association. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form by electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or any other means without the prior written permission of the copyright holder.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL DIVISION–LAW NO. CP-49-CR-2018–716

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,

Plaintiff,

V.

BRIAN HEFFNER,

Defendant

CRIMINAL LAW - *Miranda* Rights - Access to Attorney - Homicide - Police Interview - Suppression of Statements - Adequate Time Lapse - Fifth Amendment Rights - Initiation of Conversation - Inmate in Custody

- 1. The court will find that a defendant has not initiated further discussion with police when the defendant asserts their Fifth Amendment right to counsel and the police do not stop the interview.
- 2. While not all inmates are subject to *Miranda* protections, when a Defendant is advised of his *Miranda* rights, and unequivocally asserts his right to counsel, the court will find that the defendant is in *Miranda* custody.

"An inmate can be re-approached in the prison setting for questioning only after a sufficient duration of time has passed where counsel has been requested," but the court will find that an approximate one and a half hour lunch break by the police after the defendant requests counsel be present is not a "sufficient duration of time."

Anthony Matulewicz, District Attorney John L. McLaughlin, Attorney for Defendant Hon. President Judge Charles H. Saylor, July 5, 2019

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL DIVISION–LAW NO. CR-18-716

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,

Plaintiff,

V.

BRIAN HEFFNER,

Defendant

OPINION

Saylor, P.J.

Defendant was charged with homicide and related offenses in the death of Shawn Maschal, which occurred by gunshot wound to the back of his head on September 12, 2017. He was interviewed by three police officers twice on October 15, 2017, in a security room at SCI- Camp Hill. The first interview started at 10:00 a.m. and lasted fifty-three minutes.

Defendant was interviewed a second time at the same location at 12:31 p.m. In both instances, Defendant was advised of his *Miranda* rights prior to any discussion, and the second time he signed a waiver of *Miranda* rights form. When he was read the form stating his *Miranda* rights at the first interview, he responded, "No, I'm not ... I want a lawyer. I don't know what this is about." *Transcript of Interview of Brian Heffner (Part 1)*, pg. 2, lines 9, and 12-13. (Hereinafter "Transcript Part 1"). Several other times thereafter, during the first interview, he also requests a lawyer. Nonetheless, this discussion by the police never ceases, nor is he provided access to an attorney.

The Commonwealth asserts Defendant's statements to the police should not be suppressed, as requested by Defendant in his Omnibus Pretrial Motion, for three reasons. First, that Defendant initiated the further discussion with the police. The record of the first interview simply does not support this argument, as the police never stopped talking after Defendant requested counsel three times. Second, the Commonwealth argues that Defendant chose to talk to the police when they returned later. As will be discussed herein, there was not adequate time lapse between the two interviews. Third, the Commonwealth contends that "the Defendant was not in custody within the meaning of Miranda." This argument is also rejected by this Court, as discussed later, as Defendant affirmatively asked for counsel and there was only a short break between the interviews.

During the interview of Defendant on October 5, 2017, Defendant, on several occasions, unambiguously and unequivocally asserted his Fifth Amendment right to counsel. It is clear that "if [an] individual states that he wants an attorney, the interrogation must cease until an attorney is present." Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 474, 16 L.Ed.2d 694 (1966); see also Edwards v. Arizona, 451 U.S. 477, 484-85, 68 L.Ed.2d 378 (1981). Here, Defendant, at the outset as noted above, and also part way into the interview at SCI- Camp Hill, asserted his right to counsel by saying, "I want a lawyer then." Transcript Part 1, pg. 22, line 7. The officers did not honor this request, maintaining their conversation for several minutes when Defendant again asserted his right to counsel when he exclaimed, "I want a lawyer now." Transcript Part 1, pg. 25, line 18. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has ruled that invocation of Fifth Amendment right to counsel shields a defendant

¹ The Commonwealth's brief contains only one citation to any legal authority, referencing *Howes v. Fields*, 565 U.S. 499, 132 S.Ct 1181 (2012) as to its third argument on "custody".

from further interrogation until counsel is present, unless the defendant initiates further conversation with police. Commonwealth v. Keaton, 45 A.3d 1050, 1067 (Pa. 2012); see also Commonwealth v. Frein, 206 A.3d 1049, 1066 (Pa. 2019).

Rather than acknowledging Defendant's requests for counsel, the officers continued to interrogate Defendant by impermissibly inducing him to speak, which he did. Before the conclusion of the first interview, Corporal Reeves acknowledged, "There is case law that says - - it's pretty clear about your invoking your right to - - you want an attorney ... And we talked to you a little bit longer ... we can't talk to you any longer. Okay ... we can talk to you again in about a week or so." Transcript Part 1, pg. 45, lines 14-17, 18-19, and 23-24. Corporal Reeves then explained to Defendant that " ... they won't let - - none of this - - this won't be able to be used." Transcript Part 1, pg. 46, lines 5-6. The officers then proceed to ask Defendant, "If we were to offer you a polygraph exam, would you be willing to take a polygraph exam?" in which Defendant responded, "No. Why would I do that?" Transcript Part 1, pg. 48, lines 2-3, and 7.

In its brief, the Commonwealth relies on *Howes v*. *Fields*, 565 U.S. 499,182 L.Ed.2d 17 (2012), for the proposition that Defendant, already an inmate at SCI- Camp Hill, was not to be considered "in *Miranda* custody", thus, not subject to the *Miranda* protections. ² *Howes* held that

² On September 21, 2017, Defendant was recommitted to SCI-Camp Hill on a state parole technical violation on a case that arose out of Schuylkill County (CR-2013-792). On September 29, 2017, the Northumberland County Adult Probation and Parole filed a detainer against Defendant due to violations of failure to report and drug use. At the time of the October 5, 2017, interviews, Defendant was facing a parole revocation on his CR-2016-97 docket for counts 2, 4, and 5, which was scheduled for December 14, 2017. In April 2018, Defendant was charged with the subject homicide and related charges. Therefore, at the time of the interview, Defendant was incarcerated on a crime in which he had already been sentenced and the interrogator would not have had the power to increase the duration of his sentence or decrease the time already served on his parole revocation.

inmates are not necessarily in custody under Miranda, just by virtue of their incarceration, as *Miranda* usually applies to a person being pulled off the street for questioning. It is of importance to note that in *Howes*, defendant was not given Miranda warnings, was not advised that he did not need to speak with the officers, and did not assert his right to counsel. Id at 504. The difficulty with the Commonwealth's position is that the police in the first interview, whether Miranda custodial or not, totally ignored Defendant's several requests for counsel. When they continued the interrogation, they also told Defendant that a lawyer won't do him any good at trial. Transcript Part 1, pg. 26, lines 5-23. This Court finds the proper approach was set forth in Commonwealth v. Champney, 161 A.3d 265 (Pa. Super. 2017), that an inmate can be reapproached in the prison setting for questioning only after a sufficient duration of time has passed where counsel has been requested. The "break in custody" concept was established by the U.S. Supreme Court in Maryland v. Shatzer, 559 U.S. 98, 175 L.Ed 2d 1045 (2010) (release back into the general prison population constitutes a break in custody to render inapplicable the presumption of involuntariness established in Edwards v. Arizona, supra.). As the Shatzer holding was summarized in Com. v. Champney:

Therefore, the Court determined that the Miranda protections alone, without the conclusive *Edwards* presumption, adequately protected the rights of a suspect who requested counsel but was "reinterrogated after a break in custody ... of sufficient duration to dissipate its coercive effects." *Id.* at 109, 130 S.Ct. 1213.

Id, 161 A.3d at 279.

Shatzer held sufficient a period of 14 days between the first interrogation and the next one, where a defendant

invokes his *Miranda* right to counsel. *Id.* 599 U.S. at 110.

It is noteworthy here that, at the end of the first interview, Chief Hollenbush acknowledged that they would not be permitted to continue to interview Defendant unless he initiated the conversation or they came back at a later time. Chief Hollenbush asked Defendant, "Are you going to be willing to talk to us if we come back down in a week?" Transcript Part 1, pg. 50, lines 3-4. However, Corporal Reeves later made the comment of coming back "24 hours from now." Transcript Part 1, pg. 50, line 21. The officers ultimately returned that same day, after their lunch break, approximately 1 hour and 38 minutes later, to continue the interview with Defendant. Clearly, this was not a sufficient break in custody once Defendant asserted his right to counsel. Rather, the officers induced Defendant to continue to speak with them; and, instead of allowing Defendant to initiate the conversation or come back another day, the officers continued to interview Defendant that same day. As noted in *Champney*, a sufficient break in custody requires Defendant time to get reacclimated back to his prison life. Defendant wasn't even afforded the time the police told him to evaluate his decision. There is no bright line time frame established in Shatzer; however, a lunch break could not have been contemplated as sufficient. This is especially so where the officers themselves told Defendant they would give him at least 24 hours before they would return.

Considering the totality of the circumstances, Defendant was not accorded his Fifth Amendment right to counsel; thus, his statements to police on October 5, 2017, are suppressed.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of July, 2019, following a

hearing on Defendant's Omnibus Pretrial Motion consisting of a motion to suppress statements, and the briefs of counsel, it is hereby ORDERED and DIRECTED that Defendant's motion is GRANTED; thus, both Part 1 and Part 2 of the interview of Defendant on October 5, 2017, are hereby suppressed as evidence at trial.

BY THE COURT:

Charles H. Saylor, President Judge

cc: District Attorney
John L. McLaughlin, Esquire, 12 West Market Street,
Danville, PA 17821
Sarah Stigerwalt, Law Clerk
Court
Legal Journal

CASES STATUTES -:-

ALWAYS UP TO DATE EXECUTORS' AND

ADMINISTRATORS 'NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that letters testamentary or administration have been granted in the following estates in the County of Northumberland and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. All persons indebted to said estates are requested to make immediate payment and those having claims or demands will present them without delay to the respective executors or administrators or to their attorneys.

In Re: Estate of Ronald J. Zaleski, late of the Borough of Kulpmont, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Louise Ann Shively, 2500 Green Ridge Road, Mifflinburg, Pennsylvania, 17844, Executrix or Jeffrey L. Mensch, Esq., 171 Scottsdale Drive, Mifflinburg, Pennsylvania, 17844, Attorney. 28-30

In Re: Estate of George L. Hauck, late of the City of Sunbury, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Rosemary L. McCabe, 188 Witmer Drive, Northumberland, Pennsylvania, 17857, Executrix or Antonio D. Michetti, Esq., DIEHL, DLUGE, MICHETTI & MICHETTI, 1070 Market Street, Sunbury, Pennsylvania, 179801, Attorney.

In Re: Estate of Laura E. Geise, a/k/a L. Evelyn Geise, and of the Geise Family Irrevocable Trust dated February 23, 2006, late of the Township of Upper Augusta, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Harold F. Geise, Executor/Co-Trustee, c/o Brittany O. L. Smith, Esq., STEINBACHER, GODDALL & YURCHAK, 413 Washington Boulevard, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, 17701, Attorney. 28-30

In Re: Estate of Daniel R. Zimmerman, late of Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Lon Zimmerman, 2371 Mile Post Road, Sunbury, Pennsylvania, 17801, Administrator. Jeffrey Apfelbaum, Esq., Benjamin Apfelbaum, Esq., 24 North Fourth Street, Sunbury, Pennsylvania, 17801, Attorneys. 29-31

In Re: Estate of Ronald B. Neiswenter, a/k/a Ronald B. Neiswenter, Sr., late of the Borough of Marion Heights, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. David Neiswenter, 247 Grey Hawk Court, Henderson, Nevada, 89074, Executor or Law Office of Cole & Varano, 110 South Oak Street, Mount Carmel, Pennsylvania, 17851, Attorney. 29-31

In Re: Estate of Darlene Judith Petro, a/k/a Darlene J. Petro, late of Mount Carmel, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Dorene Marie McDonald, 138 Third Street, Strong, Pennsylvania, 17851, Executrix or Law Office of Cole & Varano, 110 South Oak Street, Mount Carmel, Pennsylvania, 17851, Attorney. 29-31

In Re: Estate of Henrietta Przekop, a/k/a Henrietta T. Przekop, late of the Township of Mount Carmel, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Patricia Dabulis, 2210 Stetler Drive, Coal Township, Pennsylvania, 17866, or Law Office of Cole & Varano, 110 South Oak Street, Mount Carmel, Pennsylvania, 17851, Attorney. 29-31

In Re: Estate of Erma K. Gass, late ofthe Township of Point, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Nancy E. Gass, 210 State Street, Sunbury, Pennsylvania 17801, or Antonio D. Michetti, Esq., DIEHL, DLUGE, MICHETTI & MICHETTI, 1070 Market Street, Sunbury, Pennsylvania, 17801, Attorney. 29-31

In Re: Estate of Edward J. Baran, late of the Township of Coal, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Marlene Fedorczak and Diane Dudanowicz, Executrices, a/o Andrew J. Primerano, Esq., 18 Sherwood Drive, P.O. Box 703, Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania, 17815, Attorney. 29-31

In Re: Estate of Martha Kokoles, late of the City of Shamokin, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. David T. Kokoles, 420 Water Street, Ranshaw, Pennsylvania, 17866 or Gilbert Little, 814 Knapp Road, Lansdale, Pennsylvania, 19446, Co-Executors or Vincent V. Rovito, Jr., Esq., ROVITO &

ROVITO, 2 East Independence Street, Shamokin, Pennsylvania, 17872, Attorney. 29-31

In Re: Estate of Stanford C. Seiple, a/k/a Stanford C. Seiple, Jr., late of Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Penn A. Seiple, 157 South Second Street, Sunbury, Pennsylvania, 17801, Executor or Jeffrey Apfelbaum, Esq.; Benjamin Apfelbaum, Esq., Attorneys at Law, 124 North Fourth Street, Sunbury, Pennsylvania, 17801, Attorney.

In Re: Estate of John Bolick, late of the Borough of Mount Carmel, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Marilyn Bolick, 40 S. Locust Street, Mount Carmel, Pennsylvania, 17851, Administratrix or Law Office of Cole & Varano, 110 South Oak Street, Mount Carmel, Pennsylvania, 17851, Attorney.

In Re: Estate of Patricia M. Tomedi, late of the Borough of Mount Carmel, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Joe Tomedi, 837 West Fifth Street, Mount Carmel, Pennsylvania, 17851, Administrator or Law Office of Cole & Varano, 110 South Oak Street, Mount Carmel, Pennsylvania, 17851, Attorney.

In Re: Estate of Minnie M. Seibert, late of the Township of West Cameron, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania,

deceased. Betty Lou Long, 2204 Lower Road, Shamokin, Pennsylvania, 17872, Executrix or Earl Richard Etzweiler, Esq., 105 N. Front Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17101, Attorney. 30-32

In Re: Estate of Gene D. Snyder, late of the Township of Lower Mahanoy, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Peggy R. Starr, 603 Turkey Hill Road, Dalmatia, Pennsylvania, 17017, Executrix or Joseph C. Michetti, Jr., Esq., LAW OFFICES OF DIEHL, DLUGE, MICHETTI & MICHETTI, 921 Market Street, Trevorton, Pennsylvania, 17881, Attorney. 30-32

In Re: Estate of Vera Spotts, late of the Township of Zerbe, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Theodore M. Spotts, 616 Trevorton Road, Shamokin, Pennsylvania, 17872, Executor or Joseph C. Michetti, Jr., Esq., LAW OFFICES OF DIEHL, DLUGE, MICHETTI & MICHETTI, 921 Market Street, Trevorton, Pennsylvania, 17881, Attorney.

In Re: Estate of Rosemary C. Dowd, late of the Borough of Northumberland, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Eileen T. Getz, Executrix, c/o Kenneth G. Potter, Esq., RHP Law Group, LLC, 1372 N. Susquehanna Trail, Suite 130, Selinsgrove, Pennsylvania, 17870, Attorney.

In Re: Estate of Walter F. Kozlowski, late of the Township of Mount Carmel, Northumberland County, Pennsylvania, deceased. Patricia M. Janovich, 1859 Trevorton Road, Coal Township, Pennsylvania, 17866, Administratrix or Schlesinger & Kerstetter, LLP, 545 North Second Street, Shamokin, Pennsylvania, 17872, Attorney.

DISSOLUTION NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the shareholders and directors of Roger C. Long Auto Sales Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation, with an address of 5539 Park Road, Selinsgrove, PA 178701 have approved a proposal that the corporation voluntarily dissolve, and that the Board of Directors is now engaged in winding up and settling the affairs of the corporation under provisions of Section 1975 of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended.

David P. Snyder & Associates Inc. PO Box 676 / 288 Bridge Ave. Sunbury, PA 17801 Phone 570-286-2275 30

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

NOTICE OF COMPLAINT IN QUIET TITLE ACTION IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MERCER COUNTY, PENNSYL VANIA CIVIL ACTION - LAW

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY NO. CV-19-378 CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT IN QUIET TITLE ACTION

CitiMortgage, Inc. Plaintiff

vs.

The Unknown Heirs, Successors, Assigns and all Persons, Firms or Associations Claiming Right, Title or Interest from or Under Lewis Gustin, Deceased Defendant(s),

NOTICE

TO: The Unknown Heirs, Successors, Assigns and all Persons, Firms or Associations Claiming Right, Title or Interest from or Under Lewis Gustin, Deceased

You are hereby notified that on January 25, 2019, Plaintiff, CITIMORTGAGE, INC., filed a Complaint in Quiet Title endorsed with a Notice to Defend, against you in the Court of Common Pleas of Northumberland County Pennsylvania, docket to TERM, No. CV-19-378. Wherein Plaintiff seeks judgment forever barring from asserting right, lien, title or interest in the property located at 98 Cedar Avenue, Milton, PA 17847.

You are hereby notified to plead to the above referenced Complaint on or before 20 day from the date of this publication or a Judgment will be entered against you.

NOTICE

You have been sued in Court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this Complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint for any other claim or relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS NOTICE TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CAN-NOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OF-FICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Court Administrator
Northumberland County
Courthouse
Sunbury, PA 17802
Telephone (570) 988-4167

30

SHERIFF'S SALE OF REAL ESTATE

By Virtue of Writs of Execution issued out of the Court of Common Pleas of Northumberland County, Civil Division,

upon Judgments in Mortgage Foreclosure, to me directed, will be exposed at public sale, on August 15, 2019 1:00 at P.M., in the Sheriff's Office of ROBERT J. WOLFE, at the Northumberland County Court House, 201 Market Street, in the City of Sunbury, County of Northumberland, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the following described real estate to wit:

Property of John L. Corbacio, known Heir of Albert F. Corbacio, Catherine deceased. Allen, known Heir of Albert F. Corbacio, deceased. Unknown Heirs, Successors, Assigns, and All Persons, Firms, or Associations claiming right, title, or interest from or under Albert F. Corbacio, deceased, known as 134 S Oak Street, Mount Carmel, PA 17851, Improvements Thereon: any improvements thereon, Tax Parcel 007-02-004-019, upon a Judgment at CV-18-1478, DLJ Mortgage Capital, Inc. vs. John L. Corbacio, known Heir of Albert F. Corbacio, deceased, et. al., in the amount of \$50,796.93, plus interest, costs and fees. Milstead & Associates, LLC.

Property of Georgina M. Dewitt and Kyle Lebo, known as 616 East Cameron Street, Shamokin, PA 17872, Improvements Thereon: the western half of a double house, Tax Parcel 015-01-007-031, upon a Judgment at CV-18-1276, Branch Banking and Trust Company vs. Georgina M. Dewitt and Kyle Lebo, in the amount of \$25,588.54, plus in-

terest, costs and fees. McCabe, Weisberg & Conway, LLC.

Property of Ryan M. Dieck, known as 134 Boyd Station Road, f/k/a 116 Boyd Station Road, Danville, PA 17821, Improvements Thereon: any improvements thereon, Tax Parcel 043-00-033-006-F, upon a Judgment at CV-19-276, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. vs. Ryan M. Dieck, in the amount of \$103,153.15, plus interest, costs and fees. Phelan Hallinan Diamond & Jones, LLP.

Property of Jean Graham a/k/a Jean K. Graham, known as 61 South Sport Lane, Locust Gap, PA 17840, Improvements Thereon: the southern half of double frame block dwelling house, Tax Parcel 008-01-078-025-B-002-A, upon a Judgment at CV-18-2197, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, As Trustee of Stanwich Mortgage Loan Trust A vs. Jean Graham a/k/a Jean K. Graham, in the amount of \$45,611.23, plus interest, costs and fees. KML Law Group, P.C.

Property of Terry D. Hine, known as 1430 West Chestnut Street, Coal Township, PA 17866, Improvements Thereon: a large single frame dwelling house, Tax Parcel 00G-01-00G-353, upon a Judgment at CV-18-456, Branch Banking and Trust Company vs. Terry D. Hine, in the amount of \$41,802.53, plus interest, costs and fees. McCabe, Weisberg & Conway, LLC.

Property of Jennifer Honicker

as Executrix of the Estate of Jerome G. Odorizzi, deceased, known as 247 West Walnut Street, Shamokin, PA 17872, Improvements Thereon: any improvements thereon, Tax Parcel 017-01-009-285, upon a Judgment at CV-19-242, Quicken Loans, Inc. vs. Jennifer Honicker as Executrix of the Estate of Jerome G. Odorizzi, deceased, in the amount of \$51,140.13, plus interest, costs and fees. KML Law Group, P.C.

Property of Curtis P. Mays Curtis Mays Jason F. Scott, Jr. a/k/a Jason F. Scott, known as 135 South Market Street f/k/a 9 South Market Street, Elysburg, PA 17824, Improvements Thereon: any improvements thereon, Tax Parcel 040-02-044-092, upon a Judgment at CV-18-397, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for New Century Home Equity Loan Trust, Series 2005, Asset Backed Pass-Through Certificates vs. Curtis P. Mays a/k/a Curtis Mays and Jason F. Scott, Jr. a/k/a Jason F. Scott, in the amount of \$71,815.92, plus interest, costs and fees. RAS Citron, LLC.

Property of Bernice Price, known as 321 North Street, Marion Heights, PA 17832, Improvements Thereon: a residential dwelling, Tax Parcel 003-00-001-042, upon a Judgment at CV-18-1035, Branch Banking and Trust Company vs. Bernice Price, in the amount of \$41,247.60, plus interest, costs and fees. McCabe,

Weisberg & Conway, LLC.

Property of Bryan L. Scheller and Diane S.M. Scheller a/k/a Diane Scheller, known as 341 Pennsylvania Avenue assessed as 341-343 Pennsylvania Ave, Sunbury, PA 17801, Improvements Thereon: a two story double frame dwelling house, Tax Parcel 047-01-002-130, upon a Judgment at CV-19-356, Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC vs. Bryan L. Scheller and Diane S.M. Scheller a/k/a Diane Scheller, in the amount of \$72,739.15, plus interest, costs and fees. Shapiro & DeNardo, LLC.

Property of Patsy L. Wolfe, known as 193 State Road f/k/a RR 1 Box 28, Dornsife, PA 17823, Improvements Thereon: a residential dwelling, Tax Parcel 027-00-072-020-B, upon a Judgment at CV-18-2004, Branch Banking and Trust Company vs. Patsy L. Wolfe, in the amount of \$151,180.84, plus interest, costs and fees. Phelan Hallinan Diamond & Jones, LLP.

All parties in interest and claimants are hereby notified that schedules of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff, in his office, not later than thirty (30) days after sale and distribution will be made in accordance with the schedules, unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days after the filing of the schedules. ANSWERS. SO ROBERT WOLFE, SHERIFF NORTHUMBERLAND COUNTY