



SINCE 1792

LANCASTER BAR ASSOCIATION

Lancaster Law Review

The Official Legal Periodical of Lancaster County

Vol. 96

LANCASTER, PA JANUARY 21, 2022

No. 3

CASE REPORTED

Commonwealth v. Frantz – No. 1931-2015 – Knisely, J. – November 16, 2021 – *Criminal* – *PCRA Opinion* – *Ineffective Assistance of Counsel* – *Admission of Photographs* – *Harmless Error* – *Impeachment* – *Prior Inconsistent Statement* – *Tactical Decisions of Trial Counsel* – *Objectively Reasonable Cross-Examination Strategy* 11

LEGAL NEWS

PBIs at the LBA.....4
Job Board.....5

LEGAL NOTICES

Annual Meeting Notice.....25
Articles of Dissolution.....25
Articles of Foreign Registration.....26
Articles of Incorporation.....26
Change of Name Notice.....26
Estate and Trust Notices.....16
Fictitious Name Notices.....27
Miscellaneous Legal Notices.....27
Orphans’ Court Division
 Auditing Notices.....28
Notice of Action in a
 Mortgage Foreclosure.....29
Notice of Parental Rights
 Termination Hearing.....29
Suits Entered.....30

LANCASTER LAW REVIEW

(USPS 304-080)

The Official Legal Periodical of Lancaster County –
Reporting the Decisions of the Courts of Lancaster County

OWNED AND PUBLISHED WEEKLY BY

LANCASTER BAR ASSOCIATION

2022

2021 LBA CONTACTS, SECTION & COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Lancaster Bar Association

28 East Orange Street

Lancaster, PA 17602

www.lancasterbar.org

Phone: 717-393-0737

Fax: 717-393-0221

LANCASTER BAR ASSOCIATION - STAFF

Executive Director

Lisa Driendl-Miller

lisa@lancasterbar.org

Lawyer Referral Service Coordinator

Cambrie Miller

cambrie@lancasterbar.org

**Managing Editor of the Lancaster
Law Review & Bookkeeper**

Kaitlyn Shoffstall

kaitlyn@lancasterbar.org

**Continuing Legal Education &
Membership Coordinator**

Emma Goodwin

emma@lancasterbar.org

LANCASTER BAR ASSOCIATION - BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President.....David Freedman

Past President.....Eric Athey

President-Elect.....Angela Ward

Vice President.....Robert Cronin

Secretary.....Bernard Ilkhanoff

Treasurer..... Luke Weber

Foundation President.....Terry Warco

Chair, Long Range & Strategic Plan.....Eric Rothermel

Chair, Young Lawyers.....Lance Greene

Chair, Lancaster Law Review.....Aaron Zeamer

Director at Large.....Gregory Latimer

Director at Large.....Jennifer Zuidema

Director at Large.....Kiandra Steffy

Director at Large.....Melissa Montgomery

2021 LBA CONTACTS, SECTION & COMMITTEE CHAIRS

SECTION CHAIRS

Child Dependency Law.....	David Natan
Civil Litigation.....	Jaime Jackson
Corporate Business & Bankruptcy Law.....	Brett Jackson
Criminal Law.....	Daniel Bardo
Employment & Labor Law.....	Caitlin Donahue
Estate Planning & Probate Law.....	Lindsay Schoeneberger
Family Law.....	Teresa Marino
In-House & Corporation Counsel.....	Avery Dice
Municipal, Zoning & Environmental Law.....	Reilly Noetzel
Professionalism/Ethics.....	Bryan Black
Real Estate Law.....	Rick Hecker
Solo/Small Firm Practice.....	Paula Silverstein
Workers' Compensation/Administrative Law.....	Ryan Murphy
Young Lawyers.....	Lance Greene

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Alternative Dispute Resolution.....	Dan Brookhart
Diversity.....	Kiandra Steffy
Fee Arbitration.....	William Benner, Jr.
Judiciary.....	Jeffrey Ouellet
Lawyer Referral.....	Eric Winkle
Nominating Committee.....	Andrea Farney
WIP.....	Claudia Shank

LANCASTER LAW FOUNDATION - BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President.....	Terry Warco
Vice President.....	Lindsay O'Neil
Secretary.....	John H. May
Treasurer.....	Jeffrey Ouellet
Director.....	Angela Rieck
Director.....	Bernadette Hohenadel
Director.....	Julia Vanasse
Director.....	Scott Mitchell
Director.....	Melvin Newcomer
Director.....	Amanda Kowalski
Director.....	Nichole Baer
Director.....	Grant Schonour
Director.....	Shaun Murphy
Director.....	Leslie Cradic

UPCOMING PBI_s AT THE LBA

January 25, 2022	The Burned Out Lawyer: Recognition and Prevention Strategies in the Post-COVID World 2022 9:00am-11:00am 2.0 Ethics Credits
January 27, 2022	Joint and Several Liability in 2022 9:00am-12:15pm 3.0 Substantive Credits
January 31, 2022	Wills of the Rich and Famous 2022 9:00am-1:15pm 3.0 Substantive, 1.0 Ethics Credit

Please register through the PA Bar Institute
at www.pbi.org.

Mediation and ADR Solutions

provided by **The Honorable Thomas I. Vanaskie (Ret.)**



- 24 years on the Federal bench (Third Circuit Court of Appeals and Middle District of Pennsylvania)
- Unmatched credentials and experience makes him uniquely qualified to assist parties resolve disputes with guidance that is informed, impartial, fair and objective
- Available to resolve disputes in business and commercial, class action and mass tort, employment, ERISA, insurance, antitrust, securities, intellectual property, civil rights and personal injury cases
- Serves as a Special Master in complex litigation and is highly experienced in the area of e-discovery and privilege review

Stevens & Lee

215.568.7560 • tiv@stevenslee.com

LANCASTER BAR ASSOCIATION
JOB BOARD

AVAILABLE ATTORNEY POSITIONS –

Attorney Position - [Unruh Turner Burke & Frees](#) has an opening in its West Chester office for a team-oriented attorney with 5 to 10 years of relevant experience. Candidates must be admitted to practice in Pennsylvania and have previous law firm experience in Pennsylvania representing clients in one or more of the following: real estate acquisition, development and financing transactions; representing business organizations in general business matters including contract drafting and negotiation; representing and counseling clients in formation and governance of business organizations. Candidates must have significant understanding of common business organizations. Candidates must have strong communication and drafting skills, and must be highly motivated, hard-working, diligent, articulate and able to work with a significant degree of independence. Unruh Turner Burke & Frees is an equal opportunity employer. Resumes should be sent to sondeck@utbf.com. For the complete job description, please click [here](#).

Associate or Of Counsel Attorney - The Law Office of Tucker R. Hull, LLC is looking for an associate or of counsel attorney. Candidates should have a willingness to work on a variety of matters, including litigation, municipal, domestic, estate planning, and estate administration, although prior experience in all these areas is not required. Experience with commercial litigation is preferred. We offer the chance to handle sophisticated legal matters in a small firm environment.

Competitive salary commensurate with experience and hours commitment.

Interested candidates should contact Tucker R. Hull, Esq., at tucker@tucker-hull-law.com. Please include cover letter, resume, and salary requirements.

About the Firm

Five years ago, Tucker R. Hull, Esq. left the big firm world after many years of practice with an Am Law 100 firm based in Philadelphia. Looking for a better work-life balance and a more fulfilling professional experience, he started his own firm. Today, the firm employs three attorneys and a paralegal, as well as administrative staff. Although, based in Annville, PA, we practice throughout the Commonwealth in both state and federal courts. We are a family friendly firm that provides a flexible work environment. We are willing to accommodate the need to work remotely certain days of the week and understand the importance of family commitments. In 2021 the Lebanon Daily News readers voted The Law Office of Tucker R. Hull, LLC the Best Law Firm in Lebanon County and the Best Company Culture. The firm and its attorneys are also regularly recognized by Super Lawyers, Best Lawyers, and U.S. News & World Report for their work.

—

Associate Attorney - Gardner & Stevens, P.C., a full-service law firm with offices in Lititz and Ephrata is seeking an associate attorney. The successful candidate must have at least 2 years of family law experience. Experience in any additional areas of law such as criminal defense and estate administration is helpful but not required. Salary commensurate with experience. Send resume, salary requirements and cover letter to Kyla Roseboro, kro-seboro@gardnerstevens.com. All applications will be held in the strictest confidence.

—

Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania
Criminal

Commonwealth v. Frantz

PCRA Opinion — Ineffective Assistance of Counsel — Admission of Photographs — Harmless Error — Impeachment — Prior Inconsistent Statement — Tactical Decisions of Trial Counsel — Objectively Reasonable Cross-Examination Strategy
 PCRA Opinion. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Andrew Todd Frantz. No. 1931-2015

Andrew J. Gonzalez, Esquire for Commonwealth
 Dennis C. Dougherty, Esquire for Defendant

OPINION BY: KNISELY, J. November 16, 2021. Before the Court is Andrew Todd Frantz’s counseled amended petition, filed pursuant to the Post Conviction Relief Act (PCRA), 42 Pa. C.S.A. §9541-46. Following an evidentiary hearing and supplemental briefing filed by the parties, and for the reasons set forth below, Frantz’s amended petition is dismissed.

I. BACKGROUND

The factual and procedural history of this case may be summarized as follows.¹ Frantz was charged at Docket 1931-2015 with one count of statutory sexual assault, one count of unlawful contact with a minor, one count of corruption of minors, and two counts of involuntary deviate sexual intercourse with a person less than 16 years of age (IDSI),² all stemming from a two year period of time during which Frantz (then a 20-year old man) repeatedly engaged his stepsister M.T. (then a 14-year old child) in oral, anal, and vaginal sex.³ M.T. did not report the abuse until sometime in January of 2015, after which she agreed to a one party consensual wiretap of a conversation between herself and Frantz. During that conversation, Frantz admitted to having sex with M.T. while she was a minor.⁴

The case proceeded to a jury trial on February 1, 2016, at the conclusion of which Frantz was found guilty on all counts charged in the Information. Sentencing was deferred to allow for the completion of a pre-sentence investigation (PSI). An Order was entered on February 3, 2016, directing Frantz to undergo an evaluation by the Sexual Offenders Assessment Board (SOAB) to determine whether he qualified as a sexually violent predator (SVP) pursuant to the Sexual Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA II), 42 Pa. C.S. §§9799.10-9799.75. Frantz was classified as a Tier III offender with lifetime registration requirements, but SOAB found he did not qualify as an SVP.

With the benefit of the PSI and the SOAB assessment, the Court sentenced Frantz on June 1, 2016, to the following: 1-2 years’ incar-

¹ For a more detailed recitation of the facts developed at trial, see the Opinion of August 17, 2016, authored by the undersigned pursuant to Rule 1925(a) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure.

² 18 Pa.C.S.A. § 3122.1(a)(1); 18 Pa.C.S.A. § 6218(a)(1); 18 Pa.C.S.A. § 6301(a)(1); and 18 Pa.C.S.A. § 3123(a)(7), respectively. The original Information included several additional charges that the Commonwealth withdrew at trial. Those charges are not detailed here as they are irrelevant to the issues before the Court.

³ See *Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial, February 1-3, 2016 (Kniseley, J.)* (“N.T. Jury Trial”) at 87-88, 101.

⁴ N.T. Jury Trial at 82-95, 100, 128-129, 150-151.

ceration for the statutory sexual assault count; 4-8 years' incarceration for unlawful contact with a minor; 5 years' probation for corruption of minors; and 4-8 years' incarceration on each IDSI, all sentences to run concurrently with the exception of one IDSI sentence made consecutive to the others. The aggregate sentence imposed was a total of 8 to 20 years to be served in a State Correctional Institution. This sentence fell within the standard range of the guidelines.

On June 13, 2016, Frantz filed a timely Motion to Modify Sentence, which the Court denied by Order of June 17, 2016. He then filed a timely direct appeal of his conviction and judgment of sentence to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania.⁵ See No. 1190 MDA 2016. On February 24, 2017, a three-judge panel of the Superior Court affirmed in an unpublished memorandum.⁶ See *Commonwealth v. Frantz*, No. 1190 MDA 2016, 2017 WL 728739 (Pa. Super.Ct. Feb. 24, 2017). Frantz was represented at trial by the Lancaster County Public Defender's Office, specifically Daniel E. Kaye, Esquire (trial counsel). Attorney Diana Kelleher of that same office represented Frantz on appeal; however, appellate counsel's effectiveness is not at issue in this PCRA.

On September 27, 2017,⁷ Frantz timely⁸ filed a pro se PCRA petition. Pursuant to Rule 904(A) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Criminal Procedure, Dennis C. Dougherty, Esquire, was appointed on October 3, 2017, to represent Frantz on his collateral claims and was granted leave to file an amended petition. On September 21, 2018,⁹ Frantz filed a counseled Amended Petition for Post-Conviction Collateral Relief (Amended Petition) which the Commonwealth answered on November 13, 2018. On November 16, 2018, the Commonwealth filed a motion to stay further proceedings as to the claim presented in Section II (§§20-24) of the Amended Petition, pending a decision by the Superior Court in the matter of *Commonwealth v. Lippincott* (Docket No. 2057 MDA 2014), which the Commonwealth anticipated would have direct implications for the constitutional issues presented in Section II.¹⁰ The Court granted the stay, directing the Commonwealth to file notice upon the decision in

⁵ Pursuant to this Court's directive, Frantz filed a statement of errors complained of on appeal in which he raised two issues: (1) that the Court abused its discretion in sentencing by improperly relying on its impression that Frantz had not taken responsibility for his crimes; and (2) that the Court erred by refusing to declare a mistrial after the prosecutor stated in its closing argument that Frantz was "the first person to introduce [the victim] to this world of sex." On August 26, 2016, the Court explained to the appellate court its reasons for denying relief, in an Opinion filed pursuant to Pa.R.A.P.1925(a).

⁶ Affirming, the Superior Court held that while Frantz raised a substantial question as to whether we considered impermissible factors at sentencing, the record did not support the claim. The Superior Court further found that the undersigned exhaustively reviewed Frantz's circumstances before fashioning a reasonable sentence within the standard range of the guidelines, and that imposition of a consecutive term of incarceration was not unduly harsh considering the nature of the crimes.

⁷ The pleading is deemed filed on the date of mailing, September 27, 2017, rather than the date of docketing, October 2, 2017, pursuant to the "prisoner mailbox rule." See *Commonwealth v. Crawford*, 17 A.3d 1279, 1281 (Pa. Super. 2011) ("Under the prisoner mailbox rule, we deem a pro se document filed on the date it is placed in the hands of prison authorities for mailing.")

⁸ Pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9545(b), a petition for relief must be filed within one year of the date the judgment of sentence becomes final. For purposes of the PCRA, "a judgment becomes final at the conclusion of direct review, including discretionary review in the Supreme Court of the United States . . . or at the expiration of time for seeking the review." 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9545(b)(3) (emphasis added). Here, Frantz's appeal was denied on February 24, 2017. No petition for allowance of appeal was filed to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and so his judgment of sentence became final on March 24, 2017, when the period for filing a petition expired. See Pa.R.A.P. 1113(a) (providing "a petition for allowance of appeal shall be filed with the Prothonotary of the Supreme Court within 30 days after the entry of the order of the Superior Court . . . sought to be reviewed"). Frantz filed his pro se PCRA petition on September 27, 2017; therefore, it is timely.

⁹ The Court notes a gap of nearly a year between the appointment of counsel and the filing of the Amended Petition. Nothing in the record accounts for this delay, and the Court has no independent recollection of the circumstances.

¹⁰ The Supreme Court had directed the *Lippincott* parties to brief the issues of whether Act 10/SORNA II was punitive in nature and whether it could be applied retroactively.

Lippincott, and then proceeded with an evidentiary hearing solely on the remaining issues in Section III (§§ 25-31)).

On June 4, 2019, the Commonwealth filed notice of a decision in *Lippincott*,¹¹ however, the Superior Court's opinion in that case did not reach the constitutionality of applying SORNA II retroactively, and the Commonwealth requested another stay pending the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision in the matter of *Commonwealth v. LaCombe*. The Supreme Court was specifically poised in that case to review the constitutionality of applying SORNA II retroactively. A ruling on that issue would be dispositive of Frantz's issues in that regard. The stay was granted by Order of June 4, 2019, again with direction for the Commonwealth to file notice upon the Supreme Court's decision. The Supreme Court filed its opinion in *Lacombe* on July 21, 2020. See *Commonwealth v. Lacombe*, 234 A.3d 602 (2020) (*Lacombe*).

On August 23, 2021, the Court entered an agreed Order that (1) directed both parties to request transcripts of the November PCRA evidentiary hearing; (2) concluded that combined, *Lippincott* and *Lacombe* resolved Frantz's SORNA claim (Amended Petition, Section II (§§ 20-24)) in favor of the Commonwealth; and (3) set a briefing schedule for the remaining issues presented in the Amended Petition (Section III (§§ 25-31)). Accordingly, Frantz filed a supplemental brief in support on October 1, 2021, and the Commonwealth filed its brief in opposition on October 25, 2021. This matter is, at long last, ripe for disposition.

II. ELIGIBILITY FOR PCRA RELIEF

A petitioner seeking relief pursuant to the PCRA is eligible only if he pleads and proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, that (1) he has been convicted of a crime under the laws of this Commonwealth and is currently serving a sentence of imprisonment, probation or parole for the crime; (2) his conviction has resulted from one or more of the enumerated errors or defects found in § 9543(a)(2) of the PCRA; (3) he has not waived or previously litigated the issues he raises; and (4) the failure to litigate the issue prior to and during trial, or on direct appeal could not have been the result of any rational, strategic, or tactical decision by counsel. 42 Pa. C.S.A. §9543(a)(2),(3),(4). A petitioner has previously litigated an issue if (1) the highest appellate court in which a petitioner could have had review as a matter of right has ruled on the merits of the issue, *Commonwealth v. Spotz*, 47 A.3d 63, 76 (2012), or (2) the issue has been raised and decided in a proceeding collaterally attacking the conviction or sentence. 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 9544(a); *Commonwealth v. Phillips*, 31 A.3d 317, 320 (Pa. Super. 2011). A petitioner has waived an issue if the petitioner could have raised the issue but failed to do so before trial, on appeal, or in a prior state post-conviction proceeding. 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 9544(b); *Spotz*, 47 A.3d at 76. However, waiver will be excused under the PCRA if a petitioner can meet the conditions of 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 9543(a)(3)(ii) or (iii)¹² or by making a showing of ineffective assistance

¹¹ *Commonwealth v. Lippincott*, 208 A.3d 143 (Pa. Super. 2019).

¹²

Section 9543(a)(3) provides:

[T]hat the allegation of error has not been previously litigated and one of the following applies:

(ii) If the allegation of error has been waived, the alleged error has resulted in the conviction or affirmance of sentence of an innocent individual.

of counsel. *Commonwealth v. Morales*, 701 A.2d 516, 520 (1997). Here, Frantz has raised two claims of ineffective assistance of counsel.

In order to prevail on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel made in the post-conviction context, a petitioner must overcome the presumption that counsel is effective, establishing by a preponderance of the evidence that (1) the underlying claim has arguable merit; (2) trial counsel had no reasonable basis for proceeding as he did; and (3) the petitioner suffered prejudice. See 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 9543(a)(2)(ii); *Commonwealth v. Ali*, 10 A.3d 282, 291 (Pa. 2010).

With respect to whether counsel's acts or omissions were reasonable, defense counsel is accorded broad discretion to determine tactics and strategy. *Commonwealth v. Fowler*, 670 A.2d 153, 155-56 (Pa. Super. 1996). The applicable test is not whether alternative strategies were more reasonable, employing a "hindsight" evaluation of the record, but whether counsel's decision had any reasonable basis to advance the interests of the defendant. *Commonwealth v. Chmiel*, 30 A.3d 1111, 1127 (2011). The appellate courts will conclude that counsel's chosen strategy lacked a reasonable basis only if the petitioner proves that "an alternative not chosen offered a potential for success substantially greater than the course actually pursued." *Id.* at 1127 (quoting *Commonwealth v. Williams*, 899 A.2d 1060, 1064 (2006)).

To establish the prejudice prong, the petitioner must show there is a reasonable probability that the outcome of the proceedings would have been different but for counsel's ineffectiveness. Chmiel, 30 A.3d at 1127-28. "We stress that boilerplate allegations and bald assertions of no reasonable basis and/or ensuing prejudice cannot satisfy a petitioner's burden to prove that counsel was ineffective." *Id.* (quoting *Commonwealth v. Paddy*, 15 A.3d 431, 443 (2011)). Failure to establish any prong of the Pierce test will defeat an ineffectiveness claim. *Commonwealth v. Martin*, 5 A.3d 177, 183 (Pa. 2010); *Commonwealth v. Basemore*, 744 A.2d 717, 738 n.23 (2000) (citing *Commonwealth v. Rollins*, 738 A.2d 435, 441 (1999) (ordinarily, post-conviction claim of ineffective assistance of counsel may be denied by showing petitioner's evidence fails to meet any one of three prongs for claim). Again, counsel is presumed to be effective, and the burden is on the appellant to prove otherwise. *Commonwealth v. Hanible*, 30 A.3d 426, 439 (Pa. 2011).

III. DISCUSSION

Although Frantz's Amended Petition initially raised several issues for review, the only issues remaining are whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance for failing to object to the introduction into evidence of M.T.'s ninth and tenth grade yearbook pictures, which depicted her as she looked during the two year period of sexual abuse, and whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance in his cross-examination of M.T., the complainant.¹³ The Court will address these issues

(iii) If the allegation of error has been waived, the waiver of the allegation of error during pretrial, trial, post-trial or direct appeal proceedings does not constitute a State procedural default barring Federal habeas corpus relief.

42 Pa. C.S.A. § 9543(a)(3).

¹³ Included in the Amended Petition, filed on September 21, 2018, is a further claim that trial counsel was ineffective for failing "to file a post sentence motion challenging the weight of the evidence, thereby waiving Frantz's ability to assert a weight of the evidence claim on direct appeal." See Amended Petition at ¶31. That claim, however, was not included in the supplemental briefing and appears to have

seriatim.

A. Admission of Photographs

Initially, Frantz claims that trial counsel was ineffective for failing to object to the admission of the yearbook photographs depicting M.T. at the age she was when Frantz sexually abused her. See Amended Petition at ¶29. Frantz contends that “[t]he photograph was prejudicial and not relevant to the trial, as mistake of age was not a defense asserted. The photo was unduly prejudicial and without probative value. Trial counsel agreed [at the evidentiary hearing] that the photograph was not relevant to the trial in this matter.” Amended Petition at 3 (citing N.T. PCRA Hearing at 115). To succeed on this issue, Frantz must be able to prove that the underlying argument has merit (the photographs were irrelevant, highly prejudicial, and should have been excluded), that there was no reasonable basis for failing to object to admission of the photographs, and that Frantz suffered undue prejudice by trial counsel’s failure to object. For prejudice, he must show by a preponderance of the evidence there is a reasonable probability the verdict would have been different had counsel lodged an objection to the introduction of the photographs.

Frantz argues that the photographs depicting M.T.’s appearance/age at the time of sexual contact had no evidentiary relevance, that trial counsel should have objected when the Commonwealth moved to admit the photos, and that trial counsel’s failure to so object resulted in undue prejudice. For support, he relies on the Pennsylvania Superior Court case of *Commonwealth v. Vucich*, 194 A.3d 1103 (Pa. Super. 2018) for the proposition that his underlying claim has arguable merit, i.e., that the photographic evidence of M.T.’s appearance was legally irrelevant and inadmissible. Upon a cursory reading of that case, it might appear that *Vucich* would support Frantz’s ineffectiveness argument, however, a closer look at the entire holding reveals a different picture. Rather than supporting Franz’s argument, a complete reading of *Vucich* shows the case actually undercuts it. In *Vucich*, our Superior Court addressed a matter similar to the one at bar: the complaining victim was a 19-year-old who had reported being sexually abused by his stepfather ten years earlier. At trial, the prosecution entered into evidence two photographs that depicted the victim as he appeared at nine years old, the age he was during the alleged molestation. Arguing these photographs were legally irrelevant, prejudicial, and intended to inflame the jury, the defendant appealed his conviction. *Id.* at 106.

Before ultimately affirming, the *Vucich* court did find that under the circumstances of that case, the photographic evidence was legally irrelevant. The Superior Court analogized the circumstances before it with homicide cases that address photographic evidence offered to show a homicide victim’s appearance prior to the criminal act. The Court noted that in most such cases, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has found that “such evidence is normally irrelevant and should be avoided” due to its potential for prejudice against a defendant. *Vucich*, 194 A.3d at

1109 (citing *Commonwealth v. Smyrnes*, 638 Pa. 225, 154 A.3d 741, 754 (2017)); see also *Commonwealth v. Rivers*, 644 A.2d 710, 716 (Pa. 1994) (such evidence relevant only where victim's character/physical abilities are questioned). The *Vucich* Court reasoned that "these principles naturally extend to the present circumstances" and found that since the defendant had never contested that the victim was a child at the time of the alleged crimes, the photos at issue (portraying the victim as a child) were irrelevant to the various statutory sexual assault charges against him. *Vucich*, 194 A.3d at 1109.

The *Vucich* Court did not, however, end its analysis upon this finding; rather, the Court concluded that despite the trial court's error, it need not reverse the ultimate finding of guilt. Even though the evidence was erroneously admitted,

[a]n erroneous ruling by a trial court on an evidentiary issue does not require us to grant relief where the error was harmless. The Commonwealth bears the burden of demonstrating harmless error. Harmless error exists where: (1) the error did not prejudice the defendant or the prejudice was de minimis; (2) the erroneously admitted evidence was merely cumulative of other untainted evidence which was substantially similar to the erroneously admitted evidence; or (3) the properly admitted and uncontradicted evidence of guilt was so overwhelming and the prejudicial effect of the error was so insignificant by comparison that the error could not have contributed to the verdict.

Id. at 1110 (quotations and citations omitted). Examining the facts before it, the Court determined that any prejudicial effect resulting from the photos was at most *de minimis*, reasoning that while the photographs were legally irrelevant to the defendant's guilt, "their use was limited, and . . . the exhibits simply depicted [the victim]'s general appearance at the time" of the crimes. *Id.* Furthermore, because the matter involved various statutory sex crimes, "the jury was obviously aware of the fact that [the victim] was once a child, and it takes no great leap of imagination to imagine what a witness may have looked like as a child. This point further highlights the *de minimis* prejudice." *Id.* at 1111. The Court found harmless error beyond a reasonable doubt.¹⁴

Turning to the case *sub judice*, an examination of the facts reveals that even assuming, arguendo, that trial counsel should have objected to admission of the photographs, the fact that he failed to object could not have resulted in the level of prejudice that would justify relief under the PCRA's ineffective assistance provision. The Commonwealth introduced M.T.'s photographs only after trial counsel challenged M.T.'s

¹⁴ The *Vucich* Court pointedly limited its holding to the specific facts before it "wherein the photographs were displayed for no purpose other than establishing [the victim's] appearance at the time of the crimes. *Vucich*, 194 A.3d at 1111. The Court emphasized that it did "not hold that the appearance of a child victim is per se irrelevant." *Id.*

ability to recall the exact timing of the two-year period when the sexual contact occurred. N.T. Jury Trial at 108-109, 115. Much as in *Vucich*, neither the Commonwealth nor the defense referred to the photos again after their initial admission into evidence. Moreover, both photographs merely depicted what M.T. looked like at the time of the incidents under scrutiny. See *Vucich*, 194 A.3d at 1110-111; accord *Commonwealth v. Coulter*, No. 609 EDA 2018, 2019 WL 4738785 (Pa. Super. Sept. 26, 2019)(photos of statutory sexual assault victim admitted erroneously but used for limited purpose with de minimis prejudicial effect that did not affect outcome of trial). When analyzed under *Vucich* and its progeny, even if the photographs had been admitted over counsel's objection, any potential prejudice to Frantz would have been *de minimis* at most because of their limited use under the circumstances.¹⁵ Consequently, it cannot be said that "there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's failure [to object], the result of the proceedings would have been different." *Chmiel supra*. Frantz's ineffective assistance of counsel claim fails and must be denied.

B. Cross-Examination of M.T.

Frantz next argues that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by failing to impeach M.T. at trial with her prior inconsistent statements made on the record during a police interview. See Def. Br. in Supp. of Amended Petition at 5. As noted previously, "[i]t is well-established that trial counsel possesses broad discretion in the matter of trial strategy and the determination of defense tactics employed during litigation." *Commonwealth v. Lam*, 447 Pa. Super. 534, 670 A.2d 153, 155 (1996). To this end, "[s]o long as counsel's tactical decisions may be objectively viewed as having a reasonable basis designed to effectuate the client's interests, his stewardship cannot be deemed ineffective and the defendant cannot be said to have been denied a fair trial." *Commonwealth v. Petra*, 368 Pa. Super. 372, 534 A.2d 483, 487 (1987). This claim also fails because trial counsel's testimony at the PCRA Evidentiary Hearing adequately articulated his reasonable, strategic decision to forego pursuing that particular line of cross-examination with M.T. at trial.

In this case, trial counsel explained at the evidentiary hearing that although inconsistent with some aspects of her trial testimony, M.T.'s prior statements were actually more damaging to Frantz than those she made on the witness stand. N.T. PCRA Evidentiary Hearing at 16-17, 23. Trial counsel strategically determined that it would do little to advance his client's interests if he were to question M.T. in front of the jury regarding such issues as why she presently testified to fewer incidents of sexual contact than before, or why she stated previously but not presently that Frantz made threats against her in conjunction with the sexual encounters. *Id.* The record reflects that trial counsel's over-

¹⁵ For purposes of this ineffective assistance claim only, the Court has assumed, *arguendo*, that the photographs were legally irrelevant. We would be remiss, however, not to point out that in the context of this particular trial, the photos of the victim at the age she was when the incidents occurred were, in fact, highly relevant to the IDSI charges, where the Commonwealth was required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the victim was under the age of 16 when the defendant engaged in involuntary deviate sexual intercourse with her. 18 Pa. C.S.A. §3123(a)(7). Consequently, Frantz' underlying claim simply lacks arguable merit, and the ineffective assistance of counsel PCRA claim could be dismissed on that basis alone.

all cross-examination strategy was instead to highlight that M.T.'s drug abuse negatively affected her memory of the events of several years ago. Given that trial counsel's chosen line of cross-examination effectively challenged M.T.'s credibility as a witness, it was objectively reasonable for him to forego alerting the jury to the fact that M.T. had previously accused Frantz of many more sexual encounters, one of which involved the threat or use of force. Therefore, Frantz's ineffective assistance of counsel claim fails on this ground as well.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Andrew Todd Frantz's counseled Amended PCRA petition is meritless and must be denied. Accordingly, the Court enters the following:

ORDER

AND NOW, this 16th day of November, 2021, upon consideration of Andrew Todd Frantz's Amended Petition for post-conviction collateral relief, the Commonwealth's response, the supplemental briefing of the parties, and after an evidentiary hearing, it is hereby ORDERED that said petition is DENIED.

Petitioner is advised that he has the right to appeal from this Order and the right to assistance of counsel in the preparation of that appeal. Petitioner shall have 30 days from the date of this final Order to appeal to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania. Failure to appeal within 30 days will result in the loss of appellate rights.

It is further ORDERED that Petitioner shall have the right, if indigent, to appeal in forma pauperis and to proceed with assigned counsel as provided in Pa. R.Crim.P. 122.

BY THE COURT:
HOWARD F. KNISELY
JUDGE

ESTATE AND TRUST NOTICES

Notice is hereby given that, in the estates of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills has granted letters testamentary or of administration to the persons named. Notice is also hereby given of the existence of the trusts of the deceased settlors set forth below for whom no personal representatives have been appointed within 90 days of death. All persons having claims or demands against said estates or trusts are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates or trusts are requested to make payment, without delay, to the executors or administrators or trustees or to their attorneys named below.

FIRST PUBLICATION

Armer, Helen E., dec'd.

Late of Christiana Borough.
Executor: William F. Armer, Jr.
c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33
North Duke Street, Lancaster,
PA 17602.
Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet, Es-
quire.

Bink, Sandra L., dec'd.

Late of Columbia Borough.
Executor: Annmarie Whitson
c/o 327 Locust Street, Colum-
bia, PA 17512.
Attorney: John F. Markel.

Bernstein, Dennis, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.
Administratrix: Cathy M. Mon-
tagne, 819 Hornig Road, Lan-
caster, PA 17601.
Attorney: None.

Bitner, Barbara A., dec'd.

Late of the Township of Mount

Joy.

Executor: John R. Bitner c/o
Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP,
222 S. Market Street, Suite 201,
Elizabethtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: Kevin D. Dolan, Es-
quire.

Breneman, Edgar G., dec'd.

Late of East Lampeter Township.
Executrix: Kim M. Miller c/o
Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach,
Attorneys-at-Law, P.O. Box 539,
339 North Duke Street, Lancast-
er, PA 17608-0539.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer,
Esquire.

Burkhart, William D., dec'd.

Late of Caernarvon Township.
Executors: David W. Means and
Margaret Mary Means c/o A.
Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West
Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.
Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie.

Davis, Karen M., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.
Executor: Mark Steven Roda c/o
Pyfer, Reese, Straub, Gray &
Farhat, P.C., 128 N. Lime Street,
Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Pyfer, Reese, Straub,
Gray & Farhat, P.C.

Eshleman, Glenn Y., dec'd.

Late of East Lampeter Township.
Executrix: Carol J. Herr c/o
Russell, Krafft & Gruber, LLP,
101 North Pointe Blvd., Suite
202, Lancaster, PA 17601.
Attorney: Jon M. Gruber.

**Faust, Barbara A. a/k/a Barbara
Faust,** dec'd.

Late of West Donegal Township.
Executor: Diana K. Reigner c/o

Helen Z. Stauffer, Esquire, 70 Hemlock Drive, Gilbertsville, PA 19525.

Attorney: Helen Z. Stauffer.

Findley, Harry L., dec'd.

Late of Providence Township.

Executor: Dennis W. Findley c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545.

Attorney: Young and Young.

Firestone, Clyde B. a/k/a Clyde Becker Firestone, dec'd.

Late of 100 N. State St., Apt. 308, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Executor: William J. Dibler, 817 Rhodora Avenue, Reading, PA 19605.

Attorney: Scott C. Painter, Esquire; The Law Office of Scott C. Painter, P.C., 906 Penn Avenue, Suite 1, Wyomissing, PA 19610.

Geib, Donna Jean a/k/a Donna J. Geib, dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.

Executor: Gary L. Miller c/o Anthony P. Schimaneck, Esquire, 700 North Duke Street, P.O. Box 4686, Lancaster, PA 17604-4686.

Attorneys: Morgan, Hallgren, Crosswell & Kane, P.C.

Haeuser, August Carlson a/k/a August C. Haeuser, dec'd.

Late of West Hempfield Township.

Co-Executors: August A. C. Haeuser and Kaitlyn M. Keeley c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, P.O. Box 539, 339 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17608-0539.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer,

Esquire.

Heisey, Mary V. a/k/a Mary Virginia Heisey, dec'd.

Late of Manor Township.

Co-Executors: Pauline L. McCreight and Paul G. Heisey c/o Nancy Mayer Hughes, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 126 East King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

Hess, Lydia M. a/k/a Lydia Mae Hess a/k/a Lydia Hess, dec'd.

Late of Little Britain Township.

Executors: Steven J. Hess, Lorraine C. Hutton, Barbara S. McFalls and Robin MacMahon c/o Mongiovi Law, LLC, 235 North Lime Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Michael J. Mongiovi.

High, Betty M., dec'd.

Late of Warwick Township.

Executor: Samuel E. High, Jr. c/o Attorney J. Elvin Kraybill, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Hirschler, John R., dec'd.

Late of East Lampeter Township and East Donegal Township.

Executor: Marc Hirschler, 415 West Beechtree Lane, Wayne, PA 19087.

Attorney: None.

Johnson, Stephanie E., dec'd.

Late of Upper Leacock Township.

Administrator: David E. Johnson c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New

Holland, PA 17557.
Attorney: Ashley Glick, Esquire;
Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Keebler, Glenn E., dec'd.

Late of Clay Township.
Executrix: Deborah J. Keebler
c/o A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A
West Main Street, Ephrata, PA
17522.
Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie.

Kochel, Kenneth D. a/k/a Kenneth Dale Kochel, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.
Administratrix: Janet T. Kochel
c/o Mongiovi Law, LLC, 235
North Lime Street, Lancaster,
PA 17602.
Attorney: Michael J. Mongiovi.

Krueger, Lisa a/k/a Lisa Lynn Krueger, dec'd.

Late of West Hempfield Township.
Executrix: Wanda Hahn c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 222 S. Market Street, Suite 201, Elizabethtown, PA 17022.
Attorney: Kevin D. Dolan, Esquire.

Landvater, David R., Sr., dec'd.

Late of Elizabethtown Borough.
Administrator: Patricia Flowers
c/o Stacey W. Betts, Esq., 75
East Main Street, Mount Joy, PA
17552.
Attorney: Stacey W. Betts, Esquire.

Lapp, Florence R., dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.
Executors: Gregory A. Lapp,
Timothy C. Lapp and Geoffrey E.
Lapp c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP,

33 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet, Esquire.

Michalec, Nancy E., dec'd.

Late of Marietta Borough.
Executor: Michael M. Michalec
c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33
North Duke Street, Lancaster,
PA 17602.
Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet, Esquire.

Moore, R. Lee a/k/a Robert Lee Moore, dec'd.

Late of Leacock Township.
Executor: Brenda L. Marks c/o
Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131
W. Main Street, New Holland, PA
17557.
Attorney: Patrick A. Deibler, Esquire; Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Morales, Jordan Luis, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.
Executor: Robert Bacher, 53 N.
Duke Street, Suite 309, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Robert Bacher, Esquire.

Oblender, Norma C. a/k/a Norma Constantine Oblender, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Thomas Oblender,
980 Buckwalter Road, Lititz, PA
17543.
Attorney: None.

Pope, Jody M., dec'd.

Late of West Donegal Township.
Personal Representative: Randy S. Pope, Administrator, c/o Thomas M. Gish, Sr., Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA

17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Seibert, Kenneth L., dec'd.

Late of Clay Township.

Executor: Shawn W. Seibert c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545.

Attorney: Young and Young.

Sigmund, Arlene D., dec'd.

Late of Bethlehem, Northampton County, PA.

Executor: Heidi S. Budsock c/o Bellomo & Associates, LLC, 3198 East Market Street, York, PA 17402.

Attorney: Daniel D. Hill, Esquire; Bellomo & Associates, LLC, 3198 East Market Street, York, PA 17402.

Stoltzfus, Constance S. a/k/a Constance S. Barker Stoltzfus, dec'd.

Late of Eden Township.

Executor: Carley J. Stoltzfus c/o Janis M. Smith, Esquire, 4203 West Lincoln Highway, Parkesburg, PA 19365.

Attorney: Janis M. Smith, Esquire.

Stoltzfus, Katie G., dec'd.

Late of Salisbury Township.

Executor: Isaac Stoltzfus c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Patrick A. Deibler, Esquire; Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Stum, John E., dec'd.

Late of Elizabethtown Borough.

Executor: John M. Stum c/o Anthony P. Schimanek, 700 North Duke Street, P.O. Box 4686, Lancaster, PA 17604-4686.

Attorney: Morgan, Hallgren, Crosswell & Kane, P.C.

Vincens, Simone J., dec'd.

Late of the City of Lancaster.

Executor: Louis Aucoin c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet.

Zimmerman, Ella E., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Township.

Executor: Erwin R. Zimmerman c/o A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie.

SECOND PUBLICATION

Alleman, Isabell E., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Personal Representative: Robert W. Alleman c/o John W. Metzger, Esquire, 901 Rohrerstown Road, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorneys: Metzger and Spencer, LLP.

Bates, Ruth Eleanor, dec'd.

Late of Mount Joy Borough.

Administratrix: Shirley A. Good c/o Scott E. Albert, Esq., 50 East Main Street, Mount Joy, PA 17552.

Attorney: Scott E. Albert, Esquire.

Brosius, Ethel D., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Township.

Executrix: Dawn E. Ortiz c/o Ni-

kolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 222 S. Market St., Suite 201, Elizabethtown, PA 17022.
Attorney: Matthew S. Bleacher, Esquire.

Buckreis, Margaret J., dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Lititz.
Personal Representative: Troy A. Auker and Todd A. Auker, Executors, c/o John R. Gibbel, Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.
Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Bunda, John, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster City.
Executor: Robert Bacher, 53 N. Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Robert Bacher, Esquire.

Burkins, Guy A., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.
Administratrix: Tina Zarfoss c/o Anthony P. Schimaneck, Esquire, 700 North Duke Street, P.O. Box 4686, Lancaster, PA 17604-4686.
Attorneys: Morgan, Hallgren, Crosswell & Kane, P.C.

Devine, Thomas E., Sr., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.
Executor: Vicky L. Gross c/o Cynthia Boyer Blakeslee, Attorney at Law, 332 East Main Street, Suite 220, Leola, PA 17540.
Attorney: Cynthia Boyer Blakeslee.

Dimond, Dale, dec'd.

Late of Lititz Borough.

Executor: Traci J. Godbey c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.
Attorney: Linda Kling, Esquire; Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Dominick, Mary Alice C., dec'd.

Late of Gap, PA.
Executor: Mary Alice Magowan, 16 Saddlebrook Lane, Coatesville, PA 19320.
Attorney: None.

Drager, Wayne E., dec'd.

Late of East Donegal Township.
Co-Executors: Wayne E. Drager, Jr. and Annette M. Drager c/o Scott E. Albert, Esq., 50 East Main Street, Mount Joy, PA 17552.
Attorney: Scott E. Albert, Esquire.

Hippert, Clare K., dec'd.

Late of the Township of Manheim.
Personal Representative: Brent E. Hippert and Bonnie Mentzer, Administrators, c/o Ann L. Martin, Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.
Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Hoover, Edna R., dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.
Executors: Earl R. Hoover, Melvin R. Hoover, and Lloyd R. Hoover c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.
Attorney: Linda Kling, Esquire; Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Hornberger, Shirley A., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Co-Executors: Cheryl D. Hornberger and James E. Hornberger c/o David R. Morrison & Assoc., 1850 William Penn Way, Suite 103, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: David R. Morrison & Assoc.

Houghton, Hazel M. a/k/a Hazel Marie Houghton, dec'd.

Late of Millersville Borough.

Co-Personal Representatives: Robert W. Houghton and Diane H. McQueen c/o John W. Metzger, Esquire, 901 Rohrerstown Road, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorneys: Metzger and Spencer, LLP.

Kelly, Valeria M., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executrix: Kathy Davidson Ireland c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet.

Lefever, Shirley Ann, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.

Executor: Michael S. Lefever, 68 River Bend Park, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: None.

Lessley, John H., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.

Executor: Craig J. Lessley c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Ashley A. Glick, Esquire; Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Mason, Maryfrances, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Personal Representative: John H. May, Executor, c/o John H. May, Esquire, 49 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorneys: May, Herr & Grosh, LLP.

Miller, Richard Lee, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Personal Representative: Dianna R. Miller c/o John W. Metzger, Esquire, 901 Rohrerstown Road, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorneys: Metzger and Spencer, LLP.

Moeller, Nancy T., dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.

Executor: Maurine M. Van Dyke c/o Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Good & Harris, LLP.

Moretz, Myrle N., dec'd.

Late of Lititz Borough.

Executor: Douglas J. Arnold, 2435 Guilford Street, Lebanon, PA 17046.

Attorney: Joseph M. Farrell, 201/203 South Railroad Street, P.O. Box 113, Palmyra, PA 17078.

Morris, Patricia K. a/k/a Patricia Kay Morris, dec'd.

Late of Denver Borough.

Executor: Scott E. Zerkowski, 410 Sandy Hill Road, Denver, PA 17517.

Attorney: Jennifer M. Merx; Skarlatos Zonarich, 320 Market St., Ste. 600W, Harrisburg, PA 17101.

Musser, Erma M., dec'd.

Late of West Earl Township.
Personal Representative: Shawn H. Musser, Executor, c/o John R. Gibbel, Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.
Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Navaroli, Henry B., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Steven L. Navaroli c/o Paul G. Lutz, Esquire, 110 South Northern Way, York, PA 17402.
Attorney: Paul G. Lutz, Esquire.

Painton, Charles A., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster City.
Executor: Judy Knickerbocker c/o Robert Bacher, Attorney, 53 N. Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Robert Bacher, Esquire.

Peterson, Charles Buckley, III, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.
Executor: John E. Spear, Jr. c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

Reiff, Paul G., dec'd.

Late of Upper Leacock Township.
Executor: Mark M. Zimmerman c/o H. Charles Benner, Attorney, 200 East Main Street, Leola, PA 17540.
Attorney: H. Charles Benner, Esquire.

Reynolds, Eleanor T. a/k/a Eleanor Reynolds, dec'd.

Late of Mt. Joy Twp.
Executor: Gerald Reynolds, 5820 Knobby Hill Road, Narvon, PA 17555.
Attorney: Melanie S. Hoff, Atty.; 425 Rte. 70 W., Cherry Hill, NJ 08002.

Shuler, William R. a/k/a William Robert Shuler, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executrix: Kim M. Hastings c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 303 West Fourth Street, Quarryville, PA 17566.
Attorney: Jeffrey S. Shank, Esquire.

Stafford, Charlotte E., dec'd.

Late of West Donegal Township.
Executor: Lisa McGrath c/o Reager & Adler, PC, 2331 Market Street, Camp Hill, PA 17011.
Attorney: Linus E. Fenicle, Esquire; Reager & Adler, PC, 2331 Market Street, Camp Hill, PA 17011.

Stevens, Anne a/k/a Anne Borges a/k/a Anne W. Borges a/k/a Anne C. Stevens, dec'd.

Late of Upper Leacock Township.
Executor: Daniel G. Fisher c/o H. Charles Benner, Attorney, 200 East Main Street, Leola, PA 17540.
Attorney: H. Charles Benner, Esquire.

Unruh, Shirley A. a/k/a Shirley Ann Unruh, dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.
Executor: Curtis P. Unruh c/o Robert E. Sisko, Esquire, 700

North Duke Street, P.O. Box 4686, Lancaster, PA 17604-4686.

Attorneys: Morgan, Hallgren, Crosswell & Kane, P.C.

Valenti, Joseph V., dec'd.

Late of W. Cocalico Township.

Executor: Joseph Richard Valenti c/o D. Keith Brown, Esq., P.O. Box 70, Newtown, PA 18940.

Attorney: D. Keith Brown, Esquire; Stuckert & Yates, P.O. Box 70, Newtown, PA 18940.

Waltman, Ralph N., Jr., dec'd.

Late of West Hempfield Twp.

Executor: Christina L. Shuman c/o Angela M. Ward, Esq., Going & Plank, 140 E. King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Angela M. Ward, Esquire.

Whitekettle, Mildred, dec'd.

Late of East Cocalico Township.

Executors: Patricia A. Whitekettle and Richard Whitekettle c/o A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie.

Wickers, Stephen T., dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.

Executor: Charles Wickers c/o Andrew H. Dohan, Esq., 460 E. King Road, Malvern, PA 19355-3049.

Attorney: Andrew H. Dohan, Esquire; Lentz, Cantor & Massey, Ltd., 460 E. King Road, Malvern, PA 19355-3049.

Youndt, Dale T., dec'd.

Late of Brecknock Township.

Executor: Brandon M. Youndt c/o Jennifer A. Galloway, Esq., Saxton & Stump, LLC, 280 Granite Run Dr., Ste. 300, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Saxton & Stump, LLC.

Zimmerman, David M., dec'd.

Late of 254 Amishtown Road, New Holland, PA.

Executor: Adam N. Zimmerman, 287 Voganville Road, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: None.

THIRD PUBLICATION

Bair, Arlene M., dec'd.

Late of West Hempfield Township.

Executrix: Wendy S. Ney c/o Karl Kreiser, Esquire, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: Mountz & Kreiser.

Beard, Jean R. a/k/a Jean Arlene Beard, dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Elizabethtown.

Executor: Kenneth P. Beard c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 222 S. Market Street, Suite 201, Elizabethtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: John M. Smith, Esquire.

Cranford, Frances B., dec'd.

Late of Marietta Borough.

Executrix: Elizabeth A. Laudenberg c/o Karl Kreiser, Esquire, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: Mountz and Kreiser.

Groff, James L., dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.

Executors: Brandon J. Groff and Nevin J. Groff c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545.
Attorney: Young and Young.

Gunzenhauser, Jack R., Jr., dec'd.

Late of Columbia Borough.
Executrix: Joanne K. Gunzenhauser c/o Karl Kreiser, Esquire, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.
Attorney: Mountz & Kreiser.

Hartman, John H., Jr. a/k/a John Harold Hartman, Jr., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Robert Wolf c/o Steven R. Blair, Attorney at Law, 650 Delp Road, Lancaster, PA 17601.
Attorney: Steven R. Blair, Attorney at Law.

Herr, Augusta V., dec'd.

Late of Mount Joy Borough.
Executrix: Cheryl Ann Rebman c/o Scott E. Albert, Esq., 50 East Main Street, Mount Joy, PA 17552.
Attorney: Scott E. Albert, Esquire.

Honig, Stanley E., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: George Honig c/o Kevin Koscil, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 213 Market Street, 12th Floor, Harrisburg, PA 17101.
Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

Horne, Joan F. a/k/a Joan Forrest Horne, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.

Executrix: Teresa G. Windisch c/o Randy R. Moyer, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 126 East King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

Johnson, Claire M., dec'd.

Late of East Donegal Township.
Executrix: Gail J. Heinz c/o Scott E. Albert, Esq., 50 East Main Street, Mount Joy, PA 17552.
Attorney: Scott E. Albert, Esquire.

Kornhauser, Florence M., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.
Executor: Barry Kornhauser c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

Lloyd, Jane S. a/k/a Jane Elizabeth Lloyd a/k/a Jane Swift Lloyd, dec'd.

Late of New Holland.
Executor: Edward B. Adams c/o Legacy Law, PLLC, 147 W. Airport Road, Suite 300, Lititz, PA 17543.
Attorney: Katelyn M. Haldeman, Esquire.

Musser, Harvey S., dec'd.

Late of East Cocalico Township.
Personal Representative: Marlin G. Musser, Executor, c/o Justin J. Bollinger, Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.
Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Stahr, Jane W., dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.

Executor: John M. Stahr c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorneys: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

Stoltzfoos, Hannah H., dec'd.

Late of East Lampeter Township.

Executor: Stevie B. Stoltzfoos c/o James N. Clymer, Esquire, 408 West Chestnut Street, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: Clymer Musser & Sarno, PC.

Vollmar, William R. a/k/a Dick Vollmar a/k/a William Richard Vollmar a/k/a W. Richard Vollmar, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.

Executrix: Kathleen V. Hawkins c/o Legacy Law, PLLC, 147 W. Airport Road, Suite 300, Lititz, PA 17543.

Attorney: Neal A. Rice, Esquire.

ANNUAL MEETING NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that the annual meeting of the policyholders of GOODVILLE MUTUAL CASUALTY COMPANY will be held at 625 West Main Street, New Holland, PA, and through remote access connection, on February 22, 2022 beginning at 1:00 p.m. for the purpose of electing members to the Board of Directors and conducting such other business as may be properly brought before this meeting. All members interested in attending the meeting in-person or through remote connection must register in advance by sending an email to Alexandria

Diem at alex.diem@goodville.com or by calling (717) 354-4921. Due to COVID-19 public health concerns, remote participation is encouraged. Members will be given further instructions after registration.

James M. Harder

Chairman

Maryfrances Cooper

General Counsel/Corporate Secretary

J-21, 28; F-4

Notice is hereby given that the annual meeting of the policyholders of REAMSTOWN MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY will be held at 625 West Main Street, New Holland, PA, and through remote access connection, on February 22, 2022 beginning at 1:00 p.m. for the purpose of electing members to the Board of Directors and conducting such other business as may be properly brought before this meeting, including an amended and restated charter. All members interested in attending the meeting in-person or through remote connection must register in advance by sending an email to Alexandria Diem at alex.diem@goodville.com or by calling (717) 354-4921. Due to COVID-19 public health concerns, remote participation is encouraged. Members will be given further instructions after registration.

James M. Harder

Chairman

Maryfrances Cooper

General Counsel/Corporate Secretary

J-21, 28; F-4

ARTICLES OF DISSOLUTION

Notice is hereby given that:

Classical Numismatic Group, Inc. a Pennsylvania corporation with its registered business office at 101 Centerville Road L-3, Lancaster, PA 17603, is now engaged in winding up and settling the business and affairs of said corporation. The corporation will file Articles of Dissolution with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania so that its existence shall be ended by the issuance of a Certificate of Dissolution by the Department of State, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988, as amended. Any notices of claims should be mailed c/o the Chief Executive Officer at the above address.
Blakinger Thomas, PC
Attorneys

J-21

ARTICLES OF FOREIGN REGISTRATION

Notice is hereby given that: New England Conservatory of Music filed Articles of Foreign Registration on 01/10/2022 under the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988. Commercial registered office provider is Harbor Business Compliance Corporation.

J-21

ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

CITY LIMITS FOUNDATION has been incorporated under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988.
Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC
Attorneys

J-21

CHANGE OF NAME NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Petition has been filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, seeking to change the name of Albert Jed Karpinski to Jed Albert Karpinski. A hearing on the Petition will be held on February 17, 2022 at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom No. 4 at the Lancaster County Courthouse, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, at which time anyone having any objections to the Petition may be heard.
George H. Eager
Attorney for Petitioner

J-21

*Lancaster County
Court of Common Pleas*

No 21-08415

IN RE: NAME CHANGE OF
HUNTER DAMIEN WALTON

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on December 6, 2021, the Petition of Kristina L. Keil, Chad L. Smucker and Kyle A. Walton was filed in the above Court praying for a Decree to change the name of Hunter Damien Walton to Hunter Damien Smucker.

The Court has fixed the 10th day of March, 2022, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom No. 4 of the Lancaster County Courthouse, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, for a hearing of said Petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause, if any, why said Petition should not be granted.

JOHN C. STEVENS, ESQUIRE

J-21, 28

FICTITIOUS NAME NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that Leacock Paving LLC, 251 Old Leacock Road, Gordonville, PA 17529, did file in the Office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on January 3, 2022, registration of the name:

Wiker Paving
under which it intends to do business at 251 Old Leacock Road, Gordonville, PA 17529, pursuant to the provisions of the Act of Assembly of December 16, 1982, Chapter 3, known as the "Fictitious Name Act".

Nicholas T. Gard, Esquire
Smoker Gard Associates LLP
J-21

MISCELLANEOUS LEGAL NOTICES

*Court of Common Pleas
Lancaster County, Pennsylvania
Civil Action - Law*

No. CI-21-04983

Ada De Oleo, Plaintiff,
v.
Elimar Jose Rodriguez,
Defendant.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of December, 2021, the custody conference scheduled on December 15, 2021, shall be rescheduled for lack of service. The custody conference shall be rescheduled for the 10th day of March 2022, at 10:00 a.m. in Conference Room No. 504 of the Lancaster County Courthouse, 50 N. Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, before Custody Confer-

ence Officer TBA.

BY THE COURT

/s/ Christopher A. Hackman
Christopher A. Hackman, Judge
J-21

*Court of Common Pleas
Lancaster County, Pennsylvania
Civil Action - Law*

No. CI-21-04983

Ada De Oleo, Plaintiff,
v.
Elimar Jose Rodriguez,
Defendant.

ORDER

You, Elimar Jose Rodriguez, Defendant, have been sued in Court for sole legal and sole physical custody of the following Children: GEJ aged 9 and HJ aged 8.

1. You are ordered to appear in person at the Lancaster County Courthouse, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, on the 2nd day of August, 2021 at 1:30 p.m. for a conference to be held in room no. 504 before custody officer Miller-Smith.

2. Pending the custody conference:

X No temporary order is requested.

_____The court issues no temporary order.

_____The custody Order of _____ remains in effect.

_____With the following revisions (if applicable):

3. **Required Education Seminar:**

a. All parties named in the caption of the case MUST participate in the custody education seminar approved by the Court at the time the custody action

was filed.

J-21

IMPORTANT NOTICE

TO THE ESTATE OF HELEN H. MILLER, AND ALSO THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE ESTATE OF HELEN H. MILLER AND THE HEIRS AND ASSIGNS OF HELEN H. MILLER AND ALL OTHER PERSONS UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT, LIEN, TITLE OR INTEREST IN THE MORTGAGE DESCRIBED IN THE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT IN THE LANCASTER COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AT DOCKET NO: CI-21-07337.

TO THE ESTATE OF HELEN H. MILLER AND THE BENEFICIARIES, HEIRS AND ASSIGNS OF HELEN H. MILLER:

**DATE OF NOTICE:
JANUARY 21, 2022**

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT BECAUSE YOU HAVE FAILED TO ENTER A WRITTEN APPEARANCE PERSONALLY OR BY ATTORNEY AND FILE IN WRITING WITH THE COURT YOUR DEFENSES OR OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH AGAINST YOU. UNLESS YOU ACT WITHIN TEN DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, A JUDGMENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU WITHOUT A HEARING AND YOU MAY LOSE YOUR PROPERTY OR OTHER IMPORTANT RIGHTS.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A

LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED OR NOT FEE.

Lancaster Bar Association
Lawyer Referral Service
28 E. Orange Street
Lancaster, PA 17602
(717) 393-0737

BRUBAKER CONNAUGHTON GOSS & LUCARELLI LLC

Mark E. Lovett, Esquire
Attorney I.D. No. 41071
480 New Holland Ave., Suite 6205
Lancaster, PA 17602
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Lancaster Indoor Tennis, Inc.

J-21

**ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION
AUDITING NOTICES**

To All Claimants, Beneficiaries, Heirs and Next of Kin, and other persons interested: NOTICE IS GIVEN that the following accounts in decedents', incapacitated persons, minors', and trust estates have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Orphans' Court division of the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County and will be presented to said Orphans' Court Division for Audit and confirmation therein to the parties legally entitled thereto on

February 1, 2022

at 9 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 11 on the fourth floor of the Courthouse, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA

1. MCGINNESS, DOROTHY, decd. 2021-0179. First and

Final Acct., Peggy Anne Knoll and James G. McGinness, Trustees, Theodore L. Brubaker, atty

2. MCGINNESS, GEORGE, decd. 2021-0180. First and Final Acct., Peggy Anne Knoll and James G. McGinness, Trustees, Theodore L. Brubaker, atty

Anne L. Cooper

Clerk of the Orphans' Court Division of the Court of Common Pleas.

J-21, 28

NOTICE OF ACTION IN A MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE

*Lancaster County
Court of Common Pleas*

Number: CI-21-03369

AmeriHome Mortgage Company, LLC, Plaintiff

v.

Allison Frymoyer, Known Surviving Heir of Diane Frymoyer, Christopher Frymoyer, Known Surviving Heir of Diane Frymoyer, and Unknown Surviving Heirs of Diane Frymoyer, Defendants.

TO: Unknown Surviving Heirs of Diane Frymoyer. Premises subject to foreclosure: 115 Oak Knoll Circle, Millersville, Pennsylvania 17551.

NOTICE

If you wish to defend, you must enter a written appearance personally or by attorney and file your defenses or objections in writing with the court. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you without further notice for the

relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you. You should take this notice to your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer, go to or telephone the office set forth below. This office can provide you with information about hiring a lawyer. If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer, this office may be able to provide you with information about agencies that may offer legal services to eligible persons at a reduced fee or no fee.

Lancaster Bar Association
Lawyer Referral Service
28 East Orange Street
Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602
717- 393-0737

McCabe, Weisberg & Conway, LLC, Attorneys for Plaintiff, 123 S. Broad St., Ste. 1400, Phila., PA 19109, 215-790-1010

J-21

NOTICE OF PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATION HEARING

In the Court of Common Pleas of the 39th Judicial District of Pennsylvania – Franklin County Branch

IN RE: ADOPTION OF A.Z.S., Born August 4, 2010

To: Shakeyla Shamail Ford:

A petition has been filed to end your parental rights and a hearing is scheduled at Franklin County Judicial Center, Chambersburg, PA on Tuesday, January 25, 2022 at 1:000 p.m. If you fail to appear at the hearing, the hearing will go on without you and the Court may end your rights to your child without you being present. You have the right to have legal representa-

tion at this hearing. Abigail Salawage, Esquire has been appointed to represent you at no cost and can be reached at 221 Lincoln Way East, Chambersburg, PA 17201, (717) 263-4400. If you have a disability and require accommodation, contact the Office of Court Administration at (717) 261-3848. Under Act 101 of 2010, there may be an option to enter into a voluntary, enforceable post-adoption contact agreement.

J-21

SUITS ENTERED

Defendant's name appears first in capitals, followed by plaintiff's name, number and plaintiff's or appellant's attorneys.

January 6, 2022
to January 12, 2022

AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON.COM.DEDC, LLC; LIN, KEVIN; V.H.; 001141 Bomberger

ARBUCKLE, ANN; Midland Credit Management, Inc.; 00128; Homoleski

BRADY, BRANDON; Midland Credit Management, Inc.; 00126; Homoleski

CAIMI, MICHAEL P., LIBERTY WAR BIRD ASSOCIATION, INC.; Henry Morris; 00161; Bollinger

CVEK, ANDREW N., CVEK, SCOTT R.; Mohammed F. Konneh; 00121; Klayman

HEISEY, DUSTIN, HEISEY, MONICA, HEISEY, SIERRA; Thomas M. Dalkiewicz; 00120; Baranski

HERNANDEZ, ALIYAH, SANTIAGO, JESSICA; Lincoln West Associates; 00131

HOLT, STEPHEN H.; Midland Credit Management, Inc.; 00122; Homoleski

MASENHEIMER SR., TOBY; Brent Murrey; 00149; Simon MILLER, JOHN T.; Midland Credit Management, Inc.; 00124; Homoleski

PALACE ENTERTAINMENT, ET AL., FESTIVAL FUN PARKS, LLC, WONDERLAND AMUSEMENT MANAGEMENT, ET AL. ; Jena Adamire ; 00193 ; Gromen

RABE, RACHEL E., O'NEILL, RACHEL E. ; Matthew Harrell ; 00178 ; Maffett

SMITH, BENJAMIN; Midland Credit Management, Inc.; 00127; Homoleski

SWEIGART, CLAIR E.; Jeremy L. Conlon; 00109; Price

WALTERS, SHAWN R., WALTERS, TRACY L.; Fulton Bank, N.A.; 00198; Landis

WHITEHEAD, SHANEA; Donna Mansfield; 00166; Mintun

POTOMAC.LEGAL

PA MD DC FED

David E. Kindermann, Esquire

313 West Liberty Street Suite 105 Lancaster, PA 17603

717-621-2699 | david@potomac.legal

The Lancaster Law Review (USPS 304080) is published weekly by the Lancaster Bar Association, 28 E. Orange St., Lancaster, PA 17602.

Advertisements and news items must be received before 12:00pm the preceding Friday. Deadlines are subject to change for holiday schedule. Subscription: \$87.00 per year in advance; single copies \$3.40. All legal notices must be submitted in typewritten form and are published exactly as submitted by the advertiser. Neither the Lancaster Law Review nor the printer will assume any responsibility to edit, make spelling corrections, eliminate errors in grammar or make any changes in content. The Lancaster Law Review makes no representation as to the quality of services offered by any advertiser in this publication.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Lancaster Law Review, 28 E. Orange St., Lancaster, PA 17602.

Submissions should be e-mailed to lawreview@lancasterbar.org or faxed to 717-393-0221. Please visit www.lancasterbar.org for more information and current legal advertisement rates.

Do You Recall...

A Better Time Than Now to Take Advantage
of PDF Compression Technology?



Capitol
Support Service

LARGE
2
small



FILE
COMPRESSION

CUTTING EDGE TECHNOLOGY

Electronic court filing systems are great, until
you are faced with transferring large files quickly
or have exceeded file size requirements.

Learn how Capitol can help you please the courts, reduce transfer time
and never miss a deadline due to expanded file sizes. We use the Best
Industry Leading Compression Technology.

CAPITOLSUPPORT.NET