## MEDIATION•ARBITRATION

610-625-2100 office | (888)-237-0660 toll free


## HON. EMIL GIORDANO (RET.)

## Neutral Mediator and Arbitrator

- Served as a Judge on the Northampton County Court of Common Pleas for 15 years, handling every type of civil case
- Presided over hundreds of jury and non-jury trials, settling numerous matters
- Completed the Harvard Negotiation Institute's Advanced Mediation Workshop at Harvard Law School
- Represented both injured plaintiffs' and defendants' insurers through trials and settlements during his practice from 1985-2003
- Represented both organized labor as well as management
- Served as a Municipal Solicitor and also represented private landowners in all types of disputes
- Taught undergraduate and graduate law courses at Moravian College and DeSales University, has presented various programs for members of the bar, as well as presented at the PA Statewide Trial Judge Conference
- Graduate of Moravian College and received his Juris Doctorate from Villanova University
- A partner at Cohen, Feeley, Altemose and Rambo (www.cohenfeeley.com) based in Bethlehem with offices also located in Northampton, Lehigh and Monroe Counties


We're looking for a real estate associate!

- 3-5 years of real estate transactional experience. Leasing, conveyancing, reviewing of titles, working on condo contracts, documentation, representation of homeowner associations, land use/zoning and supporting of municipal work.
- Excellent research, writing, and communication skills.
- Self-starter with a commitment to excellence.


Allentown, PA - Bridgewater, NJ • New York, NY

## ATIORNEY DISHPINUATY / ETHICS WATIERS

Representation, consultation and expert testimony in disciplinary matters and matters involving ethical issues, bar admissions and the Rules of Professional Conduct

## James C. Schwartzman, Esq.

Judge, Court of Judicial Discipline • Former Chairman, Judicial Conduct Board of Pennsylvania - Former Chairman, Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of PA • Former Chairman, Continuing Legal Education Board of the Supreme Court of PA - Former Chairman, Supreme Court of PA Interest on Lawyers Trust Account Board • Former Federal Prosecutor • Named by his peers as Best Lawyers in America 2015 Philadelphia Ethics and Professional Responsibility Law "Lawyer of the Year"

1818 Market Street, 29th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 751-2863

## Paralegal Studies

Associate in Applied Science and Certificate Programs
at Lehigh Carbon Community College


Preparing paralegals for the flourishing job market in the Lehigh Valley.
LCCC graduates have been hired by law firms, banks, title companies, real estate firms and corporations.

Approved by the American Bar Association


JOB POSTING: Wallitsch \& Iacobelli, LLP is currently seeking applicants for alegal secretary/paralegal position at offices located at 1605 North Cedar Crest Boulevard, Suite 516, Allentown, PA 18104.
The responsibilities will include preparing correspondence and pleadings, maintaining files, providing support litigation, extensive interaction with clients and opposing counsel as well as court personnel; maintaining attorney's calendar; occasional courthouse filing and assisting with various projects as assigned.

Preferred applicants have a minimum of two years of experience in a family law firm and have a good understanding of general legal principles in connection with litigation, with the ability to prioritize work assignments in a fast-paced environment and handle multiple assignments effectively. The applicant must be proficient in the use of Microsoft Word and Excel.

Wallitsch \& Iacobelli offers a competitive salary, based on experience, and benefits.

Please send resume and cover letter to: aiacobelli@wallitsch.com, via fax to (610) 434-7133 or regular mail.
$7-6,13,20$

## SEARCHING FOR WILL

The family of John Joseph Simchick, III is seeking any lawyer who may have prepared a Will for Mr. Simchick. Mr. Simchick passed away on November 27, 2017 and the family believes he prepared a Will, but the Will cannot be located. Please contact Atty. James J. Haggerty at (570) 288-3631 or jjhpc@epix.net if you have any information regarding this matter.

7-13, 20

## Follow us on $f$ The BALC Facebook page is

 updated regularly with meeting reminders and event notices, and includes photo albums, discussion boards, links, and much more. "Like" us at www.facebook.com/BarAssociationLehighCounty
## Lawyers Concerned For Lawyers

## Confidential Helpline 1-888-999-1941

## 24 Hours * 7 Days * Holidays

Help is now available for:

* Depression
* Bi-polar Illness
* Stress and Anxiety
* Alcoholism
* Substance Abuse
* Compulsive Gambling
* Sleep Disorders


# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA vs. EDWARDO RIVERA 

## Discovery—Reciprocal Discovery.


#### Abstract

Videos in possession of defense counsel are subject to discovery by the Commonwealth. The videos which depict the incident are material to the case, the Commonwealth's request is reasonable, and the disclosure is in the interests of justice. Pennsylvania Rule of Criminal Procedure 573(C) is not limited to its "express topics and things."


## Impeachment-Prior Crimen Falsi Convictions.

Prior crimen falsi convictions of the defendant may be used on rebuttal to impeach his credibility. False Reports and Theft are crimen falsi offenses. If the conviction was less than ten (10) years ago, the conviction is per se admissible. If more than ten (10) years prior to trial a variety of factors are examined to determine if the conviction is admissible for impeachment, including the availability of witnesses other than the defendant.

In the Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County, Pennsyl-vania-Criminal Division. No. CR-5456-2017. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vs. Edwardo Rivera.

Michael Edwards, Esquire, Senior Deputy District Attorney, on behalf of the Commonwealth.

Robert Goldman, Esquire, on behalf of the Defendant.
Steinberg, J., June 5, 2018. The defendant, Edwardo Rivera, is charged with Resisting Arrest ${ }^{1}$ and Disorderly Conduct. ${ }^{2}$ It is alleged that the defendant instigated a verbal confrontation with Officer Kyle French of the Allentown Police Department, which then escalated, and ended with the defendant's arrest for the aforementioned charges.

The Commonwealth filed a request for pretrial discovery pursuant to Pa. R.Crim.P. 573(C)(1), after learning that videos of the kerfuffle were in the possession of counsel for the defendant. Counsel for the Commonwealth is seeking copies of the video and the name and address of the videographer(s) who recorded the events.

The Commonwealth also filed a Motion in Limine, which, in pertinent part, requests permission to introduce in rebuttal certain

[^0]prior convictions of the defendant for impeachment purposes. ${ }^{3}$ The Motion in Limine will only become relevant if the defendant testifies at trial.

## DISCUSSION

## A. Discovery

Both the Commonwealth and the defendant have responsibilities with respect to pretrial discovery in a criminal matter. Generally, requests for discovery from either side require a showing that the "requested items" are material to the preparation of their case, the request is reasonable, and the disclosure of information would be in the interests of justice. See Pa. R.Crim.P. $573(\mathrm{~B})(2)$; (C). Moreover, "the function of a trial is to determine the truth and, absent some affirmative right or privilege, every person's evidence is fair game." Commonwealth v. Pagan, 597 Pa . $69,89,950$ A.2d 270, 282 (2008). Rule 573(C) vests the court with discretion to compel a defendant to comply with the Commonwealth's discovery request. This section, in pertinent part, states the following:
(C) Disclosure by the Defendant.
(1) In all court cases, if the Commonwealth files a motion for pretrial discovery, upon a showing of materiality to the preparation of the Commonwealth's case and that the request is reasonable, the court may order the defendant, subject to the defendant's rights against compulsory selfincrimination, to allow the attorney for the Commonwealth to inspect and copy or photograph any of the following requested items:
(a) results or reports of physical or mental examinations, and of scientific tests or experiments made in connection with the particular case, or copies thereof, within the possession or control of the defendant, that the defendant intends to introduce as evidence in chief, or were prepared by a witness whom the defendant intends to call at the trial, when results

[^1]or reports relate to the testimony of that witness, provided the defendant has requested and received discovery under paragraph (B)(1)(e); and
(b) the names and addresses of eyewitnesses whom the defendant intends to call in its case-in-chief, provided that the defendant has previously requested and received discovery under paragraph (B)(2)(a)(i).

It is difficult to imagine a more significant piece of evidence which meets the criteria for disclosure than a video of the incident. If there is any debate of that issue, then Pagan settles it.

The defense in Pagan was ordered to release an answering machine tape which was in the sole possession of defense counsel, and contained an incriminating statement by the defendant. The court in Pagan cast aside a variety of roadblocks to the release of the answering machine tape, and looked to the Nixon court for guidance. See United States v. Nixon, 418 U.S. 683, 709 (1974), wherein it was explained:

The need to develop all relevant facts in the adversary system is both fundamental and comprehensive. The ends of criminal justice would be defeated if judgments were to be founded on a partial or speculative presentation of the facts. The very integrity of the judicial system and public confidence in the system depend on full disclosure of all the facts, within the framework of the rules of evidence. To ensure that justice is done, it is imperative to the function of the courts that compulsory process be available for the production of evidence needed either by the prosecution or defense.
The Pagan decision also found unavailing claims that Rule 573(C) limits discovery to an "express list of topics and things." Pagan, supra at 87,950 A. 2 d at $281 .{ }^{4}$ Finally, Fifth Amendment arguments were dismissed because it does not apply to physical evidence. Id. at 91,950 A. 2 d at 284.

[^2]Here, the Commonwealth provided discovery as required by Rule 573, and has represented that it will adhere to its continuing duty to disclose. See Rule 573(D). On the other hand, defense counsel, who has acknowledged his possession of videos of the incident between the defendant and Officer French, has refused to provide copies of the videos or the identity of the videographer(s). The various objections asserted by defense counsel for not providing copies of the videos, which are primarily tactical, cannot withstand careful scrutiny. The defense has now attended a preliminary hearing and received discovery which presumably encompasses Officer French's version of events. The suggestion that Officer French will "mold his testimony" if given access to the videos is both illogical and speculative. If the videos depict a different version of events than Officer French has provided in his prior testimony or in his police reports, the release of the videos in advance of trial will provide no leverage to the Commonwealth. Any discrepancies will be exploited by the blistering cross-examination of defense counsel. However, the denial of discovery will provide a tactical advantage to the defense.

The restrictive view of the defense regarding the exchange of discovery is contrary to the Supreme Court's admonitions. In Williams v. Florida, 399 U.S. 78, 82 (1970), it was explained that the "adversary system of trial is hardly an end in itself, it is not yet a poker game in which players enjoy an absolute right always to conceal their cards until played. ..." Id. In the search for the truth in a criminal trial, both the defendant and the Commonwealth must be given "ample opportunity to investigate certain facts crucial to the determination of guilt or innocence."

In sum, neither the interpretation of the videos nor the terms of their release is left to the prerogative of defense counsel. The discoverable nature of the videos is subject to the discretion of this Court. This Court, in light of the information provided to it, including defense counsel's opposition to the videos' release without "strings attached," finds the videos are material to the preparation of the trial, the request by the Commonwealth is reasonable, and the interests of justice will be served by the release of the videos to the Commonwealth.

## B. Impeachment by Evidence of a Criminal Conviction

Pa. R.E. 609, in pertinent part, states the following:
(a) In General. For the purpose of attacking the credibility of any witness, evidence that the witness has been convicted of a crime, whether by verdict or by plea of guilty or nolo contendere, must be admitted if it involved dishonesty or false statement.
(b) Limit on Using the Evidence After 10 Years. This subdivision (b) applies if more than 10 years have passed since the witness's conviction or release from confinement for it, whichever is later. Evidence of the conviction is admissible only if:
(1) its probative value substantially outweighs its prejudicial effect; and
(2) the proponent gives an adverse party reasonable written notice of the intent to use it so that the party has a fair opportunity to contest its use. ${ }^{[5]}$

The defendant has been previously convicted of certain criminal offenses, and so, the initial analysis centers on whether they are crimen falsi or not. In 2005, the defendant was convicted of False Reports to Law Enforcement Authorities, in 2006 he was convicted of Theft From a Motor Vehicle (seven counts), and in 2010, he was convicted of Attempted Theft From Motor Vehicle.

Crimen falsi "involves the element of falsehood, and includes everything which has a tendency to injuriously affect the administration of justice by the introduction of falsehood and fraud." Commonwealth v. Cascardo, 981 A. 2 d 245, 253 (Pa. Super. 2009), quoting Commonwealth v. Jones, 334 Pa. 321, 323, 5 A.2d 804, 805 (1939). The offense of False Reports to Law Enforcement is crimen falsi because it involves giving false statements. Theft is also crimen falsi because it involves dishonesty. Id. at 255; Com-

[^3]monwealth v. Brown, 449 Pa. Superior Ct. 346, 351, 673 A. 2 d 975 , 978 (1996). "In recent years, there has been a tendency to view the dishonest intent inherent in theft generally as implicating this modern crimen falsi classification, ... with the result that theft by unlawful taking is now an appropriate basis for impeachment ... ." Cascardo, supra at 253 , quoting Commonwealth ex rel. Baldwin v. Fisher, 570 Pa. 416, 421, 809 A.2d 348, 351 (2002) (Saylor, J., dissenting) (citations omitted).

Having found the aforementioned convictions to be crimen falsi, the date of the convictions in relation to the current trial must be examined. If a period of less than ten (10) years has expired, then crimen falsi convictions are per se admissible. Commonwealth v. Randall, 515 Pa. 410, 415, 528 A.2d 1326, 1329 (1987) (Crimen falsi convictions are automatically admissible in rebuttal against testifying defendants unless this confinement incident to the conviction expired more than ten years prior to trial); see also, Commonwealth v. Garcia, 551 Pa. 616, 620, 712 A.2d 746, 748 (1998).

Here, the defendant's conviction for Attempted Theft From Motor Vehicle (CR-968-2010) was within the ten (10) year window, and if the defendant testifies, the Commonwealth may use it in rebuttal for impeachment purposes. The defendant's other crimen falsi convictions fall outside the ten (10) year period. However, the Commonwealth contends that if the defendant was confined for that conviction within ten (10) years, even if incarceration was due to a recommitment for a parole violation, the ten (10) year period has not expired. Commonwealth v. Jackson, 526 Pa. 294, 298, 585 A.2d 1001, 1003 (1991) ("The relevancy of the dishonest act is expiated only by the passage of ten uninterrupted years offreedom; time spent in confinement for the offense does not count in the passage of the ten-year impeachment purpose. The necessary implication is that time spent in confinement, without normal opportunity to commit additional criminal offenses, does not demonstrate rehabilitation. That being the case, a recent confinement for an old conviction, due to a parole violation, interrupts the ten-year period because the witness has not demonstrably mended his mendacity for the requisite ten years."). $C f$. Commonwealth v. Treadwell, 911 A. 2 d 987 (Pa. Super. 2006) (Probationary period for prior theft offenses did not qualify as confinement for purposes of determining applicability of ten-year time limit.).

Here, the defendant was convicted of False Reports to Law Enforcement Authorities (CR-2392-2005) on September 30, 2005, and was placed on one (1) year probation. He violated that probation and was again placed on probation on June 29, 2006. He again violated his probation and was sentenced to time served to eighteen (18) months on April 17, 2008, and granted immediate reparole.

The defendant's conviction for multiple counts of Theft From Motor Vehicle (CR-1736-2006) follows a similar path. The defendant was convicted on June 29, 2006, and was sentenced to not less than four (4) months nor more than twenty-three (23) months to be followed by a consecutive period of probation. The defendant violated the consecutive period of probation and was sentenced to time served to ninety (90) days with immediate parole on April 17, 2008.

Trial in this matter is scheduled for July 9, 2018, which is more than ten (10) years after the defendant's last confinement for the aforementioned False Reports and Theft offenses. However, counsel for the Commonwealth suggests that since they were ready for trial in March 2018, that is the relevant date for the ten (10) year period for per se admissibility. This extension of the ten (10) year period, however, would be contrary to the requirement that the "date of conviction or last date of confinement is within ten years of the trial." See Comment to Pa. R.E. 609 (emphasis added).

A better approach would be to analyze the convictions to determine if their "probative value ... outweighs its prejudicial effect." Pa. R.E. 609(b). The following factors should be used to assist in that determination:
(1) the degree to which the commission of the prior offense reflects upon the veracity of the defendant-witness; (2) the likelihood, in view of the nature and extent of the prior record, that it would have a greater tendency to smear the character of the defendant and suggest a propensity to commit the crime for which he stands charged, rather than provide a legitimate reason for discrediting him as an untruthful person; [ (]3) the age and circumstances of the defendant; [ (]4) the strength of the prosecution's case and the prosecu-
tion's need to resort to this evidence as compared with the availability to the defense of other witnesses through which its version of the events surrounding the incident can be presented; and [ (15) the existence of alternative means of attacking the defendant's credibility.
Commonwealth v. Hoover, 630 Pa. 599, 604, 107 A.3d 723, 725 (2014), quoting Commonwealth v. Randall, 512 Pa. 410, 528 A.2d 1326, 1328 (1987).

In determining whether the probative value outweighs the prejudicial effect, "the danger of unfair prejudice can be significant, particularly in a situation where the defendant's only means of defending himself is to testify." Id. at 618, 107 A.3d at 734 (Saylor, J., dissenting). However, defense counsel in the letter attached to his memorandum of law and addressed to Senior Deputy District Attorney Edwards, identifies the defendant's "girlfriend, his mother, and Yolanyelis Torres" as potential witnesses. Additionally, defense counsel is in possession of videos of the incident. Therefore, counsel has alternative means to mount a defense other than the defendant's testimony.

The convictions for False Reports and Theft favor admissibility because they reflect on veracity since they are crimen falsi. Id. at 607,107 A.3d at 727 . Furthermore, the admission of those convictions does not "suggest a propensity to commit the crime of [Resisting Arrest] for which he stands charged, rather than provide a legitimate reason for discrediting him as an untruthful person." Id. at $604,107 \mathrm{~A} .3 \mathrm{~d}$ at 725 (citation omitted).

The remaining factors have little significance on the admission of the False Reports and Theft convictions. The Supreme Court has made clear that there is no support for the conclusion that the probative value of a young offender's conviction is "small." Id. at 614,107 A.3d at 732 . Likewise, no testimony was presented to demonstrate the defendant's circumstances have relevance to this equation. It is conceivable that the videos may provide an "alternative means of attacking the defendant's credibility," but in light of defense counsel's guarded responses to the discovery of the videos, it is unlikely. Id. at 604, 107 A. 3 d at 725 (citation omitted).

Finally, the prosecutor's case is premised on Officer French's testimony. The defense has multiple eyewitnesses and videos. It
also appears that defense counsel's strategy is to attack Officer French's credibility. In light of the posture of this case, credibility will be front and center in its resolution. Therefore, balancing all of the factors, the probative value of the convictions outweighs the prejudicial effect of their admission.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of June, 2018, upon consideration of the Commonwealth's "Motion for Pre-Trial Discovery" and "Motion In Limine-Motion to Introduce Impeachment Evidence of Defendant's Prior Crimen Falsi," and after hearing and review of the memoranda of law of counsel;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for Pre-Trial Discovery is GRANTED and counsel for the defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from this date to release a copy of the videos and the name and address of the videographer(s);

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion In Limine seeking to introduce the defendant's prior convictions for False Reports to Law Enforcement Authorities (CR-2392- 2005), Theft from a Motor Vehicle (seven counts)(CR-1736-2006), and Attempted Theft from Motor Vehicle (CR-968-2010) is GRANTED.
monwealth v. Brown, 449 Pa. Superior Ct. 346, 351, 673 A. 2 d 975 , 978 (1996). "In recent years, there has been a tendency to view the dishonest intent inherent in theft generally as implicating this modern crimen falsi classification, ... with the result that theft by unlawful taking is now an appropriate basis for impeachment ... ." Cascardo, supra at 253 , quoting Commonwealth ex rel. Baldwin v. Fisher, 570 Pa. 416, 421, 809 A.2d 348, 351 (2002) (Saylor, J., dissenting) (citations omitted).

Having found the aforementioned convictions to be crimen falsi, the date of the convictions in relation to the current trial must be examined. If a period of less than ten (10) years has expired, then crimen falsi convictions are per se admissible. Commonwealth v. Randall, 515 Pa. 410, 415, 528 A.2d 1326, 1329 (1987) (Crimen falsi convictions are automatically admissible in rebuttal against testifying defendants unless this confinement incident to the conviction expired more than ten years prior to trial); see also, Commonwealth v. Garcia, 551 Pa. 616, 620, 712 A.2d 746, 748 (1998).

Here, the defendant's conviction for Attempted Theft From Motor Vehicle (CR-968-2010) was within the ten (10) year window, and if the defendant testifies, the Commonwealth may use it in rebuttal for impeachment purposes. The defendant's other crimen falsi convictions fall outside the ten (10) year period. However, the Commonwealth contends that if the defendant was confined for that conviction within ten (10) years, even if incarceration was due to a recommitment for a parole violation, the ten (10) year period has not expired. Commonwealth v. Jackson, 526 Pa. 294, 298, 585 A.2d 1001, 1003 (1991) ("The relevancy of the dishonest act is expiated only by the passage of ten uninterrupted years offreedom; time spent in confinement for the offense does not count in the passage of the ten-year impeachment purpose. The necessary implication is that time spent in confinement, without normal opportunity to commit additional criminal offenses, does not demonstrate rehabilitation. That being the case, a recent confinement for an old conviction, due to a parole violation, interrupts the ten-year period because the witness has not demonstrably mended his mendacity for the requisite ten years."). $C f$. Commonwealth v. Treadwell, 911 A. 2 d 987 (Pa. Super. 2006) (Probationary period for prior theft offenses did not qualify as confinement for purposes of determining applicability of ten-year time limit.).

Here, the defendant was convicted of False Reports to Law Enforcement Authorities (CR-2392-2005) on September 30, 2005, and was placed on one (1) year probation. He violated that probation and was again placed on probation on June 29, 2006. He again violated his probation and was sentenced to time served to eighteen (18) months on April 17, 2008, and granted immediate reparole.

The defendant's conviction for multiple counts of Theft From Motor Vehicle (CR-1736-2006) follows a similar path. The defendant was convicted on June 29, 2006, and was sentenced to not less than four (4) months nor more than twenty-three (23) months to be followed by a consecutive period of probation. The defendant violated the consecutive period of probation and was sentenced to time served to ninety (90) days with immediate parole on April 17, 2008.

Trial in this matter is scheduled for July 9, 2018, which is more than ten (10) years after the defendant's last confinement for the aforementioned False Reports and Theft offenses. However, counsel for the Commonwealth suggests that since they were ready for trial in March 2018, that is the relevant date for the ten (10) year period for per se admissibility. This extension of the ten (10) year period, however, would be contrary to the requirement that the "date of conviction or last date of confinement is within ten years of the trial." See Comment to Pa. R.E. 609 (emphasis added).

A better approach would be to analyze the convictions to determine if their "probative value ... outweighs its prejudicial effect." Pa. R.E. 609(b). The following factors should be used to assist in that determination:
(1) the degree to which the commission of the prior offense reflects upon the veracity of the defendant-witness; (2) the likelihood, in view of the nature and extent of the prior record, that it would have a greater tendency to smear the character of the defendant and suggest a propensity to commit the crime for which he stands charged, rather than provide a legitimate reason for discrediting him as an untruthful person; [ (]3) the age and circumstances of the defendant; [ (]4) the strength of the prosecution's case and the prosecu-
tion's need to resort to this evidence as compared with the availability to the defense of other witnesses through which its version of the events surrounding the incident can be presented; and [ (15) the existence of alternative means of attacking the defendant's credibility.
Commonwealth v. Hoover, 630 Pa. 599, 604, 107 A.3d 723, 725 (2014), quoting Commonwealth v. Randall, 512 Pa. 410, 528 A.2d 1326, 1328 (1987).

In determining whether the probative value outweighs the prejudicial effect, "the danger of unfair prejudice can be significant, particularly in a situation where the defendant's only means of defending himself is to testify." Id. at 618, 107 A.3d at 734 (Saylor, J., dissenting). However, defense counsel in the letter attached to his memorandum of law and addressed to Senior Deputy District Attorney Edwards, identifies the defendant's "girlfriend, his mother, and Yolanyelis Torres" as potential witnesses. Additionally, defense counsel is in possession of videos of the incident. Therefore, counsel has alternative means to mount a defense other than the defendant's testimony.

The convictions for False Reports and Theft favor admissibility because they reflect on veracity since they are crimen falsi. Id. at 607,107 A.3d at 727 . Furthermore, the admission of those convictions does not "suggest a propensity to commit the crime of [Resisting Arrest] for which he stands charged, rather than provide a legitimate reason for discrediting him as an untruthful person." Id. at $604,107 \mathrm{~A} .3 \mathrm{~d}$ at 725 (citation omitted).

The remaining factors have little significance on the admission of the False Reports and Theft convictions. The Supreme Court has made clear that there is no support for the conclusion that the probative value of a young offender's conviction is "small." Id. at 614,107 A.3d at 732 . Likewise, no testimony was presented to demonstrate the defendant's circumstances have relevance to this equation. It is conceivable that the videos may provide an "alternative means of attacking the defendant's credibility," but in light of defense counsel's guarded responses to the discovery of the videos, it is unlikely. Id. at 604, 107 A. 3 d at 725 (citation omitted).

Finally, the prosecutor's case is premised on Officer French's testimony. The defense has multiple eyewitnesses and videos. It
also appears that defense counsel's strategy is to attack Officer French's credibility. In light of the posture of this case, credibility will be front and center in its resolution. Therefore, balancing all of the factors, the probative value of the convictions outweighs the prejudicial effect of their admission.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of June, 2018, upon consideration of the Commonwealth's "Motion for Pre-Trial Discovery" and "Motion In Limine-Motion to Introduce Impeachment Evidence of Defendant's Prior Crimen Falsi," and after hearing and review of the memoranda of law of counsel;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for Pre-Trial Discovery is GRANTED and counsel for the defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from this date to release a copy of the videos and the name and address of the videographer(s);

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion In Limine seeking to introduce the defendant's prior convictions for False Reports to Law Enforcement Authorities (CR-2392- 2005), Theft from a Motor Vehicle (seven counts)(CR-1736-2006), and Attempted Theft from Motor Vehicle (CR-968-2010) is GRANTED.
tion's need to resort to this evidence as compared with the availability to the defense of other witnesses through which its version of the events surrounding the incident can be presented; and [ (15) the existence of alternative means of attacking the defendant's credibility.
Commonwealth v. Hoover, 630 Pa. 599, 604, 107 A.3d 723, 725 (2014), quoting Commonwealth v. Randall, 512 Pa. 410, 528 A.2d 1326, 1328 (1987).

In determining whether the probative value outweighs the prejudicial effect, "the danger of unfair prejudice can be significant, particularly in a situation where the defendant's only means of defending himself is to testify." Id. at 618, 107 A.3d at 734 (Saylor, J., dissenting). However, defense counsel in the letter attached to his memorandum of law and addressed to Senior Deputy District Attorney Edwards, identifies the defendant's "girlfriend, his mother, and Yolanyelis Torres" as potential witnesses. Additionally, defense counsel is in possession of videos of the incident. Therefore, counsel has alternative means to mount a defense other than the defendant's testimony.

The convictions for False Reports and Theft favor admissibility because they reflect on veracity since they are crimen falsi. Id. at 607,107 A.3d at 727 . Furthermore, the admission of those convictions does not "suggest a propensity to commit the crime of [Resisting Arrest] for which he stands charged, rather than provide a legitimate reason for discrediting him as an untruthful person." Id. at $604,107 \mathrm{~A} .3 \mathrm{~d}$ at 725 (citation omitted).

The remaining factors have little significance on the admission of the False Reports and Theft convictions. The Supreme Court has made clear that there is no support for the conclusion that the probative value of a young offender's conviction is "small." Id. at 614,107 A.3d at 732 . Likewise, no testimony was presented to demonstrate the defendant's circumstances have relevance to this equation. It is conceivable that the videos may provide an "alternative means of attacking the defendant's credibility," but in light of defense counsel's guarded responses to the discovery of the videos, it is unlikely. Id. at 604, 107 A. 3 d at 725 (citation omitted).

Finally, the prosecutor's case is premised on Officer French's testimony. The defense has multiple eyewitnesses and videos. It
also appears that defense counsel's strategy is to attack Officer French's credibility. In light of the posture of this case, credibility will be front and center in its resolution. Therefore, balancing all of the factors, the probative value of the convictions outweighs the prejudicial effect of their admission.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of June, 2018, upon consideration of the Commonwealth's "Motion for Pre-Trial Discovery" and "Motion In Limine-Motion to Introduce Impeachment Evidence of Defendant's Prior Crimen Falsi," and after hearing and review of the memoranda of law of counsel;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for Pre-Trial Discovery is GRANTED and counsel for the defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from this date to release a copy of the videos and the name and address of the videographer(s);

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion In Limine seeking to introduce the defendant's prior convictions for False Reports to Law Enforcement Authorities (CR-2392- 2005), Theft from a Motor Vehicle (seven counts)(CR-1736-2006), and Attempted Theft from Motor Vehicle (CR-968-2010) is GRANTED.

## ESTATE AND TRUST NOTICES

Notice is hereby given that, in the estates of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills has granted letters testamentary or of administration to the persons named. Notice is also hereby given of the existence of the trusts of the deceased settlors set forth below for whom no personal representatives have been appointed within 90 days of death. All persons having claims or demands against said estates or trusts are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates or trusts are requested to make payment, without delay, to the executors or administrators or trustees or to their attorneys named below.

## FIRST PUBLICATION

Begg, James Leonard a/k/a James L. Begg, dec'd.
Late of Lower Macungie Township.
Co-Executrices: Lisa Burnett and Amy L. Ralske c/o Edward H. Butz, Esq., 1620 Pond Road, Suite 200, Allentown, PA 181042255.

Attorney: Edward H. Butz, Esq., 1620 Pond Road, Suite 200, Allentown, PA 18104-2255.

Busaitis, Florence, dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Executor: Edward M. Busaitis c/o William J. Fries, Esquire, The Atrium, 2895 Hamilton Boulevard, Suite 106, Allentown, PA 18104.
Attorney: William J. Fries, Esquire, The Atrium, 2895 Hamilton Boulevard, Suite 106, Allentown, PA 18104.

Farber, Mildred M. a/k/a Mildred Farber, dec'd.
Late of Weisenberg Township, New Tripoli.

Executors: James R. Farber, Jr. and Judith L. Snyder c/o Charles A. Stopp, Esquire, Steckel and Stopp LLC, 125 S. Walnut Street, Suite 210, Slatington, PA 18080.
Attorneys: Charles A. Stopp, Esquire, Steckel and Stopp LLC, 125 S. Walnut Street, Suite 210, Slatington, PA 18080.

Frankenfield, Sharon L., dec'd. Late of Emmaus. Executor: James A. Clause, 217 Hullihen Drive, Oaklands, Newark, DE 19711.
Attorney: Benjamin J. Storms, Esquire, 537 Chestnut St., Emmaus, PA 18049.

George, Allen M. M., Jr., dec'd.
Late of Coplay.
Co-Executrices: Patricia L. Stewart and Sonia E. Fink c/o Fitzpatrick Lentz \& Bubba, P.C., 4001 Schoolhouse Lane, P.O. Box 219, Center Valley, PA 18034-0219.
Attorneys: Fitzpatrick Lentz \& Bubba, P.C., 4001 Schoolhouse Lane, P.O. Box 219, Center Valley, PA 18034-0219.

Gyory, Frieda, dec'd.
Late of South Whitehall Township.
Executor: Robert R. Gyory c/o The Roth Law Firm, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Attorneys: David M. Roth, Esquire, The Roth Law Firm, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Hunsberger, Dorothy, dec'd.
Late of Salisbury Twp.

Trustees: Deanna Kubat, 455 East Rock Rd., Allentown, PA 18103, Loretta Knauss, 38 N. 8th St., Coopersburg, PA 18036, Leroy Hunsberger, Jr., 116 W. 4th St., East Greenville, PA 18041 and Barbara Zwicki, 409 Perkins Pond Rd., Beach Lake, PA 18405.
Attorneys: Michelle L. Sanginiti, Esq., Faloni Law Group, LLC, 166 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406.

Kozlowski, Melissa Wright a/k/a Melissa W. Kozlowski, dec'd. Late of Bethlehem. Executor: Michael Craig Wright c/o William W. Matz, Jr., Esq., 211 W. Broad Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018-5517.
Attorney: William W. Matz, Jr., Esq., 211 W. Broad Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018-5517.

Miller, Robert H., dec'd.
Late of Whitehall.
Executrix: Martha M. Schwartz c/o Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.
Attorneys: Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.

Mullarkey, John Francis a/k/a John F. Mullarkey, dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Executrix: Rebecca Sodano, 40
Frederick Place, Morristown, NJ 07960.

Attorney: Carla J. Thomas, Esquire, 716 Washington St., Easton, PA 18042.

Nesley, Esther E., dec'd.
Late of the Township of South
Whitehall, Allentown.

Executrix: Tami Nesley Cohen, 3133 Shrewbury Road, Allentown, PA 18104.
Attorneys: Neil D. Ettinger, Esquire, Ettinger \& Associates, LLC, Peachtree Office Plaza, 1815 Schadt Avenue, Ste. \#4, Whitehall, PA 18052.

Pfeiffer, Doris Elizabeth, dec'd. Late of Allentown. Administrator: Wayne Paul Kleissler c/o Sally L. Schoffstall, Esquire, Schoffstall Elder Law, 2987 Corporate Court, Suite 200, Orefield, PA 18069.
Attorneys: Sally L. Schoffstall, Esquire, Schoffstall Elder Law, 2987 Corporate Court, Suite 200, Orefield, PA 18069.

Schneider, Elizabeth W. a/k/a Elizabeth Walton Schneider, dec'd.
Late of the City of Bethlehem. Administrator: Michael Walton Schneider c/o Littner, Deschler \& Littner, 512 North New Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.
Attorneys: Robert V. Littner, Esquire, Littner, Deschler \& Littner, 512 North New Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

Traupman, Phyllis J., dec'd.
Late of the City of Allentown. Co-Executors: Cynthia J. Coyle and Edwin J. Traupman, Jr. c/o Charles W. Stopp, Esquire, Steckel and Stopp LLC, 125 S. Walnut Street, Suite 210, Slatington, PA 18080.
Attorneys: Charles W. Stopp, Esquire, Steckel and Stopp LLC, 125 S. Walnut Street, Suite 210, Slatington, PA 18080.

[^4]Administrator: Richard P. Wagner, 2304 W. Greenleaf St., Allentown, PA 18104.

SECOND PUBLICATION
DeVito, Veronica a/k/a Ronnie DeVito a/k/a Veronica M. Devito a/k/a Veronica Marie DeVito $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{k} / \mathbf{a}$ Veronica Halpin, dec'd.
Late of the City of Allentown. Administrator D.B.N.: J. Thomas DeVito c/o Norman E. Blatt, Jr., Esq., 1218 Delaware Avenue, Bethlehem, PA 18015.
Attorney: Norman E. Blatt, Jr., Esq., 1218 Delaware Avenue, Bethlehem, PA 18015.

Drozd, Anna, dec'd.
Late of the Township of Palmer. Executor: John Drozd, Jr. c/o George M. Vasiliadis, Esquire, Vasiliadis \& Associates, 2551
Baglyos Circle, Suite A-14, Bethlehem, PA 18020.
Attorneys: George M. Vasiliadis, Esquire, Vasiliadis \& Associates, 2551 Baglyos Circle, Suite A-14, Bethlehem, PA 18020.

Hittinger, Charles R., Jr. a/k/a Charles Hittinger, Jr., dec'd.
Late of Lehigh.
Executor: Charles R. Hittinger, III, 6214 Alta Verde St., Weston, WI 54476.

Hunsberger, Warren J., dec'd. Late of Emmaus.
Executrices: Nancy Jean Hunsberger, 272 Saddle Ridge Dr., Harrisburg, PA 17110, Sally D. Hunsberger, 324 Hawk Lane, Julian, PA 16844 and Mary Alice Nelson, 6 E. Greenleaf St., Emmaus, PA 18049.
Attorney: John O. Stover, Jr., Esquire, 537 Chestnut St., Emmaus, PA 18049.

Moore, Maurice E., dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Executrix: Diana M. Moore a/k/a Diana Marie Moore c/o Eric R. Strauss, Esquire, Worth, Magee \& Fisher, P.C., 2610 Walbert Avenue, Allentown, PA 18104.

Attorneys: Eric R. Strauss, Esquire, Worth, Magee \& Fisher, P.C., 2610 Walbert Avenue, Allentown, PA 18104.

Potter, Amy P., dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Executor: Gregg J. Potter c/o The Roth Law Firm, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Attorneys: Robert Van Horn, Esquire, The Roth Law Firm, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Ritter, Mary Jane, dec'd.
Ritter, Larry A., dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Ritter Family Trust.
Settlors: Mary Jane Ritter and Larry A. Ritter.
Trustee: Jan Ritter Wills c/o Law Office of Michael Prokup, 2030 W. Tilghman Street, Suite 201, Allentown, PA 18104.
Attorney: Michael Prokup, Esquire, 2030 W. Tilghman Street, Suite 201, Allentown, PA 18104.

Traub, Ethelmae W., dec'd.
Late of Center Valley.
Co-Executors: Janet T. Wetzel,
Ricky L. Traub and Mark D. Traub c/o Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.
Attorneys: Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.

Traub, Ethelmae W., dec'd.
Late of Center Valley.
The Herman F. Traub and Ethelmae W. Traub Trust.
Settlors: Herman F. Traub and Ethelmae W. Traub.
Co-Trustees: Janet T. Wetzel, Ricky L. Traub and Mark D. Traub c/o Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.
Attorneys: Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.

## THIRD PUBLICATION

Alkire, Elbern H., Jr., dec'd.
Late of Upper Milford Township. Personal Representative: Elbern H. Alkire, III c/o Peter P. Perry, Esquire, 1600 Lehigh Parkway East, 1E, Allentown, PA 181033097.

Attorney: Peter P. Perry, Esquire, 1600 Lehigh Parkway East, 1E, Allentown, PA 181033097.

Arancibia, Jorge L., dec'd.
Late of the City of Allentown.
Executrix: Ana M. Arancibia c/o
Drake, Hileman \& Davis, Baili-
wick Office Campus, Suite 15, P.O. Box 1306, Doylestown, PA 18901.

Attorneys: Jeremy D. Puglia, Esquire, Drake, Hileman \& Davis, Bailiwick Office Campus, Suite 15, P.O. Box 1306, Doylestown, PA 18901.

Bilous, Helen, dec'd.
Late of Whitehall.
Executor: Peter A. Bilous c/o Noonan Law Office, 526 Walnut Street, Allentown, PA 181012394.

Attorneys: Noonan Law Office, 526 Walnut Street, Allentown, PA 18101-2394.

Bucko, Paul S., dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Executrix: Mary Ann Lutz c/o David M. Roth, Esquire, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.
Attorney: David M. Roth, Esquire, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

DiGirolamo, Lawrence Salvatore a/k/a Lawrence S. DiGirolamo, dec'd.
Late of Bethlehem.
Executrix: Sarah E. Apanavage
c/o The Roth Law Firm, 123
North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.
Attorneys: Robert B. Roth, Esquire, The Roth Law Firm, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Faverey, Eula, dec'd.
Late of 1932 Brown Street, Allentown.
Executor: Charles Faverey c/o Edward P. Sheetz, Esquire, Gardner, Racines \& Sheetz, 5930 Hamilton Boulevard, Suite 106, Allentown, PA 18106.

Attorneys: Edward P. Sheetz, Esquire, Gardner, Racines \& Sheetz, 5930 Hamilton Boulevard, Suite 106, Allentown, PA 18106.

Geiger, Earl J., dec'd. Late of the City of Allentown. Executrix: Ann Murdoch Geiger c/o Norris, McLaughlin \& Marcus, P.A., 515 West Hamilton Street, Suite 502, Allentown, PA 18101.

Attorneys: Robert E. Donatelli, Esquire, Norris, McLaughlin \& Marcus, P.A., 515 West Hamilton Street, Suite 502, Allentown, PA 18101.

Hanlon, Florence T., dec'd.
Late of New Tripoli.
Personal Representative: Margaret L. Nadramia c/o Ryan K. Fields, Esquire, One West Broad Street, Suite 700, Bethlehem, PA 18018.
Attorneys: Ryan K. Fields, Esquire, King Spry Herman Freund \& Faul LLC, One West Broad Street, Suite 700, Bethlehem, PA 18018, (610) 3320390.

Johnson, Patricia J. a/k/a Patricia Johnson, dec'd.
Late of Zionsville.
Administratrix: Sandra L. Hartman c/o Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.
Attorneys: Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.

Kane, Glenn J., dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Executrix: Terri Kane c/o Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.
Attorneys: Rebecca M. Young, Esq. and Lia K. Snyder, Esq., Young \& Young, 119 E. Main Street, Macungie, PA 18062.

Kehm, Lew G., dec'd.
Late of Whitehall Township. Executrix: Mary Lew Kehm, 5179 Lincoln Ave., Whitehall, PA 18052.

Klopp, William A., dec'd.
Late of Salisbury Township. Executor: David K. Moyer c/o Eric R. Strauss, Esquire, Worth, Magee \& Fisher, P.C., 2610 Walbert Avenue, Allentown, PA 18104.

Attorneys: Eric R. Strauss, Esquire, Worth, Magee \& Fisher, P.C., 2610 Walbert Avenue, Allentown, PA 18104.

Pless1, Edward, dec'd.
Late of the City of Allentown.
Executor: Ted W. Plessl c/o Charles A. Waters, Esquire, Steckel and Stopp LLC, 125 S. Walnut Street, Suite 210, Slatington, PA 18080.
Attorneys: Charles A. Waters, Esquire, Steckel and Stopp LLC, 125 S. Walnut Street, Suite 210, Slatington, PA 18080.

## Reinhard, Rosemary J. a/k/a

 Rosemary Reinhard, dec'd.Late of 5799 Tavistock Lane, Macungie.
Personal Representative: Thomas A. Mulqueen, Jr. c/o James A. Ritter, Esquire, Gross McGinley, LLP, 111 E. Harrison St., Suite 2, Emmaus, PA 180492916.

Attorneys: James A. Ritter, Esquire, Gross McGinley, LLP, 111 E. Harrison Street, Suite 2, Emmaus, PA 18049-2916.

Stangl, Edward M., dec'd.
Late of 6391 Blue Church Road, Coopersburg.
Executrix: Julia A. Horvath c/o Feldman Law Offices, P.C., 221
N. Cedar Crest Blvd., Allentown, PA 18104.
Attorneys: Samuel F. Feldman, Esquire, Feldman Law Offices, P.C., 221 N. Cedar Crest Blvd., Allentown, PA 18104.

Stofflet, Claude J., dec'd.
Late of Allentown.
Executor: William B. Hillegass, Sr. c/o The Roth Law Firm, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.
Attorneys: Larry R. Roth, Esquire, The Roth Law Firm, 123 North Fifth Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Terry, Dorothea E. a/k/a Dorothea Terry, dec'd.
Late of Bethlehem City.
Executor: Dale R. Terry, 2017
12th Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

Attorneys: Karl F. Longenbach, Atty. at Law, 425 W. Broad Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

Walter, John F., dec'd.
Late of Macungie.
Executrix: Chris Ann Hamm c/o Fitzpatrick Lentz \& Bubba, P.C., 4001 Schoolhouse Lane, P.O. Box 219, Center Valley, PA 18034-0219.
Attorneys: Fitzpatrick Lentz \& Bubba, P.C., 4001 Schoolhouse Lane, P.O. Box 219, Center Valley, PA 18034-0219.

Zieger, Walter, dec'd. Late of the City of Allentown. Executrices: Kimberly A. Zieger and Joanne Zieger-Kosloski c/o Danyi Law, P.C., 133 East Broad Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

Attorneys: Kevin F. Danyi, Esq., Danyi Law, P.C., 133 East Broad Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

## NOTICES OF INCORPORATION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Articles of Incorporation have been (are to be) filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of obtaining a

Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Act of December 21, 1988 (P.L. 1444, No. 177), by the following corporation:

The name of the corporation is:

## D\&R PROPERTY

INVESTMENTS, INC.
Ju-13
The name of the corporation is:
FAST HOUSES, INC.
Ju-13
The name of the corporation is:
PLC CONTROL SOLUTIONS INC.
Ju-13
The name of the corporation is:
REST \& REFUGE, INC.

## INDIVIDUAL FICTITIOUS NAME NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to the provisions of Act 295 of 1982, as amended, of intention to file, or the filing of, in the Office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, a certificate for the conduct of a business in Pennsylvania, under the assumed or fictitious name, style or designation of

Name: SD APARTMENTS with its principal place of business at: 1630 Coventry Court, Bethlehem, PA 18015.

The name and address of the person owning or interested in said business are: Deolinda Pequeno, 1630 Coventry Court, Bethlehem, PA 18015.

MATTHEW T. TRANTER, ESQ. KING, SPRY, HERMAN, FREUND \& FAUL, LLC
One West Broad Street
Suite 700
Bethlehem, PA 18018
Ju-13
PUBLIC NOTICE OF
CUSTODY HEARING
In the Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Civil Division

File No. 2018-FC-0555
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IN RE: Complaint for custody, duly reinstated, in the within matter that was filed on April 30, 2018, shall be served on HENRY M. ALLEN (father) by court ordered publication. Plaintiff is ORDERED by Honorable Melissa T. Pavlack pursuant to Leh. R.C.P. 430.

Ju-13

## CHANGE OF NAME NOTICE

In the Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County
Civil Action-Law
NO. 2018-C-1742
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on July 6, 2018, the Petition of Kira R. Butz for a Change of Name has been filed in the above named Court, praying for a Decree to change the name of Petitioner from Kira R. Butz to Kira B. Roberson.

The court has fixed Monday, August 27, 2018 at 9:30 A.M. in Courtroom No. 2A, Lehigh County Courthouse, Allentown, Pennsylvania, as the date and place for the hearing of said Petition. All persons interested in the proposed change of name may appear and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of said Petitioner should not be granted.

Ju-13
CHANGE OF NAME NOTICE
In the Court of Common Pleas
of Lehigh County
Civil Action-Law
NO. 2018-C-1672
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on June 27, 2018, the Petition of REVERE W. WALTERS for a Change of Name has been filed in the above named Court, praying for a Decree to change the name of Petitioner from REVERE W. WALTERS to REVERE W. DIEHL.

The court has fixed August 24, 2018 at 9:30 A.M. in Courtroom No. 1B, Lehigh County Courthouse, Allentown, Pennsylvania, as the date and place for the hearing of said Petition. All persons interested in the
proposed change of name may appear and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of said Petitioner should not be granted.
JAMES L. REICH, ESQ.
121 N. Cedar Crest Boulevard
Suite B
Allentown, PA 18104
Ju-13

## CHANGE OF NAME NOTICE

In the Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County
Civil Action-Law
NO. 2018-C-1658
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on July 2, 2018, the Petition of Emma Lynn Finkle for a Change of Name has been filed in the above named Court, praying for a Decree to change the name of Petitioner from Emma Lynn Finkle to Emerson Louis Finkle.

The court has fixed Monday, August 20, 2018 at 9:30 A.M. in Courtroom No. 1B, Lehigh County Courthouse, Allentown, Pennsylvania, as the date and place for the hearing of said Petition. All persons interested in the proposed change of name may appear and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of said Petitioner should not be granted.

Ju-13

## CHANGE OF NAME NOTICE

In the Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County
Civil Action-Law
NO. 2018-C-1752
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on July 9, 2018, the Petition of Alain Magloire Moustapha Wemy for a Change of Name has been filed in the above named Court, praying for a Decree to change the name of Petitioner from Alain Magloire Moustapha Wemy to Allen Wemy.

The court has fixed September 7, 2018 at 9:30 A.M. in Courtroom No. 2A, Lehigh County Courthouse, Allentown, Pennsylvania, as the date and place for the hearing of said Petition. All persons interested in the
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proposed change of name may appear and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of said Petitioner should not be granted.

Ju-13

## NOTICE

In the Court of Common Pleas of Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Civil Division-Law

No. 2012-N-1663
FIRST COMMONWEALTH FCU
Plaintiff
v.

RAQUEL CABAN and BENJAMIN ANDINO Defendants

## NOTICE TO DEFENDANT BENJAMIN ANDINO

TAKE NOTICE THAT First Commonwealth Federal Credit Union has filed a Writ of Revival in the aforesaid Court seeking that the judgment in the above matter be revived.

If you wish to defend, you must take action within twenty (20) days, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned
that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the pleading or for any other claim or relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

Lawyer Referral Service
P.O. Box 1324

Allentown, PA 18105-1324
(610) 433-7094

MICHAEL R. NESFEDER, ESQ.
I.D. No. 49563

FITZPATRICK LENTZ \& BUBBA, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
4001 Schoolhouse Lane
P.O. Box 219

Center Valley, PA 18034-0219
Ju-13
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## SHERIFF'S SALE OF VALUABLE REAL ESTATE

The following Real Estate will be sold at Sheriff's Sale At 10:00 A.M.

Friday, July 27, 2018
in the Courthouse, Fifth and Hamilton Streets Allentown, Pennsylvania.

Purchasers Must Immediately Pay $10 \%$ of the Purchase Price by Certified Check.

## TO ALL PARTIES IN INTEREST AND CLAIMANT:

Upon all sales where the filing of a Schedule of Distribution is required, the said Schedule will be filed by the Sheriff on a date specified by the Sheriff not later than thirty (30) days after sale, and a Deed will be delivered to the PURCHASER and distribution will be made in accordance with the Schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter.

On sales where the filing of a Schedule of Distribution is not required, a Deed will be delivered to the PURCHASER after the expiration of twenty (20) days from the date of sale, unless exceptions are taken to the sale within that period.

## NO. 1

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2095, Ditech Financial LLC $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ Green Tree Servicing LLC v. Sergio Soto, Ana Soto, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 623 South Fawn Street, Allentown, PA 18103-3343.

Tax Assessment No. 6406585639961.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

[^5]NO. 2

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2016-C-1568, Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC v. Jocelyn Rosario a/k/a Jocelyn A. Rosario, owner of property situate in the Township of Salisbury, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1040 Public Road, Bethlehem, PA 18015-2426.

Tax Assessment No. 6417912725261.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond
\& Jones, LLP

## NO. 3

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-0287, Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC v. Kimberly M. Hanou, Solely in Her Capacity As Heir of Dennis J. Diehl, Deceased and Unknown Heirs, Successors, Assigns and All Persons, Firms or Associations Claiming Right, Title or Interest From or Under Dennis J. Diehl, Deceased, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 830 E . Walnut Street a/k/a 826 E. Walnut Street a/k/a 828 and 830 East Walnut Street, Allentown, PA 18109.

Tax Assessment No. 64079358-8239-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Property.

Attorneys
Powers Kirn \& Associates, LLC
NO. 5

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2018-C-0058, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Brian A. Toman, owner of property situate in the Township of North Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2233 Old Post Road, Coplay, PA 18037-2404.

Tax Assessment No. 5479978926261.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys<br>Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 6

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2018-C-0085, Wells Fargo Bank, NA v. Oscar Cintron, owner of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 748 North Graham Street a/k/a 742 North Graham Street, Allentown, PA 18109-1857.

Tax Assessment No. 6407779044731.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 7

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3381, Wells Fargo Bank, NA v. Unknown Heirs, Successors, Assigns and All Persons, Firms or Associations Claiming Right, Title or Interest From or Under Jose Santos, Deceased, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 616 North Jefferson Street a/k/a 616 Jefferson Street, Allentown, PA 18102-2129.

Tax Assessment No. 5497626396721.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 8

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3674, Pennymac Loan Ser-
vices, LLC v. Michael R. Miller, Lyndsie A. Markovich, owners of property situate in the City of Bethlehem, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 252 8th Avenue, Bethlehem, PA 18018-5132.

Tax Assessment No. 6427262461571.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

NO. 9

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3789, Nationstar Mortgage LLC d/b/a Mr. Cooper v. Diana K. Harris and Brian S. Harris, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 319.5 North 16 th Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Tax Assessment No. 5497503290051.

Improvements thereon: A residential dwelling.

Attorneys
KML Law Group, P.C.

NO. 10

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3285, New Tripoli Bank v. Thomas J. Golden and Tara L. Golden, owners of property situate in the Township of Heidelberg, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 6854 Flint Hill Road, New Tripoli, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 54392431-3762-1.

Improvements thereon: A onestory single family dwelling with an attached garage.

Attorney
Jack M. Seitz, Esquire
NO. 11

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3126, Pacific Union Finan-
cial, LLC v. Shanna Vrablic and Scott Vrablic, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1122 North Cedar Crest Boulevard, Allentown, PA 18104.

Tax Assessment No. 5487407920331.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
McCabe, Weisberg \& Conway, LLC

$$
\text { NO. } 12
$$

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-1648, Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") v. Deshna Craig, owner of property situate in the Township of Lower Milford, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 8057 Kings Highway South, Zionsville, PA 18092.

Tax Assessment No. 5491572220081.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Martha E. Von Rosenstiel, P.C.

## NO. 13

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2115, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Alberto Paulino-Rodriguez a/k/a Alberto Paulino Rodriguez, Anny Rodriguez, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 901 South Poplar Street a/k/a 901 Poplar Street South, Allentown, PA 181033123.

Tax Assessment No. 54969670-0229-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

NO. 15

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3149, Pennymac Loan Services, LLC v. Wayman D. Williams, III; Gladys D. Williams a/k/a Gladys Williams, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 728 West Whitehall Street, Allentown, PA 18102-1536.

Tax Assessment No. 5497858152641.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond
\& Jones, LLP

## NO. 16

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-C-3124, Selene Finance LP v. Scott R. Chapman, Jennifer L. Reily, owners of property situate in the Borough of Slatington, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 336 4th Street, Slatington, PA 18080.

Tax Assessment No. 5562026044311.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

NO. 17

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2854, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. As Successor by Merger to Wachovia Bank, N.A. v. Bonnie B. Jacobson a/k/a Bonnie M. Brown, in Her Capacity As Executrix and Devisee of the Estate of R. Marie Brown a/k/a Ruth Marie Brown; Mary Louise Salemi, in Her Capacity As Devisee of the Estate of R. Marie Brown $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ Ruth Marie Brown, owners of property situate in the Township of Lower Macungie, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2923 Aronimink Place, Macungie, PA 18062-1403.

Tax Assessment No. 54749333614810.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 18

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2450, Ditech Financial LLC f/k/a Green Tree Servicing LLC v. Caroline Zedar, owner of property situate in the Township of South Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2227 Village Road, Orefield, PA 18069.

Tax Assessment No. 5477064019231.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

NO. 20

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-C-3277, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., As Trustee, in Trust for the Registered Holders of Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Trust 2005-WMC5, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-WMC5 v. Anthony M. Nigro and Allyson N. Nigro, owners of property situate in the Township of South Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 4634 Hoffmansville Road, Orefield, PA 18069.

Tax Assessment No. 54770805-4594-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorney
Roger Fay, Esquire

## NO. 21

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2773, ABS REO Trust III v. Erwin Udowitza, owner of property
situate in the City of Bethlehem, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1923 Cloverdale Road, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

Tax Assessment No. 6418443269371.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorney
Roger Fay, Esquire

## NO. 22

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3671, Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. v. William H. Miller and Juanita A. Miller, owners of property situate in the Township of Lynn, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 9431 Red Road, Kempton, PA 19529.

Tax Assessment No. 5417051038351.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorney
Roger Fay, Esquire

## NO. 23

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2013-C-3148, U.S. Bank National Association v. Leroy A. Campbell, Marcia R. Campbell, owners of property situate in the Township of Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 5008 Foxdale Drive, Whitehall, PA 18052-2233.

Tax Assessment No. 5499186366381.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

NO. 24

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-C-3802, Lafayette Ambassador Bank v. John W. Kachurak, owner of
property situate in the Township of Lower Milford, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2341 Bridle Path Lane, Coopersburg, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 64036144-4574-1.

Improvements thereon: Two-story detached dwelling.

Attorneys<br>Joseph P. Schalk, Esquire Barley Snyder

NO. 25

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-0626, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company As Trustee for the Certificateholders of the Soundview Home Loan Trust 2005-DO1, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2005-DO1 v. Brad Legath and Nicole Legath, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2349 West Allen Street, Allentown, PA 18104.

Tax Assessment No. 5496092710291.

Improvements thereon: A residential dwelling.

Attorneys
KML Law Group, P.C.

## NO. 26

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3861, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, As Trustee, in Trust for the Registered Holders of Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust 2005-HE2, Mortgage PassThrough Certificates, Series 2005HE2 v. Cathy Anderson and George R. Chism, owners of property situate in the Township of Salisbury, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 4 Keystone Road, Emmaus, PA 18049.

Tax Assessment No. 5495400523961.

Improvements thereon: A residential dwelling.

Attorneys
KML Law Group, P.C.

NO. 27

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2189, LSF9 Master Participation Trust v. Carol Ann Becker and Daniel D. Becker $a / k / a$ Daniel Becker, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1803 East Greenleaf Street, Allentown, PA 18109.

Tax Assessment Nos. 6417286849311 f/k/a 0215 G10-NE1A 008017 A.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Richard M. Squire
\& Associates, LLC
NO. 28

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2014-C-1800, Bank of America, N.A., As Successor by Merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP f/k/a Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, LP v. Carlos J. Ortega a/k/a Carlos Ortega; Jenny Rosales, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 809 Sherman Street, a/k/a 807-809 Sherman Street, Allentown, PA 18109-8119.

Tax Assessment Nos. 6417382027501.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond
\& Jones, LLP
NO. 29

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3236, Bank of America N.A., Successor by Merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP fka Countrywide Home Loans Servicing, LP v. Maximo W. Ramos, Angel L. Melendez and Elba Aviles de Melendez, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania,
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being 837 W. Green Street a/k/a 837 Green Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Tax Assessment No. 5497836833261.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorney
Roger Fay, Esquire
NO. 30

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-C-3069, MTGLQ Investors, L.P. v. Jason Rice and Lisa M. Rice, owners of property situate in the Township of Lynn, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 6630 Jefferson Court, Lynn Township, PA 18066.

Tax Assessment No. 5429259797561.

Improvements thereon: A residential dwelling.

Attorneys
KML Law Group, P.C.
NO. 31

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2681, Wells Fargo Bank, NA v . Nicholas R. Matto, owner of property situate in the Township of Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 24 Madison Lane, Whitehall, PA 18052.

Tax Assessment No. 54983226-4244-1.

Improvements thereon: Single family dwelling.

Attorneys
Kimberly A. Bonner, Esquire Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

## NO. 32

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2012-C-5268, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Fernando A. Hernando, owner of property situate in the Borough of Fountain Hill, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1054 Jeter Avenue, Bethlehem, PA 18015.

Tax Assessment No. 6427116829791.

Improvements thereon: Single family dwelling.

Attorneys
Kimberly A. Bonner, Esquire
Manley Deas Kochalski LLC
NO. 33

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2654, Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Angela Fenstermaker aka Angela Fenstermaker Zicker aka Angela Zicker, As Administrator to the Estate of Dennis D. Fenstermaker, owner of property situate in the Borough of Emmaus, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 214 North 4th Street, Emmaus, PA 18049.

Tax Assessment No. 5494564717351.

Improvements thereon: Single family dwelling.

Attorneys
Kimberly A. Bonner, Esquire Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

NO. 34

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2010-C-4348, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Kathleen L. Schiavone; Anthony L. Schiavone, Jr., owners of property situate in the Borough of Catasauqua and Township of Hanover, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 89 Stonewood Place, Catasauqua, PA 18032.

Tax Assessment No. 64094129-3259-1.

Improvements thereon: Single family dwelling.

Attorneys
Kimberly A. Bonner, Esquire Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

NO. 35

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3926, Wells Fargo Bank, NA
v. Jayson Santiago; Heather Santiago aka Heather Francis Santiago aka Heather F. Santiago, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1546 West Washington Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Tax Assessment No. 5497425425851.

Improvements thereon: Single family dwelling.

Attorneys
Kimberly A. Bonner, Esquire Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

## NO. 36

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-C-200, Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC v. Todd K. Huber, Aimee M. Huber, owners of property situate in the Township of Upper Saucon, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 5032 Remington Drive, Coopersburg, PA 18036-1378.

Tax Assessment No. 6423171044381.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 37

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2424, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{m}$ to Wachovia Bank, National Association v. Rosalyn J. Bachman, owner of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 510 East Hamilton Street, Allentown, PA 18109-2504.

Tax Assessment No. 6407832552141.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond
\& Jones, LLP

NO. 38

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2013-C-1857, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB d/b/a Christiana Trust, Not in Its Individual Capacity But Solely in Its Capacity As Owner Trustee of Matawin Ventures Trust Series 2016-2 v. Troy W. Snyder, Michelle D. Snyder, owners of property situate in the Township of Salisbury, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1704 Cardinal Drive a/k/a 1704-1706 Cardinal Drive, Bethlehem, PA 18015-2304.

Tax Assessment No. 6417702666431.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 39

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2991, PHH Mortgage Corporation $v$. Carlos $R$. Silva, owner of property situate in the Township of Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 640 5th Street, Whitehall, PA 18052.

Tax Assessment No. 6408005733991.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 40

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2016-C-3207, Laelia, LLC v. Jamie Matlock a/k/a Jamie L. Matlock, Kevin A. Matlock, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 730 Railroad Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Tax Assessment No. 6407357704981.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 41

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2012-C-1278, U.S. Bank National Association, As Trustee for MASTR Asset Backed Securities Trust 2006NC1, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-NC1 v. Mark W. Shill, Patti J. Shill, owners of property situate in the Township of Upper Macungie, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 270 Hopewell Drive, Allentown, PA 18104-8500.

Tax Assessment No. 5465989278271.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 42

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-1675, PNC Bank, National Association v. All Known and Unknown Heirs of Mary E. Lilak a/k/a Mary Elizabeth Lilak, owners of property situate in the Township of Salisbury, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1656/1660 Cardinal Drive, Bethlehem, PA 18015.

Tax Assessment No. 64177086-7681-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

> Attorneys
> Tucker Arensberg P.C.

NO. 43

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2013-C-967, Wilmington Savings Fund, FSB d/b/a Christiana Trust, Not Individually But As Trustee for Hilldale Trust v. Gabriel Nunoo and Mavis Nunoo, owners of property
situate in the Township of South Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2403 W. Highland Street, Allentown, PA 18104.

Tax Assessment No. 54878294-9392-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Jill M. Fein, Esquire Hill Wallack LLP

## NO. 44

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3201, Pennymac Loan Services, LLC v. Scott D. Tyson a/k/a Scott Tyson, owner of property situate in the Township of Salisbury, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 158 Mountain Park Road, Salisbury a/k/a Allentown, PA 18103.

Tax Assessment No. 640579918-594-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Property.

Attorneys
Powers Kirn \& Associates, LLC

NO. 47

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3433, People First Federal Credit Union v. Mark R. Bodkin, owner of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 419 N. Lumber Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Tax Assessment No. 549792437-456-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Property.

Attorney
William J. Fries, Esquire

NO. 48

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-C-2754, Loancare, LLC f/k/a Loancare, a Division of FNF Servicing, Inc. v. Marjorie Jules and Patrick

Jules, owners of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2548 West Tilghman Street a/k/a 2542-2552 West Tighman Street, Allentown, PA 18104.

Tax Assessment No. 5486899579821.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
McCabe, Weisberg \& Conway, LLC
NO. 49

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3496, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, As Trustee for Soundview Home Loan Trust 2008-1, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2008-1 v. Timothy Lingo; Tanya N. Mertz-Lingo a/k/a Tanya Lingo, owners of property situate in the Township of Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 3218 South Second Street, Whitehall, PA 180523505.

Tax Assessment No. 5499602411291.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

## NO. 50

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2016-C-2244, Union Community Bank, Successor to Union National Community Bank v. Larry L. Wisser and Cathleen R. Wisser, jointly and severally, owners of property situate in the Township of Weisenberg, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 8281 Holbens Valley Road, New Tripoli, PA 18066.

Tax Assessment No. 542871599-314-1.

Improvements thereon: Five (5) Outbuildings.

Attorneys
Charles N. Shurr, Jr., Esquire Kozloff Stoudt

NO. 51

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3258, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association Successor by Merger to Chase Home Finance LLC, Successor by Merger to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation v. Kenneth Stein, Jr., owner of property situate in the Township of Lower Macungie, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1216 Eagle Street, Wescosville, PA 18106.

Tax Assessment No. 54756301-1522-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential dwelling.

Attorney<br>Samantha Gable, Esquire

NO. 52

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-3288, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association v. Lenny L. Fernandez, owner of property situate in the City of Allentown formerly the Township of South Whitehall, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2220 West Tilghman Street, Allentown, PA 18104.

Tax Assessment No. 5497100308211.

Improvements thereon: Residential dwelling.

Attorney Samantha Gable, Esquire

NO. 53
By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2066, Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Matthew L. Cole, owner of property situate in the Borough of Slatington, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 348 Chestnut Street, Slatington, PA 18080.

Tax Assessment No. 55621446-8371-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential dwelling.

Attorney
Samantha Gable, Esquire

## NO. 54

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-C-2270, Embassy Bank for the Lehigh Valley v. Carola L. Fulop, owner of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1515 N. 29th Street, Allentown, PA 18104.

Tax Assessment No. 54875338-4346-1.

Improvements thereon: A one story ranch-type dwelling with garage.

Attorney
Jack M. Seitz, Esquire
NO. 56

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-N-206, M\&T Bank v. Republican Club of Fountain Hill, owner of property situate in the Borough of Fountain Hill, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1137 Broadway, Fountain Hill, PA 18015.

Tax Assessment No. 6427203837051.

Improvements thereon: Commercial structure.

Attorneys
Jared S. Roach, Esquire Reed Smith LLP

## NO. 57

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-N-1072, Community First Fund v. Casa Jeanette, Inc., owner of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 703-705 North 8th Street, Allentown, PA 18102.

Tax Assessment No. 5497932738161.

Improvements thereon: A twostory commercial building with brick exterior.

Attorney
Matthew C. Samley, Esquire

NO. 58

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-N-0038, CRE/ADC Venture 2013-1, LLC, As Successor-inInterest to Nova Savings Bank v. Lenora M. Johnson, owner of property situate in the City of Bethlehem, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1913 West Broad Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

Tax Assessment Nos.: 64177842-0956-1—Ritter Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018; 641778532045-1—1885 West Market Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018; 641778452427-1—1913 West Broad Street, Bethlehem, PA 18018.

Improvements thereon: All improvements thereon.

Attorney<br>Jennifer R. Hoover, Esquire

NO. 59

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-ML-3204, Allentown School District v. Johnson Hypolite, owner of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 736 N. 5th Street, Allentown, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 64070456-1058-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Dwelling.

Attorneys
Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd.

## NO. 61

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2006-ML-0844, Northwestern Lehigh School District v. Gail M. Lloyd, owner of property situate in the Township of Heidelberg, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 8696 Bake Oven Road, Heidelberg Township, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 55310793-3358-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Property.

Attorneys
Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd.

$$
\text { NO. } 62
$$

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2015-ML-3310, Northern Lehigh School District v. Steven J., Inc., owner of property situate in the Borough of Slatington, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 324 Williams Street, Slatington, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 55621449-4746-1.

Improvements thereon: Institutional Property.

Attorneys Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd.

## NO. 63

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-ML-1638, Northern Lehigh School District v. Kennard Szacska and Gloria Szacska, owners of property situate in the Township of Washington, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 9111 N. Loop Road, Washington Township, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 55423855-2784-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Property.

Attorneys
Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd.

NO. 66

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2017-ML-0060, Catasauqua Area School District v. Sandra I. Ender, owner of property situate in the Township of Hanover, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 2778 Maryanne Way, Hanover Township, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 64193153-8591-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Property.

Attorneys
Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd.

## NO. 71

By virtue of a writ of execution No. 2016-ML-2506, Allentown School District v. Esther Rodriguez, owner of property situate in the City of Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, being 1031-1033 S. 7th Street, Allentown, PA.

Tax Assessment No. 64062691-0680-1.

Improvements thereon: Residential Conv. 2 Apts.

Attorneys
Portnoff Law Associates, Ltd.
JOSEPH N. HANNA
Sheriff of Lehigh County, PA
Sarah M. Murray,
County Solicitor
Richard Brent Somach,
Assistant County Solicitor
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 18$ Pa. C.S. §5104.
    ${ }^{2} 18$ Pa. C.S. $\$ 5503(\mathrm{a})(2)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ On March 26, 2018, the portion of the Motion in Limine seeking to introduce the defendant's prior bad acts was denied.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Defense counsel, in his memorandum of law, asserts this reason as one of his arguments to thwart release of the videos. It is apparent from Pagan that Rule 573(C) is more flexible than defense counsel would suggest, and the Court has the discretion to order disclosure of items not listed in Rule 573(C).

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The defendant's convictions for "dishonesty or false statement" (hereinafter crimen falsi) may be admitted in rebuttal after his testimony. Commonwealth v. Garcia, 551 Pa . 616,712 A. 2 d 746 (1998); see also, 42 Pa. C.S. §5918. Therefore, the admission of crimen falsi convictions will be dependent upon the defendant's decision to testify.

[^4]:    Wagner, Nancy a/k/a Nancy Marie Wagner, dec'd.
    Late of Allentown City.

[^5]:    Attorneys
    Phelan Hallinan Diamond \& Jones, LLP

