

Adams County **Legal Journal**

Vol. 66

January 24, 2025

No. 39

IN THIS ISSUE:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

v.

DAVID JOSEPH LAWRENCE

Invest With Experience

The right financial partner will help you plan for the future and manage investments with your best interest in mind. Our disciplined, common sense approach to investment management is customized to meet your objectives.

acnb.com | 1.888.334.2262



**Trust & Investment
Services**

Securities and Insurance Products are: NOT FDIC INSURED • MAY LOSE VALUE • NOT BANK GUARANTEED • NOT A DEPOSIT • NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ENTITY

ADAMS COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL (USPS 542-600)

Designated for the Publication of Court and other Legal Notices. Published weekly by Adams County Bar Association, Edward G. Puhl, Esq., Editor; Dr. B. Bohleke (since 2019), Business Manager.

Business Office – 117 BALTIMORE STREET, ROOM 305, GETTYSBURG, PA 17325-2313. Telephone: (717) 337-9812

Copyright© 1959 by Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., for Adams County Bar Association, Gettysburg, PA 17325.
All rights reserved.

CHANGE OF NAME NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on the 14th day of January 2025, the Petition of Mauricio Arenas, an adult individual, was filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, praying for a decree to change the name of petitioner to Maurice Arenas.

The Court has affixed the 25th day of April, 2025, at 10:30 a.m., in Courtroom No. 2 of the Adams County Courthouse, as the time and place for the hearing of said petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of said petition should not be granted.

1/24

Chances are, your clients have certain organizations and causes they care deeply about.

Show them you get it.

Help your clients bring their dreams to fruition with free philanthropic planning tools and ongoing support from the Adams County Community Foundation.

Expertise in all areas of gift planning

Free, confidential consultations

Respect for your client relationships

Facilitation of charitable giving in Adams County and beyond

To find out more:

Contact Ralph M. Serpe, 717-337-0060
rserpe@adamscountycf.org



**ADAMS COUNTY
COMMUNITY FOUNDATION**

25 South 4th Street
Gettysburg, PA 17325
www.adamscountycf.org



Good for your clients. Good for our community. Good for you.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

v.

DAVID JOSEPH LAWRENCE

1. The affidavit of probable cause for the search warrant (hereinafter “affidavit”) is twelve pages long and sets forth an extensive outline of Detective Beyer’s training, experience and expertise concerning child exploitation crimes, including seventeen separate trainings he attended between August 2013 and September 2020 regarding child exploitation and the use of computers, electronic devices, and the internet to commit these crimes.

2. Starting on 23 August 2020, an undercover investigator with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, referred to as OCE-10148, created an online profile on the application Kik. On August 23, 2020, Kik user “smoohtalker1969” initiated a private chat with OCE-10148. smoohtalker1969 provided he was “daddy” looking for a “real female baby girl.”

3. On September 16, 2022, Administrative Subpoena #813274 was served by the FBI to Comcast Communications via Comcast's Legal Response Center online portal. The subpoena requested basic subscriber information for the Comcast account associated to IP address 174.49.159.70 assigned on 2022/09/06 20:10:09 UTC (remotePort: 49637)

4. On December 1, 2022, Administrative subpoena #832417 was issued to AT&T via electronic delivery by members of the FBI. The subpoena requested basic subscriber information and call records for telephone 717-253-0964. On the same day, AT&T provided the following information:

FINANCIAL LIABLE PARTY

Name: DAVID LAWRENCE

Credit Address: 109 N. 2ND ST.

MCSHERRYSTOWN, PA 17344

5. The search warrant, attachment and affidavit of probable cause did not violate Defendant’s rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article 1,

Section 8 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania as the alleged facts relied on to establish probable cause for the search warrant were not stale, given the nature of the investigation (child exploitation) and the items sought by Detective Beyer (child pornography and related evidence of child pornography). See **Commonwealth v. Gomolekoff**, 910 A.2d 710 (Pa. Super. 2006); **Commonwealth v. Hoppert**, 39 A.3d 358 (Pa. Super. 2012).

6. The facts Detective Beyer relied upon in support of the search warrant, such facts received from the FBI as a result of an initial search warrant executed by the FBI which referenced one-on-one communications between Defendant and an undercover FBI agent through the “KIK” application, did not violate Defendant’s reasonable expectation of privacy, because Defendant had no reasonable expectation of privacy in private chat-room conversations with an undercover law enforcement officer. See **Commonwealth v. Proetto**, 771 A.2d 823 (Pa. Super. 2001); **Commonwealth v. Diego**, 119 A.3d 370 (Pa. Super. 2015).

7. A common sense and non-technical reading of the search warrant, affidavit and attachment legally authorized Detective Beyer to search and seize evidence related to the possession and/or dissemination of child pornography.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ADAMS COUNTY,
PENNSYLVANIA, CP-01-CR-76-2024, COMMONWEALTH OF
PENNSYLVANIA V. DAVID JOSEPH LAWRENCE

Brian R. Sinnet, Esquire, Attorney for the Commonwealth
Jamison Entwistle, Esquire, Attorney for the Defendant
Wagner, P. J., December 6, 2024

OPINION ON DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO SUPPRESS

Presently before this Court is Defendant David Joseph Lawrence’s (hereinafter “Defendant”) Motion to Suppress, filed June 20, 2024. As set forth in the Court’s Order dated November 6, 2024, and with the agreement of counsel, the Court will decide Defendant’s Motion to Suppress upon review of the search warrant,

attachment, and affidavit of probable cause. The issues before the Court are (1) whether the search warrant violated Defendant's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania as the alleged facts relied on to establish probable cause for the search warrant were stale, and (2) whether the facts used in support of the search warrant were a result of an initial search warrant executed by the FBI in violation of Defendant's reasonable expectation of privacy in private one-on-one communications through the "KIK" application. For reasons set forth herein, Defendant's Motion to Suppress is denied.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Defendant is charged with sexual abuse of children, possession of child pornography in violation of § 6312(d) of the Crimes Code as a felony of the second degree, sexual abuse of children, dissemination of child pornography, in violation of § 6312(c) of the Crimes Code as a felony of the second degree, and criminal use of a communication facility, in violation of § 7512(a) of the Crimes Code as a felony of the third degree.
2. On November 14, 2023, Detective Eric B. Beyer of the Adams County District Attorney's Office applied for and received a search warrant for the residence of David J. Lawrence located at 109 North Second Street, McSherrystown, Adams County, Pennsylvania.
3. The affidavit of probable cause for the search warrant (hereinafter "affidavit") is twelve pages long and sets forth an extensive outline of Detective Beyer's training, experience and expertise concerning child exploitation crimes, including seventeen separate trainings he attended between August 2013 and September 2020 regarding child exploitation and the use of computers, electronic devices, and the internet to commit these crimes. The affidavit also sets forth that Detective Beyer is a member of the Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force ("ICAC") as well as an in-depth explanation of the ICAC program. Based on Detective Beyer's education, training and

experience as outlined in the affidavit, Detective Beyer clearly is an expert in the investigation of child exploitation crimes.

4. In the affidavit, Detective Beyer set forth legal conclusions based on his expertise, including the following:

- 3.) As set forth in this affidavit, probable cause exists to believe that an individual at 109 N. 2nd Street, McSherrystown Borough, Adams County, Pennsylvania 17344, has attempted to purchase and/or receive child pornography, and has shared child pornography with others. Based upon my knowledge, experience, and training in child pornography investigations, and the training and experience of other law enforcement officers with whom I have had discussions, I know that there are certain characteristics common to individuals involved in the receipt and attempted receipt of child pornography:

- a.) Those who receive and attempt to receive child pornography may receive sexual gratification, stimulation, and satisfaction from contact with children; or from fantasies they may have viewing children engaged in sexual activity or in sexually suggestive poses, such as in person, in photographs, or other visual media; or from literature describing such activity.

- b.) Those who receive and attempt to receive child pornography may collect sexually explicit or suggestive materials, in a variety of media, including photographs, magazines, motion pictures, videotapes, books, slides and/or drawings or other visual media. Such individuals oftentimes use these materials for their own sexual arousal and gratification. Further, they may use these materials to lower the inhibitions of children they are attempting to seduce, to

arouse the selected child partner, or to demonstrate the desired sexual acts.

- c.) Those who receive and attempt to receive child pornography often possess and maintain their “hard copies” of child pornographic material, that is, their pictures, films, video tapes, magazines, negatives, photographs, correspondence, mailing lists, books, tape recordings, etc., in the privacy and security of their home or some other secure location. These individuals typically retain pictures, films, photographs, negatives, magazines, correspondence, books, tape recordings, mailing lists, child erotica, and videotapes for many years.
- d.) Likewise, those who receive and attempt to receive child pornography often maintain their collections that are in a digital or electronic format in a safe, secure, and private environment, such as a computer and surrounding area. These collections are often maintained for several years and are kept close by, usually at the individual’s residence, to enable the collector to view the collection, which is valued highly.
- e.) Those who receive and attempt to receive child pornography also may correspond with and/or meet others to share information and materials; rarely destroy correspondence from other child pornography distributors/collectors; conceal such correspondence as they do their sexually explicit material; and often maintain lists of names, addresses, and telephone numbers of individuals with whom they have been in contact and who share the same interests in child pornography.

- f.) Those who receive and attempt to receive child pornography prefer not to be without their child pornography for any prolonged time period. This behavior has been documented by law enforcement officers involved in the investigation of child pornography throughout the world.
- 4.) The development of computers has added to the methods used by child pornography collectors to interact with and sexually exploit children. Computers serve four functions in connection with child pornography and child exploitation offenses. These are production, communication, distribution, and storage.
- a.) Child pornographers and child exploitation suspects can now transfer photographs from a camera onto a computer-readable format with a device known as a scanner. With the advent of digital cameras, the images can now be transferred directly onto a computer. A device known as a modem allows any computer to connect to another computer through the use of telephone, cable, or wireless connection. Electronic contact can be made to literally millions of computers around the world. The ability to produce child pornography easily, reproduce it inexpensively, and market it anonymously (through electronic communications) has drastically changed the method of distribution and receipt of child pornography. Child pornography can be transferred via electronic mail or through file transfer protocols (FTP) to anyone with access to a computer and modem. Because of the proliferation of commercial services that provide electronic mail service, chat services (i.e., “Instant Messaging”), and easy access to the

Internet, the computer is now a preferred method of distribution and receipt of child pornographic materials.

- b.) The computer's ability to store images in digital form makes the computer itself an ideal repository for child pornography. The size of the electronic storage media (commonly referred to as the hard drive) used in home computers has grown tremendously within the last several years. These drives can store thousands of images at very high resolution.
- c.) The Internet and its World Wide Web afford collectors of child pornography several different venues for obtaining, viewing, and trading child pornography in a relatively secure and anonymous fashion. With that being said, child pornography is not openly shared in a public manner. Child pornographers have to know how to search for, find, and download illicit images. Several steps are required to find child pornography via the internet.
- d.) Collectors and distributors of child pornography also use online resources to retrieve and store child pornography, including services offered by Internet Portals such as Yahoo!, Google, OneDrive, and Hotmail, among others. These online services allow a user to set up an account with a remote computing service that provides email services as well as electronic storage of computer files in any variety of formats. A user can set up an online storage account from any computer with access to the Internet. Even in cases where online storage is used, however, evidence of child pornography can be found on the user's computer in most cases. Examples of this evidence includes forensic artifacts, such as

an online service's presence on the suspect's electronic device, the user name associated with a Cybertip report, the associated email addresses, IP address history, and usage logs.

- e.) As is the case with most digital technology, communications by way of computer can be saved or stored on the computer used for these purposes. Storing this information can be intentional, i.e., by saving an email as a file on the computer or saving the location of one's favorite websites in, for example, "bookmarked" files. Digital information can also be retained unintentionally, e.g., traces of the path of an electronic communication may be automatically stored in many places (e.g., temporary files or ISP client software, among others). In addition to electronic communications, a computer user's Internet activities generally leave traces or "footprints" in the web cache and history files of the browser used. A forensic examiner often can recover evidence suggesting whether a computer contains peer to peer software, when the computer was sharing files, and some of the files which were uploaded or downloaded. Such information is often maintained indefinitely until overwritten by other data.
- f.) Forensic examiners can recover files even when they have been deleted. My prior investigations have recovered deleted files which had been deleted for several months. When the computer which was sharing the child pornography is seized, it is likely to contain evidence relating to the possession and/or distribution of child pornography even if the child pornography has been deleted.

g.) For purposes of this discussion, a cellular telephone, specifically one referred to as a “Smart Phone”, is a self-contained computer. These devices are advertised as all-in-one devices consisting of a camera, storage, processor, with internet connectivity. Cellular devices and mobile devices, such as tablet computers, have the ability to store images and videos to the device or to cloud-based storage systems. My prior experience with cellular telephones and mobile devices has shown that these devices can also connect to the internet using their own connections or through available Wi-Fi connections, and can download files from, or upload files to, social media sites or internet sites. Cellular telephones also have the ability to be "synced" up to tablet, laptop, or tower computers. This “synching” allows the data within a phone to be backed up onto a computer, allowing for the storage of all data from the phone to be transferred to the computer, in case of a phone loss or failure, to allow the data to be seamlessly reloaded onto a new phone.

h.) “Smart Phones” have also evolved over time. When first introduced, cellular phones were only capable of making voice calls using analog systems. Now, when used as computers, cellular phones can be used to take, store, and transmit images and videos, access internet sites, including sites used for the purposes of child exploitation. Several applications now exist to allow strangers to converse with each other and to send and receive communications such as multimedia messages, (videos and images sent in conjunction with a text communication), text messages, instant messages, chat conversations, and emails, among others.

- 6.) c.) Computer evidence can be stored for extended period of times until overwritten by other data or programs. Digital evidence recovery has successfully recovered items, which had been deleted several years prior to the search. With the sizes of hard drives available today, the likelihood of recovering data is even greater as it usually takes longer to overwrite existing data.
5. Detective Beyer's affidavit also included the following paragraphs providing specific probable cause relating to the investigation of Defendant:

- 7.) This investigation concerns the reported Unlawful Contact with a Minor, Possession of Child Pornography, and Criminal Use of a Communication Facility, as defined by PA CS Title 18, Section 6312, 6318, and 7512, using the online application Kik. The information in the following paragraphs were made known to me directly by official documentation prepared by members of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Kik records, video files, and logs, as well as discussions with Agents of the FBI.

Starting on 23 August 2020, an undercover investigator with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, referred to as OCE-10148, created an online profile on the application Kik. OCE-10148 created said Kik profile listing Username: madgurl511, Age: 14yo girl, Location: San Francisco, CA, United States. On August 23, 2020, OCE began chatting with Kik user "smoothtalker1969." On August 23, 2020, Kik user "smoothtalker1969" initiated a private chat with OCE-10148. smoothtalker1969 provided he was "daddy" looking for a "real female baby girl." OCE provided her age as 14 and asked the question "is that

too old?" smoothtalker1969 replied "age is just a number." Throughout the chats, smoothtalker1969 provided he was 50 years old and lives in the eastern United States. OCE told smoothtalker1969 her age more than once. smoothtalker1969 wanted to know if the OCE was in any relationship and the OCE's experience on being open minded. smoothtalker1969 asked if the OCE was willing to provide live pics to "help move things along."

- 8.) In 2022, OCE-10148 created a Kik profile listing Username: madincali34, Location: San Francisco, CA, United States. On or about August 30 2022, OCE joined the public groups "Daugh kitty for use" (#daughtforrent) and "SHARE DAUGHTTERS" (#daughtterr). OCE-10148 posted in these groups "cali mom w two daus" and "7 9 daus ca", respectively. On August 30, 2022, Kik user "smoothtalker1969" then engaged OCE-10148 in private messaging.

On August 30, 2022, Kik user "smoothtalker1969" began chatting with OCE-10148 via direct Kik messaging. smoothtalker1969 told the OCE that he was in "SF" last month. smoothtalker1969 said other trips can be made. When asked what his taboo was, smoothtalker1969 replied, "Females.. of any age, ddlg (Investigator note, DDLF means Dominant Daddy, Little Girl) etc." smoothtalker1969 provided that he lived in Virginia. When asked if the OCE's purported minor daughters, aged 7 and 9, were too young, smoothtalker1969 said, "No, as long as we click.. all good." smoothtalker1969 wanted to know what the girls and the OCE were into.

smoothtalker1969 said he had experience and asked if the OCE was the one playing with the girls or have other men also played with them.

As this chat investigation continued, the smoothtalker1969 made several statements indicating that he was interested in engaging in sexual acts with the undercover investigator's two purported minor children. On November 28, 2022, Kik user "smoothtalker1969" continued chatting with OCE-10148 via direct Kik messaging. Through March 2nd, 2023, smoothtalker1969 provided he was still interested in sexually exploiting the OCE's purported minor daughters. smoothtalker1969 did not mention specific plans for traveling to meet the OCE's purported minor children during this time frame.

- 9.) Based upon this investigation, On February 24, 2023, the Honorable Laurel Beeler, United States Magistrate Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, authorized and issued a Federal Search and Seizure Warrant (Case No. 3-23-mj-70241) for the Kik account with identifier "smoothtalker1969". The search is related to the violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b) which prohibits the enticement of a minor, or attempts to do so.

On or about February 27, 2023, pursuant to a Federal Search Warrant executed to MediaLab.ai Inc., c/o Kik, for account logs and content pertaining to Kik user "smoothtalker1969" on February 24, 2023, Kik Law Enforcement Operations Trust and Safety provided search warrant returns via their online portal for KIK-11952 to the FBI case investigators in California. As part of this investigation, these same records were provided to me by the FBI, and were personally reviewed by me.

Through April 12, 2023, the search warrant returns for Kik account "smoothtalker1969" were reviewed by agents of the FBI. Kik provided subscriber

information, logs, and media content which included 1,000 image/video files.

Subscriber information was reported as follows: First Name: Dave, Last Name: @, email netracer05@yahoo.com (unconfirmed), username: smoohtalker1969. The devices associated with this Kik account were listed as an Apple iPhone and iPad. The last IP activity reported on February 27, 2023 utilized IP address 174.49.159.70, resolving to Xfinity.

Kik provided the FBI with a list of the 337 Groups that "smoohtalker1969" was a member; 244 of these groups were still active on Kik (93 deleted). A majority of these groups were described as "family fun" and "dau" groups.

Some groups specified "all ages", "teen", "16+", "mega" and versions of "young". The group "Daugh kitty or rent" (#daughtforrent) was listed as an active group.

A preliminary review conducted by the FBI of the 1,000 media files included images and videos of minors (both clean and sexually explicit) and obscene material of adults. At least 11 files were observed as consistent with child exploitative material. The media files included images of females' genitalia and videos depicting females exposing their breasts and/or engaged in sexual acts. The following video was also observed:

File Name: 1d6c74b7-d8c5-4b6f-9d27-9e391077d071

Duration: 15 seconds

Description: A video depicting what appears to be an adult female licking the vagina of an infant after rubbing it with her finger.

As part of my review of the investigative report that the FBI provided to me, I was provided with a copy of this video. It is my opinion, based upon my training, education, and experience, that this video file was possessed in violation of PA CS Title 18, Section 6312, Sexual Abuse of Children. The use of an internet application, using either a cellular telephone or other computer device, is a violation of PA CS Title 18, Section 7512, Criminal Use of a Communication Facility.

- 10.) On September 16, 2022, Administrative Subpoena #813274 was served by the FBI to Comcast Communications via Comcast's Legal Response Center online portal. The subpoena requested basic subscriber information for the Comcast account associated to IP address 174.49.159.70 assigned on 2022/09/06 20:10:09 UTC (remotePort: 49637).

Comcast provided confirmation of receipt and assigned ticket number LCR720251 to this request.

On December 1, 2022, Comcast provided the following information:

Subscriber Name: DAVID LAWRENCE

Service Address: 109 N. 2ND ST.

MCSHERRYSTOWN, PA 17344-1420

Billing Address: 109 N. 2ND ST.

MCSHERRYSTOWN, PA 17344-1420

Telephone #: 717-253-0964

Type of Service: Internet

Account Number: 8993116160128928

Start of Service: 02-18-2021

Account Status: Active

IP Assignment: Dynamically Assigned

IP History: See attached

E-mail User Ids: ddavidl07@comcast.net

- 11.) On December 1, 2022, Administrative subpoena #832417 was issued to AT&T via electronic delivery by members of the FBI. The subpoena requested basic subscriber information and call records for telephone 717-253-0964.

On the same day, AT&T provided the following information:

FINANCIAL LIABLE PARTY

Name: DAVID LAWRENCE

Credit Address: 109 N. 2ND ST.
MCSHERRYSTOWN, PA 17344

Customer Since: 11/04/2002

Contact Home Phone: (000) 000-0000

Contact Work Phone: (000) 000-0000

Contact Home Email: DAVIDL07@YAHOO.COM

BILLING PARTY

Account Number: 464007576135

Name: DAVID LAWRENCE

Billing Address: 109 N. 2ND ST.
MCSHERRYSTOWN, PA 17344

Account Status: Active

Billing Cycle: 20

USER INFORMATION

MSISDN: (717) 253-0964

IMSI: 310280038687007

MSISDN Active: 11/07/2002 – Current

Name: DAVID LAWRENCE

User Address: 109 N. 2ND ST.
MCSHERRYSTOWN, PA 17344

Service Start Date: 11/07/2002

Payment Type: Postpaid

Contact Name: DAVID LAWRENCE

Contact Home Email: DAVIDL07@YAHOO.COM

DEVICE INFORMATION

MSISDN: (717) 253-0964

IMSI: 310280038687007 (as of 1/31/2022)

IMEI: 3598864383441510

Device Type: Apple iPhone 13 Mini

IMSI: 310410957846849 (1/1/2022-1/31/2022)

IMEI: 3553180890717546

Device Type: Apple iPhone 7

6. Detective Beyer executed the search warrant on Defendant's residence on November 16, 2023 and seized two Apple cell phones, a laptop and other electronic devices containing numerous images of suspected child pornography.
7. On November 16, 2023, Defendant was arrested and charged with the above criminal offenses.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The search warrant, attachment and affidavit of probable cause did not violate Defendant's rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania as the alleged facts relied on to establish probable cause for the search warrant were not stale, given the nature of the investigation (child exploitation)

and the items sought by Detective Beyer (child pornography and related evidence of child pornography). See **Commonwealth v. Gomolekoff**, 910 A.2d 710 (Pa. Super. 2006); **Commonwealth v. Hoppert**, 39 A.3d 358 (Pa. Super. 2012).

2. The facts Detective Beyer relied upon in support of the search warrant, such facts received from the FBI as a result of an initial search warrant executed by the FBI which referenced one-on-one communications between Defendant and an undercover FBI agent through the “KIK” application, did not violate Defendant’s reasonable expectation of privacy, because Defendant had no reasonable expectation of privacy in private chat-room conversations with an undercover law enforcement officer. See **Commonwealth v. Proetto**, 771 A.2d 823 (Pa. Super. 2001); **Commonwealth v. Diego**, 119 A.3d 370 (Pa. Super. 2015).
3. A common sense and non-technical reading of the search warrant, affidavit and attachment legally authorized Detective Beyer to search and seize evidence related to the possession and/or dissemination of child pornography.

Therefore, Defendant’s Motion for Suppression of Evidence is denied. Accordingly, the attached Order is entered.

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this 6th day of December, 2024, for the reasons set forth in the attached Opinion, Defendant’s Motion for Suppression of Evidence is hereby denied.

ESTATE NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in the estates of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills has granted letters, testamentary of or administration to the persons named. All persons having claims or demands against said estates are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates are requested to make payment without delay to the executors or administrators or their attorneys named below.

FIRST PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF LINDA B. DABLER, DEC'D**

Late of Reading Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Executrix: Regan M. Williams, c/o The Hamme Law Firm, LLC, 1946 Carlisle Road, York, PA 17408
 Attorney: Tessa Marie Myers, Esq., The Hamme Law Firm, LLC, 1946 Carlisle Road, York, PA 17408 717-764-5926

ESTATE OF STEVEN PAUL LITTEN, DEC'D

Late of Straban Township, Adams County, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania
 Executrix: Deborah P. Litten, 14 N. Steeplechase, Gettysburg, PA 17325
 Attorney: John A. Wolfe, Esq., Wolfe, Rice, & Quinn, LLC, 47 West High Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF ROY K. MILLHIMES a/k/a**ROY KENNETH MILLHIMES, DEC'D**

Late of New Oxford Borough, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Executrix: Denise K. Storm, c/o Strausbaugh Law, PLLC, 104 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325
 Attorney: Paul B. Royer, Esq., Strausbaugh Law, PLLC, 104 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF LORA L. PAXTON, DEC'D

Late of Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Personal Representative: Sue Ann Robinson, 310 Country Club Lane, Gettysburg, PA 17325
 Attorney: Teeter Law Office, 108 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF OTTO CHARLES SELLS, DEC'D

Late of Union Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Personal Representative: Kim Maureen Sells, 880 Orchard Lane, P.O. Box 182, Aspers, PA 17304
 Attorney: Teeter Law Office, 108 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF JUNE E. WHITE, DEC'D

Late of Franklin Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Co-executrices: Jan M. Sharrah, 2000 Goldenville Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325; Joyce E. Topper, 2681 Emmitsburg Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325; Jill E. Shaffer, 55 Shaffer Lane, Fairfield, PA 17320
 Attorney: David K. James, III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

SECOND PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF ELMER FRED HUMBERT, DEC'D**

Late of Latimore Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Administratrix: Myra B. Miller, 1259 Mud Run Road, York Springs, PA 17372
 Attorney: Clayton A. Lingg, Esq., Mooney Law, 230 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF CHARLES J. KVECH a/k/a**CHARLES JAMES KVECH, SR., DEC'D**

Late of Union Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Executor: Charles M. Kvech, c/o Elder Law Firm of Robert Clofine, 340 Pine Grove Commons, York, PA 17403
 Attorney: Joy L. Kolodzi, Esq., Elder Law Firm of Robert Clofine, 340 Pine Grove Commons, York, PA 17403

ESTATE OF CHARLOTTE L. LEER, DEC'D

Late of Huntington Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Executrix: Carolyn L. Minich, 785 Humer Street, Enola, PA 17025
 Attorney: David K. James III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF GARY L. LEISTER a/k/a GARY LEE LEISTER, DEC'D

Late of Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Executor: Nicholas L. Leister, c/o Gates & Gates, P.C., 250 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331
 Attorney: Rachel L. Gates, Esq., Gates & Gates, P.C., 250 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF STEVE A. NEIDERER, DEC'D

Late of Conewago Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Executrix: Stephanie L. Neiderer, c/o Gates & Gates, P.C., 250 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331
 Attorney: Rachel L. Gates, Esq., Gates & Gates, P.C., 250 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF BARBARA JANE SIMPSON, DEC'D

Late of Conewago Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Administrator: Cory L. Simpson, 52 Oak Drive, Hanover, PA 17331
 Attorney: Clayton A. Lingg, Esq., Mooney Law, 230 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF RICKY GENE SMITH, DEC'D

Late of Dickinson Township, Cumberland County, Pennsylvania
 Administratrix: April Marie Smith, c/o Martson Law Offices, 10 East High Street, Carlisle, PA 17013
 Attorney: Brandon T. Hughey, Esq., Martson Law Offices, 10 East High Street, Carlisle, PA 17013

ESTATE OF GREGORY E. TOPPER, DEC'D

Late of Aspers, Menallen Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
 Co-executors: Daniel R. Topper, 1065 Zeigler Road, Wellsville, PA 17365; Cheyenne R. Small, 8257 Orchard Road, Thomasville, PA 17364
 Attorney: John A. Wolfe, Esq., Wolfe, Rice, & Quinn, LLC, 47 West High Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

(SECOND PUBLICATION CONTINUED)**ESTATE OF JOHN J. WORMLEY, DEC'D**

Late of Gettysburg, Cumberland
Township, Adams County,
Pennsylvania

Co-executrices: Jolene R. Wolf, 1085

Wolf Road, East Berlin, PA 17316;

Janel L. Wormley, 27 Pioneer Lane,
Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: John A. Wolfe, Esq., Wolfe,

Rice, & Quinn, LLC, 47 West High
Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

THIRD PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF BRIAN D. COLE, DEC'D**

Late of Franklin Township, Adams
County, Pennsylvania

Co-executors: Jennifer Lynne Cole &

Andrew Brian Cole, c/o Halbruner,

Hatch, & Guise, LLP, 3435 Market
Street, Camp Hill, PA 17011

Attorney: Clifton R. Guise, Esq.,

Halbruner, Hatch, & Guise, LLP, 3435
Market Street, Camp Hill, PA 17011

**ESTATE OF HARRY ELSWORTH
FISHER III, DEC'D**

Late of Carroll Valley Borough, Adams
County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Carleigh J. Fisher, c/o Gates &
Gates, P.C., 250 York Street, Hanover,
PA 17331

Attorney: Rachel L. Gates, Esq., Gates &
Gates, P.C., 250 York Street, Hanover,
PA 17331

ESTATE OF EARL W. JOYNER a/k/a**EARL WOODSON JOYNER, DEC'D**

Late of Littlestown Borough, Adams
County, Pennsylvania

Personal Representative: James E.

Joyner, 2802 Michelle Road,

Manchester, MD 21102

Attorney: Teeter Law Office, 108 West

Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF CYNTHIA A. SMALL, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of Fairfield, Adams
County, Pennsylvania

Administratrix: Crystal L. MacBeth, 220

Celebration Hill Road, Biglerville, PA
17307

Attorney: Adam D. Boyer, BARLEY

SNYDER, LLP 123 Baltimore Street,
Suite 101, Gettysburg, PA 17325

