

Adams County Legal Journal

Vol. 64

August 26, 2022

No. 17, pp 17-23

IN THIS ISSUE

SALLY J. CALDWELL VS. ROBERT J. CALDWELL

Invest with Experience.

The right financial partner will help you plan for the future and manage investments with you and your family's best interests first and foremost. No need to look further...you've found the right partner at ACNB Bank.

Securities and Insurance Products are: NOT FDIC INSURED • MAY LOSE VALUE • NOT BANK
GUARANTEED • NOT A DEPOSIT • NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ENTITY



ADAMS COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL (USPS 542-600)

Designated for the Publication of Court and other Legal Notices. Published weekly by Adams County Bar Association,
Edward G. Puhl, Esq., Editor and Business Manager.

Business Office – 117 BALTIMORE STREET, ROOM 305, GETTYSBURG, PA 17325-2313. Telephone: (717) 334-1553

Copyright© 1959 by Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., for Adams County Bar Association, Gettysburg, PA 17325.

All rights reserved.

CHANGE OF NAME NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on July 27, 2022, a petition for name change was filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania requesting a decree to amend foreign state birth certificate of Petitioner Katherine Charlyne Solence to reflect her birth name of Katherine Charlyne Putney. The Court has affixed September 23rd at 10:30 am in courtroom #4, third floor of the Adams County Courthouse as the time and place for the hearing of said petition, when and where all persons interested may appear and show cause, if any they have, why the Petition should not be granted.

8/26

SALLY J. CALDWELL VS. ROBERT J. CALDWELL

1. It is undisputed that Husband's \$31,600 cash payment to Wife was made in error, as Wife already had control of those funds. To remedy his error, Husband filed a Counterclaim requesting the Court to direct Wife to return \$31,600 to Husband within ten days.

2. Two days prior to hearing, Wife raised new matters in her Supplemental Petition for Special Relief. Husband did not have an opportunity to file an Answer, but lodged his objections on the record during the hearing. In addition to the issues surrounding Husband's Counterclaim, Wife presents the following issues for our consideration:

1) Whether the Court has authority to clarify or interpret (retroactively), an equitable distribution scheme provided by the divorce hearing officer, once the time to file exceptions has run and/or after a final decree is entered which incorporates the divorce hearing officer's determination, and if so 2) whether Wife's award of \$754,588.74 of the Southwest Pilot's Saving Plan and Janus account #1730 should include any gains or interest.

3. The Divorce Code makes no provision for a modification of a final decree of equitable distribution, and in fact it is settled law that such a decree is non-modifiable. After the divorce is final, parties lose their right to litigate equitable distribution claims stemming from the marriage.

4. An Order approving an equitable distribution scheme is not equivalent to a judgment. Thus, we are unable to apply statutory interest on unpaid sums pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. §8101.

5. As an enforcement measure and in accordance with the plain language of the Hearing Officer's Report and Recommendation, the Court will order Wife to return the entire cash sum payment of \$31,600 to Husband and direct Husband to comply with the terms of the Hearing Officer's Recommendation. However, Wife will not be required to do so until the Janus IRA funds are rolled over to her and the QDRO for the Southwest Pilot Savings Retirement Plan is executed by both parties, so as to provide Husband an incentive to expeditiously resolve those issues.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ADAMS COUNTY,
PENNSYLVANIA, 2018-S-253, SALLY J. CALDWELL VS.
ROBERT J. CALDWELL

Heather E. Roberts, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff
Katrina M. Luedtke, Esquire, Attorney for Defendant
Simpson, J., May 9, 2022

OPINION

This case comes before the Court on Plaintiff's (Wife's) Petition for Special Relief¹, Defendant's (Husband's) Counterclaim for Reimbursement of Funds Erroneously Paid to Plaintiff by Defendant,

¹ Wife subsequently withdrew her prayer for relief related to the sole issue raised in her first Petition for Special Relief, therefore it will be dismissed as moot.

and Plaintiff's Supplemental Petition for Special Relief and Enforcement. The parties' economic issues were resolved by the Report and Recommendation of the Divorce Hearing Officer dated July 6, 2021. No exceptions were filed. The Report and Recommendation was incorporated but not merged into the Divorce Decree as requested in the Praecipe to Transmit Record. The parties were divorced by Decree dated September 1, 2021. Neither party filed an appeal. For the reasons set forth below, Wife's petitions are denied and Husband's counterclaim is granted in part.

The Hearing Officer's recommended distribution scheme includes the following assets, *inter alia*, to be divided between the parties as indicated:

Southwest Pilots Retirement Savings - valued at \$798,053.
Husband receives \$43,464.26 and Wife receives \$754,588.74.

Charles Schwab Account No. 6523 - valued at \$294,114.
Husband receives \$94,114.12 and Wife receives \$200,000.

USAA Joint Checking account 2923-7 – valued at \$8,000. Wife receives this amount.

Janus Account IRA 1730 – valued at \$16,658. Wife receives this amount.

American Funds Money Market Account 0413 – valued at \$31,600. Wife receives this amount.

Gold/Silver – valued at \$37,686.00. Wife receives \$19,000 and Husband receives \$18,686.

Hearing Officer's Report at 16.

It is undisputed that Husband paid Wife \$256,800 by check on or about November 30, 2021, which he intended to represent payment for the following assets awarded to Wife by the Hearing Officer:

\$200,000 from the Charles Schwab Account 6523

\$8,000 from the USAA account 2923-7

\$19,000 from the gold/silver

\$31,600 from the American Funds Account 0413.

It is undisputed that Husband's \$31,600 cash payment to Wife was made in error, as Wife already had control of those funds. To remedy

his error, Husband filed a Counterclaim requesting the Court to direct Wife to return \$31,600 to Husband within ten days. Wife replied by indicating that Husband has yet to transfer \$16,658 from his Janus IRA to her. To reconcile this situation, Wife proposed that she return \$31,600 less \$16,658 due to her from the Janus IRA. Husband does not agree to this proposal and desires that Wife return \$31,600 cash to Husband and Husband will convey \$16,658 to Wife via a rollover as the Hearing Officer directed.

Two days prior to hearing, Wife raised new matters in her Supplemental Petition for Special Relief. Husband did not have an opportunity to file an Answer, but lodged his objections on the record during the hearing. In addition to the issues surrounding Husband's Counterclaim, Wife presents the following issues for our consideration:

- 1) Whether the Court has the authority to clarify or interpret (retroactively), an equitable distribution scheme provided by the divorce [hearing officer], once the time to file exceptions has run and/or after a final decree is entered which incorporates the divorce [hearing officer]'s determination, and, if so, 2) whether [Wife]'s award of \$754,588.74 of the Southwest Pilot's Saving [sic] Plan and Janus account #1730 should include any gains or interest.

The Janus account is an individual retirement account (IRA). The Southwest Pilots Savings Plan is a defined contribution retirement plan earned by Husband through his employment with Southwest Airlines. The Hearing Officer's distribution scheme sets forth a specific allocation of funds to the parties. It does not expressly provide for adjustment for any investment income, interest, gains or losses prior to the date those funds are segregated/rolled over to Wife. The Hearing Officer directed the parties to equally share in the cost to prepare a QDRO to transfer Wife's share of Husband's Southwest Pilots Savings Plan. The hearing officer's net distribution scheme yielded 57% to Wife and 43% to Husband with specific dollar amounts set aside to each party.² Wife has not yet received her portion of those assets and argues that any investment gains on those amounts should be allocated between the parties in accordance with the distribution scheme, or in the alternative, that she should receive interest on the unpaid funds at the legal rate.

² Rounded to the nearest whole percentage.

DISCUSSION

It is an “unassailable premise” that a major objective of the Divorce Code is to “effectuate economic justice between the parties who are divorced or separated and grant or withhold alimony according to the actual need and ability to pay of the parties and insure a fair and just determination and settlement of their property rights.” **23 Pa. C.S. § 3102(a)(6), *Wagoner v. Wagoner***, 648 A.2d 299 (Pa. 1994). In furtherance of that objective, the Pennsylvania Divorce Code provides that

“[t]he courts shall have original jurisdiction in cases of divorce ... and shall determine, in conjunction with any decree granting a divorce ... the following matters, if raised in the pleadings, and issue appropriate decrees or orders with reference thereto, and may retain continuing jurisdiction thereof:

- (1) The determination and disposition of property rights and interests between spouses....”

23 Pa. C.S. § 3104(a)(1); *Romeo v. Romeo*, 611 A.2d 1325, 1327 (Pa. Super. 1992).

The Divorce Code makes no provision for a modification of a final decree of equitable distribution, and in fact it is settled law that such a decree is non-modifiable. ***Romeo v. Romeo***, 611 A.2d 1325 (Pa. Super. 1992). After the divorce decree is final, parties lose their right to litigate equitable distribution claims stemming from the marriage. **23 Pa. C.S.A. §3503**. Generally, a trial court loses jurisdiction to modify a final decree 30 days after entry of the order or after an appeal has been filed, except in instances where it is alleged that the decree was procured by intrinsic fraud or there is new evidence relating to the cause of action which will sustain the attack upon its validity. See, **42 Pa. C.S.A. § 5505; 23 Pa. C.S.A. §3332; Pa. R.A.P. 1701**.

Under certain circumstances, Pennsylvania permits the trial court to grant special relief in domestic relations cases pursuant to Pa. R.C.P. No. 1920.43(a).³ The granting of such relief is an exercise of

³ Pa. R.C.P. No. 1920.43 provides: (a) At any time after the filing of the complaint, on petition setting forth facts entitling the party to relief, the court may, upon such terms and conditions as it deems just, including the filing of security, (1) issue preliminary or special injunctions necessary to prevent the removal, disposition, alienation or encumbering of real or personal property in accordance with Rule 1531(a), (c), (d) and (e); or (2) order the seizure or attachment of real or personal property; or (3) grant other appropriate relief.

the trial court's equitable powers and filing of such a petition is not limited to the period when the action is pending, because it is "easily conceivable that, after the final disposition of all matters in the divorce action, a party may need the assistance of the court in *enforcing* some portion of its order." **Jawork v. Jawork**, 548 A.2d 290, 292-293, n.6 (Pa. Super. 1988) (emphasis added). Specifically, special relief is permitted when a party is seeking the benefit of the hearing officer's/trial court's plan for equitable distribution or otherwise requesting the trial court to exercise its equitable powers. **Sebastianelli v. Sebastianelli**, 876 A.2d 431, 432-33 (Pa. Super. 2005) (holding husband's "petition for special relief" was really "petition to modify" the hearing officer's decision; husband waived economic claims related to equitable distribution by failing to file exceptions to hearing officer's report and recommendation before court entered final decree in divorce). See also, **Wagoner v. Wagoner**, 538 Pa. 265, 648 A.2d 299 (1994) (holding that where the husband was no longer able to make payments pursuant to equitable distribution order the court should have entertained the husband's petition as one filed under Rule 1920.43(a)); **McMahon v. McMahon**, 706 A.2d 350 (Pa. Super. 1998) (holding that special relief petition was the proper procedure for the wife to seek a trial court order requiring the husband to sign a sales agreement); **Romeo v. Romeo**, 417 Pa. Super. 180, 611 A.2d 1325 (1992) (holding that special relief petition was proper for the wife to seek modification of the sales listing agreements on certain real properties since the properties were not selling).

In this case, Wife is asking the Court to *modify* the Recommendation by determining which party should receive gains or losses (if any) from the Southwest Pilots Retirement Savings Plan and the Janus IRA⁴. The

⁴ In her brief, Plaintiff relies upon the case of **Stark v. Stark**, which is an unpublished memorandum opinion issued by the Superior Court prior to May 21, 2019, which cannot be relied upon by this Court in this case. Furthermore, counsel failed to provide a copy of that Opinion to the Court as required:

"An unpublished memorandum decision filed prior to May 2, 2019, shall not be relied upon or cited by a Court or a party in any other action or proceeding, except that such a memorandum decision may be relied upon or cited (1) when it is relevant under the doctrine of law of the case, res judicata, or collateral estoppel, and (2) when the memorandum is relevant to a criminal action or proceeding because it recites issues raised and reasons for a decision affecting the same defendant in a prior action or proceeding. When an unpublished memorandum filed prior to May 2, 2019, is relied upon pursuant to this rule, a copy of the memorandum must be furnished to the other party and to the Court." **Pa.Super.Ct.R. 65.37 (B)**.

Hearing Officer concretely valued the plans at the time of the hearing and set specific values for each party to receive. The Hearing Officer did not include provisions for allocation of gains or losses of either account. The Recommendation directed the parties to equally share in the cost for the preparation of the QDRO for the Southwest Pilots Retirement Savings Plan. Wife's request is tantamount to an untimely request for modification of the decree. Wife did not raise her issues by filing timely exceptions or an appeal, therefore they are waived. A petition for special relief filed after a divorce decree has been entered is a vehicle for enforcement of the court's order of equitable distribution; it does not serve as a tool to modify the final equitable distribution in a divorce decree. See *Sebastianelli, supra*. Furthermore, as there is no basis to open or vacate the Divorce Decree under 23 Pa. C.S.A. §3332, we are constrained to deny Wife's request.

We next turn to Wife's argument that she is entitled to statutory interest on the yet-to-be-paid sums in the Janus IRA and the Southwest Pilots Retirement Savings. The Court has entered an Order approving the equitable distribution scheme recommended by the Hearing Officer. An Order approving an equitable distribution scheme is not equivalent to a judgment. Thus, we are unable to apply statutory interest on unpaid sums pursuant to 42 Pa. C.S.A. §8101. *Raines v. Raines*, 149 A.3d 275 (Pa. Super. 2016).

As an enforcement measure and in accordance with the plain language of the Hearing Officer's Report and Recommendation, the Court will order Wife to return the entire cash sum payment of \$31,600 to Husband and direct Husband to comply with the terms of the Hearing Officer's Recommendation. However, Wife will not be required to do so until the Janus IRA funds are rolled over to her and the QDRO for the Southwest Pilot Savings Retirement Plan is executed by both parties, so as to provide Husband an incentive to expeditiously resolve those issues.

An Order is entered accordingly.

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this 9th day of May, 2022, in accordance with the attached Opinion, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's initial Petition for Special Relief is dismissed as moot.

2. Plaintiff's Supplemental Petition for Special Relief is dismissed.
3. Defendant's Counterclaim is granted in part.
4. In accordance with the Recommendation of the Divorce Hearing Officer, Husband and Wife shall effectuate a rollover of the Janus Account IRA No. 1730 in the amount of \$16,658.00 to Wife's qualified account and execute a QDRO to effectuate the transfer of \$754,588.00 to Wife from Husband's Southwest Pilots Retirement Savings Account. Once those two tasks are accomplished, Wife shall pay \$31,600 to Husband within ten days to reconcile his erroneous payment for the American Funds account.

SHERIFF SALES

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale online auction conducted by Bid4Assets, 8757 Georgia, Ave., Suite 520, Silver Spring, MD 20910. On September 16th, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.

No. 22-SU-161

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association

vs.

Robert A. Bergey, Jr. and Peggy M. Bergey

Property Address: 65 P And Q Road, Biglerville, PA 17307

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
29E07-0079---000

Owner(s) of property situate in Menallen Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements Thereon:

A Residential Dwelling
Judgment Amount: \$72,021.45
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Kristen D. Little, Esq.
LOGS Legal Group LLP

No. 22-SU-219

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

vs.

Dustin D. Boyer

Property Address: 5426 Carlisle Pike, New Oxford, PA 17350

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
36001-0009-000

Owner(s) of property situate in Reading Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements Thereon:

Residential Dwelling
Judgment amount \$164,049.54
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Manley Deas Kochalski LLC
P.O. Box 165028
Columbus, OH 43216-5028
614-220-5611

No. 22-SU-181

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, not in its individual capacity but solely as Owner Trustee of the Aspen Holding Trust, A Delaware Statutory Trust

vs.

Amelia Contreras and Jesus Contreras
Property Address: 17 Pine Lane, New Oxford, PA 17350

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
35008-0120-000

Owner(s) of property situate in Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements Thereon:

Residential Dwelling
Judgement Amount: \$55,512.36
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Stern & Eisenberg PC

No. 22-SU-143

HMC Assets, solely in its capacity as Separate Trustee of Cam XI Trust

vs.

Lesa M. Ferris a/k/a Lesa M. Cavicchio

Property Address: 430 Onyx Road, New Oxford, PA 17350

UPI/Tax Parcel Number: J12-238---000
Owner(s) of property situate in Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements Thereon:

Residential Dwelling
Judgment: \$435,624.78
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Pincus Law Group, PLLC
Kia N. House, Esq. (321503)
2929 Arch Street, Suite 1700
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Telephone: 484-575-2201

No. 22-SU-177

Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency

vs.

Samuel Adam Hand

Property Address: 308 East York Street, Biglerville, PA 17307

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
05004-0005---000

Owner(s) of property situate in Biglerville Borough, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements Thereon:

Residential Dwelling
Judgment Amount: \$240,894.89
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Leon P. Haller, PA I.D. #15700

No. 22-SU-75

Amerihome Mortgage Company, LLC

vs.

Benjamin P. Jones

Property Address: 87 Chapel Road Extended, Gettysburg, PA 17325

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
09E17-0066G-000

Owner(s) of property situate in Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements thereon consist of

Residential Real Estate
Judgment Amount: \$179,370.77
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Stern & Eisenberg, PC
1581 Main Street, Suite 200
The Shops at Valley Square
Warrington, PA 18976

No. 22-SU-180

Reverse Mortgage Funding LLC

vs.

Mycala S. Kaczorowski

Property Address: 1091 Ridge Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
09F16-0056---000

Owner(s) of property situate in Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements Thereon:

A Residential Dwelling
Judgment Amount: \$248,714.77
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Samantha Gable, Esq.
LOGS Legal Group LLP

No. 22-SU-78

Bank Of America, N.A.

vs.

Gerhard Noerr and Janet V. Noerr

Property Address: 404 Prince Street, Littlestown, PA 17340

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
27005-0030---000

Owner(s) of property situate in Littlestown Borough, Adams County, Pennsylvania Improvements Thereon:

Residential Dwelling
Judgment Amount: \$88,296.86
Attorneys for Plaintiff
LOGS Legal Group LLP
Christopher A. DeNardo

NOTICE directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than (30) thirty days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within (10) ten days thereafter.

Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER, SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

James W. Muller
Sheriff of Adams County
www.adamscounty.us

8/19, 8/26, & 9/2

SHERIFF SALES

IN PURSUANCE of writs of execution issuing out of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania, and to me directed, will be exposed to Public Sale online auction conducted by Bid4Assets, 8757 Georgia, Ave., Suite 520, Silver Spring, MD 20910. On September 16th, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.

No. 19-SU-905
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
vs.

Elizabeth Roden, as Believed Heir and/or Administrator of The Estate of James Gilbert Roden, a/k/a James Roden, Unknown Heirs and/or Administrators to The Estate of James Gilbert Roden, a/k/a James Roden
Property Address: 56 Kinneman Road, Abbottstown, PA 17301
UPI/Tax Parcel Number:

01005-0034-000, 01005-0034A-000
Owner(s) of property situate in the Borough of Abbottstown, Adams County, Pennsylvania
Improvements Thereon:
Residential Dwelling
Judgment Amount \$168,351.40
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Manley Deas Kochalski LLC
P.O. Box 165028
Columbus, OH 43216-5028
614-220-5611

No. 22-SU-74
Northpointe Bank
vs.

Jason Smith
Property Address: 900 Boyds School Road, Gettysburg, Pa 17325
UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
09F11-0261-000

Owner(s) of property situate in Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
Improvements Thereon:
Residential Dwelling.
Judgment Amount: \$470,469.96
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
The Offices of Gregory Javardian, LLC

No. 19-SU-1005
The Bank of New York Mellon f/k/a The Bank of New York, As Trustee for The Certificate holders of The Cwabs Inc., Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2005-16
vs.

Kimberly Wolfgang, Known Heir and Administratrix of The Estate of Thomas E. Wolfgang a/k/a Thomas Eugene Wolfgang, Deceased, Sarah K. Wolfgang a/k/a Sarah Kay Wolfgang, Known Heir of Thomas E. Wolfgang a/k/a Thomas Eugene Wolfgang, Deceased
Property Address: 330 McSherry Woods Drive, Littlestown, PA 17340

UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
27011-0124---000
Owner(s) of property situate in Littlestown Borough, Adams County, Pennsylvania
Improvements Thereon:
Residential Dwelling
Judgment: \$173,816.88
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Pincus Law Group, PLLC
Michael R. Lipinski, Esq. (323806)
2929 Arch Street, Suite 1700
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Telephone: 484-575-2201

No. 21-SU-1133
Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, Not Individually but Solely as Trustee for Finance of America Structured Securities Acquisition Trust 2018-HB1
vs.

Joel Zimmerman, Known Heir of Virgil Love, Deceased, Unknown Heirs, Successors, Assigns and All Persons, Firms or Associations Claiming Right, Title or Interest from Or Under Virgil Love, Deceased
Property Address: 1053 Highland Avenue Road, Gettysburg, PA 17325
UPI/Tax Parcel Number:
38G13-0083-000
Owner(s) of property situate in Straban Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania
Improvements Thereon:
A Residential Dwelling
Judgment Amount: \$235,353.46
Attorneys for Plaintiff:
Christopher A. DeNardo
Logs Legal Group LLP

NOTICE directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a schedule of distribution will be filed by the Sheriff in his office no later than (30) thirty days after the date of sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within (10) ten days thereafter.

Purchaser must settle for property on or before filing date. ALL claims to property must be filed with Sheriff before sale date.

AS SOON AS THE PROPERTY IS DECLARED SOLD TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER 20% OF THE PURCHASE PRICE OR ALL OF THE COST, WHICHEVER MAY BE THE HIGHER, SHALL BE PAID FORTHWITH TO THE SHERIFF.

James W. Muller
Sheriff of Adams County
www.adamscounty.us

8/19, 8/26, & 9/2

ESTATE NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in the estates of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills has granted letters, testamentary of or administration to the persons named. All persons having claims or demands against said estates are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates are requested to make payment without delay to the executors or administrators or their attorneys named below.

FIRST PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF ETHELLA D. BALLARD, DEC'D**

Late of Berwick Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Denese C. Fritz, 10 S. Center Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF JUNE I. JAMES, DEC'D

Late of Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Cherry L. Cleary, c/o Ruth Crabbs Gunnell, Esq., Crabbs & Crabbs, 202 Broadway, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Ruth Crabbs Gunnell, Esq., Crabbs & Crabbs, 202 Broadway, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF MICHELE M. PANNELL, DEC'D

Late of Conewago Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Dallas W. Pannell, c/o Scott J. Strausbaugh, Esq., Strausbaugh Law, PLLC, 1201 West Elm Avenue, Suite #2, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Scott J. Strausbaugh, Esq., Strausbaugh Law, PLLC, 1201 West Elm Avenue, Suite #2, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF DOROTHY BERNICE SMALE, DEC'D

Late of Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Sue Ann Tanon, 363 Buford Avenue, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: Adam D. Boyer, Esq., Barley Snyder, Suite 101, 123 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

SECOND PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF EMMA M. BAIR, DEC'D**

Late of Conewago Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Danielle E. Kale, 6310 Lauren Lane, Spring Grove, PA 17362

ESTATE OF HOWARD G. GUISE, DEC'D

Late of Straban Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Mark L. Guise, 118 Heritage Drive, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: Bernard A. Yannetti, Esq., Hartman & Yannetti, Inc. Law Office, 126 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF DONALD E. KIMPLE, DEC'D

Late of Franklin Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Kyle Kimple Kahn, c/o Barbara Entwistle, Esq., Entwistle & Roberts, PC, 37 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: Barbara Entwistle, Esq., Entwistle & Roberts, PC, 37 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF KENNETH C. MARTIN, DEC'D

Late of Highland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Administratrix: Victoria Martin-Knepper, 2156 New Franklin Road, Chambersburg, PA 17202

Attorney: Clayton A. Lingg, Esq., Mooney Law, 230 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF QUIN MIKAEL SORENSON, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Lana J. Emery, 291 Sanders Road, Fairfield, PA 17320; Walter M. Barlow, 291 Sanders Road, Fairfield, PA 17320

Attorney: David K. James, III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF RAYMOND E. STEFAN, JR., a/k/a RAYMOND EDWARD STEFAN, JR., DEC'D

Late of Franklin Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Michael Stefan, 96 Collier Run Road, P.O. Box 427, Friendsville, MD 21531

Attorney: John A. Wolfe, Esq., Wolfe, Rice & Quinn, LLC, 47 West High Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

THIRD PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF WILLIAM G. ADAMS, DEC'D**

Late of the Borough of McSherrystown, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executors: Linda Marie Brown, 314 Hollywood Avenue, New Oxford, PA 17350; William T. Adams, 11 Panther Drive, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Crabbs & Crabbs, 202 Broadway, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF RHODA E. GROVE, DEC'D

Late of Union Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Everett R. Grove, 404 Hostetter Road, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Matthew L. Guthrie, Esq., Barley Snyder LLP, 14 Center Square, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF JOSEPH E. HARMAN, DEC'D

Late of Tyrone Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Judy A. Harman, 205 Lobaugh Road, Aspers, PA 17304

Attorney: Matthew L. Guthrie, Esq., Barley Snyder LLP, 14 Center Square, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF STANLEY ALLEN JONES, DEC'D

Late of Hamiltonban Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Administrator: Doris Ann Jones, 75 Mountain Lane, Fairfield, PA 17320

Attorney: Matthew R. Battersby, Esq., Battersby Law Office, P.O. Box 215, Fairfield, PA 17320

ESTATE OF LINDA G. STARRY, DEC'D

Late of Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Dorothy M. Johnson, 278 Longstreet Drive, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: David K. James, III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325



What are your clients' favorite things?

Chances are, your clients care deeply about certain organizations and causes. Help them bring their dreams to fruition with free philanthropic planning tools and ongoing support from the Adams County Community Foundation.

- Expertise in all areas of gift planning
- Free, confidential consultations
- Respect for your client relationships
- Facilitation of charitable giving in Adams County and beyond

To find out more, contact Ralph M. Serpe:
717-337-0060 / rserpe@adamscountycf.org



ADAMS COUNTY
COMMUNITY FOUNDATION

25 South 4th Street
Gettysburg, PA 17325
www.adamscountycf.org



Good for your clients. Good for the community. Good for you.