

Adams County Legal Journal

Vol. 60

January 18, 2019

No. 37, pp. 115-120

IN THIS ISSUE

EDITH VOELK, BY AND THROUGH HER ATTORNEY-IN-FACT ERICA HIRSCH VS. SPIRITRUST LUTHERAN f/k/a LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES; SPIRITRUST LUTHERAN, THE VILLAGE AT GETTYSBURG; LOWER SUSQUEHANNA SYNOD OF THE EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA; EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA; FLAGSHIP REHABILITATION; LLS, LLC; LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES OF SOUTH CENTRAL PA AND LUTHERAN SERVICES IN AMERICAN, INC.

Invest with Experience.

The right financial partner will help you plan for the future and manage investments with you and your family's best interests first and foremost. No need to look further...you've found the right partner at ACNB Bank.

Securities and Insurance Products are: NOT FDIC INSURED - MAY LOSE VALUE - NOT BANK GUARANTEED - NOT A DEPOSIT - NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ENTITY



ADAMS COUNTY LEGAL JOURNAL (USPS 542-600)

Designated for the Publication of Court and other Legal Notices. Published weekly by Adams County Bar Association, Edward G. Puhl, Esq., Editor and Business Manager.

Business Office – 117 BALTIMORE STREET, ROOM 305, GETTYSBURG, PA 17325-2313. Telephone: (717) 334-1553

Copyright© 1959 by Wm. W. Gaunt & Sons, Inc., for Adams County Bar Association, Gettysburg, PA 17325.

All rights reserved.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS,
ADAMS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION
RT-16-2018 (A)

TO: UNKNOWN FATHER

NOTICE

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a Petition for Involuntary Termination of Parental Rights to Child has been filed in the Orphans' Court Division of the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania. A hearing has been set for February 27, 2019 at 11:00 a.m. in the Adams County Human Services Building Courtroom, 525 Boyds School Road, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of determining whether or not statutory grounds exist for the involuntary termination of your parental rights with respect to the child born on September 6, 2017.

You should contact your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator
Adams County Courthouse
111-117 Baltimore Street
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325
Telephone number: 717-337-9846

Melissa Tanguay Laney, Esq.,
Solicitor
Adams County Children
and Youth Services

1/18, 1/25 & 2/1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
OF ADAMS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
18-S-743

TO: GUILLERMO PEREZ HERNANDEZ

NOTICE

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a complaint for custody has been filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Adams County, Pennsylvania. A hearing has been set for February 19, 2019, at 1:00 p.m. prevailing time at the Adams County Courthouse, Courtroom #3, 111-117 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, Adams County, Pennsylvania, for the purpose of determining custody of the child A.P.

You should contact your lawyer at once. If you do not have a lawyer or cannot afford one, go to or telephone the office set forth below to find out where you can get legal help.

Court Administrator
Adams County Courthouse
111-117 Baltimore Street
Gettysburg, PA 17325
Telephone number: 717-337-9846

Archie V. Diveglia, Attorney at Law
Two Lincoln Way West
New Oxford, PA 17350

1/18

FICTITIOUS NAME NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, effective August 26, 2018, Smart 4 Paws, Inc., 101 S. Ridge Road, York Springs, Adams County, Pennsylvania registered the name "FIND TOBY IN PA" by filing a Fictitious Name Registration under the Fictitious Names Act, 54 Pa.C.S. § 311(g) with the Pennsylvania Department of State in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, for the conduct of business at its place of business situated at 101 S. Ridge Road, York Springs, Adams County, Pennsylvania.

1/18

EDITH VOELK, BY AND THROUGH HER ATTORNEY-IN-FACT ERICA HIRSCH VS. SPIRITRUST LUTHERAN F/K/A LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES; SPIRITRUST LUTHERAN, THE VILLAGE AT GETTYSBURG; LOWER SUSQUEHANNA SYNOD OF THE EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA; EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA; FLAGSHIP REHABILITATION; LLS, LLC; LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES OF SOUTH CENTRAL PA AND LUTHERAN SERVICES IN AMERICAN, INC.

1. In determining the propriety of a particular pleading, the court has broad discretion as the standard of pleadings set forth in the procedural rules is incapable of precise measurement and depends largely on the circumstances of each particular case. A review of the instant Complaint reveals Defendants' Preliminary Objections are well placed. After careful review, it is clear the issues in dispute are neither clearly defined nor concisely frame the issues before the Court.

2. The overly broad nature of the allegations in the Complaint further aggravate the inability to define the scope and parameters of this litigation. For instance, among the prolix allegations is a claim that Defendants breached their duty to Voelk by failing to "assist the residents in retaining and exercising all of the Constitutional, civil, and legal rights to which they are entitled as citizens of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania." Essentially, by this claim, Voelk seeks to retain every possible legal and factual claim imaginable without limitation.

3. Defendants' objections to references in the Complaint to alleged negligent acts committed by the Defendants against non-parties also have merit. Allegations in the Complaint related to the Defendants' responsibility to nonparties in this litigation are scandalous and impertinent except as they relate to notice to the Defendants concerning deficiencies in their care of Voelk.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ADAMS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, 18-S-611 EDITH VOELK, BY AND THROUGH HER ATTORNEY-IN-FACT ERICA HIRSCH VS. SPIRITRUST LUTHERAN F/K/A LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES; SPIRITRUST LUTHERAN, THE VILLAGE AT GETTYSBURG; LOWER SUSQUEHANNA SYNOD OF THE EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA; EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA; FLAGSHIP REHABILITATION; LLS, LLC; LUTHERAN SOCIAL SERVICES OF SOUTH CENTRAL PA AND LUTHERAN SERVICES IN AMERICAN, INC.

Andrei Govorov, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff
Steven D. Costello, Esq. and Amy L. Blackmore, Esq., Attorneys
for Defendants Spiritrust Lutheran; LLS, LLC and Lutheran
Social Services
Christopher M. Tretta, Esq. and David B. Forbes, Esq., Attorneys
for Defendant Evangelical Lutheran
Robert E. Dillon, Esq. and Holly L. Kendorski, Esq., Attorneys
for Defendant Flagship Rehabilitation
Brian H. Leinhauser, Esq., Attorney for Defendant Lower
Susquehanna
Jennifer M. Brooks, Esq., Attorney for Defendant Lutheran
Services in America
George, P. J., January 4, 2019

OPINION

This litigation was initiated by Edith Voelk (“Voelk”) through her attorney-in-fact, Erica Hirsch, against numerous Defendants alleged to be associated with Spiritrust Lutheran, The Village at Gettysburg. Generally speaking, the Complaint seeks damages related to an alleged lack of care for Voelk by the facility. Several of the Defendants have filed multiple Preliminary Objections each of which will be addressed below seriatim.¹

The initial preliminary objection challenges the capacity of Erica Hirsch to bring suit on behalf of Voelk. This preliminary objection will be summarily dismissed as, at this stage of the proceedings, allegation in the Complaint that Erica Hirsch was appointed by Voelk as her attorney-in-fact on October 23, 2014 is sufficient to survive the preliminary objection. Failure to attach the written authorization to the Complaint is immaterial as the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure do not contain such a requirement. Absent violation of the procedural rules, at this stage of the proceedings, this Court must consider and accept as true all material facts pled in the Complaint. *Youndt v. First Nat’l. Bank*, 868 A.2d 539, 542 (Pa. Super. 2005).

¹ Spiritrust Lutheran f/k/a Lutheran Social Services; Spiritrust Lutheran, The Village at Gettysburg; Lower Susquehanna Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America; LLS, LLC; and Lutheran Social Services of South Central PA have filed numerous Preliminary Objections in which Evangelical Lutheran Church in America has joined. In addition, Evangelical Lutheran Church in America demurs to the Complaint claiming it is not a proper party to the action.

The next several Preliminary Objections filed by the Defendants generally relate to the Complaint's lack of specificity. Defendants claim the Complaint merges several causes of action into a separate count making it impossible to identify the precise nature of the claim. They further suggest the Complaint's language is so broad they are unable to meaningfully identify the issues which they must defend.

The primary purpose of pleading is to formulate the issues in dispute and provide the defendant notice of the grounds upon which the litigation rests. *Unified Sportsmen of Pa. v. Pa. Game Comm'n.*, 950 A.2d 1120 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2008). This concept recognizes all parties to an action are entitled to know the issues before the court and the nature and extent of all claims so they may be met by proper evidence. *Weiss v. Equibank*, 460 A.2d 271 (1983). A pleading is sufficiently specific if it provides enough facts to enable an opposing party to frame an answer and prepare a defense. *Banfield v. Cortes*, 922 A.2d 36 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2007). This purpose would be thwarted if courts, rather than the parties, were burdened with the responsibility of deciphering causes of action from a pleading of facts, which obscurely support the claim being raised. *Krajsa v. Keypunch, Inc.*, 622 A.2d 355 (Pa. Super. 1993). In furtherance of a clear and concise pleading, the Rules of Civil Procedure direct each cause of action to be: (1) stated in a separate count containing a demand for relief, Pa. R. Civ. P. 1020; and (2) divided into paragraphs containing as far as practical only one material allegation, Pa. R. Civ. P. 1022. Additionally, the complaint must be tailored so as to give a defendant clear and exact information as to what is claimed of him or her. *Id.* A complaint which is concise is one which expresses in reasonably comprehensive and brief terms the facts upon which the cause of action is based. *Pike Cty. Hotels Corp. v. Kiefer*, 396 A.2d 677 (Pa. Super. 1978). In determining the propriety of a particular pleading, the court has broad discretion as the standard of pleadings set forth in the procedural rules is incapable of precise measurement and depends largely on the circumstances of each particular case. *Jackson v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Authority*, 566 A.2d 638 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1989).

A review of the instant Complaint reveals Defendants' Preliminary Objections are well placed. After careful review, it is clear the issues in dispute are neither clearly defined nor concisely frame the issues

before the Court. For instance, Count I appears to advance several legal theories against multiple Defendants in a single count. It is difficult to determine whether Voelk is raising negligence, professional negligence, corporate negligence, or some other theory. Although the merger of allegations supporting such a host of theories might not be problematic in relation to claims against a single Defendant, instantly, the multiplicity of Defendants makes it impossible to identify which acts by which Defendant define the contours of the legal theory raised against them.

The overly broad nature of the allegations in the Complaint further aggravate the inability to define the scope and parameters of this litigation. For instance, among the prolix allegations is a claim that Defendants breached their duty to Voelk by failing to “assist the residents in retaining and exercising all of the Constitutional, civil and legal rights to which they are entitled as citizens of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.” Complaint, paragraph 118 n. Essentially, by this claim, Voelk seeks to retain every possible legal and factual claim imaginable without limitation. Such a pleading impermissibly subjects the Defendants to defending limitless, undefined causes of action in violation of the rules of pleading. As the example given is not an isolated allegation but rather only a sample of the general tone of the Complaint, the entire Complaint will be stricken although Voelk will be given opportunity to file an amended pleading.²

As the remaining Preliminary Objections are moot, they will not be extensively discussed in this Opinion. The Court offers the following, however, in order to avoid dispute in future pleadings. The preliminary objection challenging the lack of specificity of Voelk’s claim raising vicarious liability is well placed. Voelk should identify, in separate counts, the person or persons for whom each of the separate Defendants is vicariously liable. While identification by name is not necessary, the Complaint, at a minimum, should identify their

² The Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure are relatively clear in identifying the general rules of pleading. Voelk is cautioned that should this Court find merit in future Preliminary Objections to the Amended Complaint addressing similarly the same issues, a second right to amendment may not be granted. Additionally, all parties are directed to Pa. R. Civ. P. 1023.1 which requires that the claims in a complaint be warranted by existing law and have factual support or are likely to have factual support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery.

role as it relates to a specific claim of negligence and the theory upon which each separate Defendant may be responsible for their conduct. Claiming that all the Defendants are responsible for any negligence of all the Defendants' employees is simply too broad of a claim for the several Defendants to defend.

The Defendants' demurrer to what they perceive to be a cause of action against them for negligent failure to maintain accurate medical documents is complicated by the confusing nature of the Complaint. The current pleading makes it impossible to determine whether Voelk is pursuing an alleged failure to document by employees of the several Defendants as a separate cause of action or, in the alternative, as an allegation in support of punitive damages. Pennsylvania law is clear that the failure to document medical procedures is not recognized as a separate cause of action. *Kemper Nat'l. P & C Cos. v. Smith*, 615 A.2d 372, 380 (Pa. Super. 1992). Although the failure to properly document may be relevant in consideration of punitive damages, the requirement of clarity and conciseness is best advanced by raising the allegation in a precise and nonduplicative manner. This aspect of the Complaint should be clarified in future pleadings.

Defendants' objections to references in the Complaint to alleged negligent acts committed by the Defendants against non-parties also have merit. Allegations in the Complaint related to the Defendants' responsibility to nonparties to this litigation are scandalous and impertinent except as they relate to notice to the Defendants concerning deficiencies in their care of Voelk. As such, claims in the Complaint that Defendants are negligent for failing to "formulate, adopt, and enforce rules, procedures and policies to ensure quality care and healthcare *for all residents...*", Complaint paragraph 118 g. (emphasis added), are improper. As further example, it is difficult to comprehend how the "failure to ensure a surety bond to assure the security of all personal funds of a resident" nonrelated to this litigation has any materiality to the current claim. Unfortunately, the Complaint contains over two pages of similar allegations. These allegations will be stricken in future pleadings unless they relate to conduct similar to the conduct of which Voelk currently complains caused her harm *and* which have relevance to prior notice of the dangerous condition on part of the Defendants. In this regard, it is

noted that allegations relevant to the Defendants' knowledge concerning alleged inadequacies of their service delivery are not required to be specifically pled. See Pa. R. Civ. P. 1019 (knowledge and other conditions of mind may be averred generally). The current voluminous litany of trial evidence in the Complaint detracts from the ability of the parties and the Court to concisely understand the issues raised.

ORDER OF COURT (18-S-610)

AND NOW, this 4th day of January, 2019, Defendants' Preliminary Objections are sustained. The Complaint in this matter is stricken in its entirety. Plaintiff is granted twenty (20) days from the date of this Order within which to file an Amended Complaint in compliance with the Opinion entered by this Court concurrently herewith in 18-S-611 Edith Voelk, by and through her Attorney-in-Fact, Erica Hirsch, Plaintiff, v. Spiritrust Lutheran, et al, Defendants.

ORDER OF COURT (18-S-611)

AND NOW, this 4th day of January, 2019, Defendants' Preliminary Objections are sustained. The Complaint in this matter is stricken in its entirety. Plaintiff is granted twenty (20) days from the date of this Order within which to file an Amended Complaint in compliance with the attached Opinion.

ESTATE NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in the estates of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills has granted letters, testamentary or of administration to the persons named. All persons having claims or demands against said estates are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates are requested to make payment without delay to the executors or administrators or their attorneys named below.

FIRST PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF KENNETH R. BEARD, SR., DEC'D**

Late of Liberty Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Amanda Beard-White, 758 Baltimore Street, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Clayton A. Lingg, Esq., Mooney & Associates, 230 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF NADINE A. DEVINE, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of Littlestown, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Co-Executors: Valerie A. Devine, a/k/a Valerie A. Bideganeta and Fred E. Kilgore, 3484 Stone Ridge Road, York, PA 17402

ESTATE OF AUDREY S. ESHLEMAN, DEC'D

Late of Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Personal Representative: Linda K. Hovis, 312 Geiser Avenue, Waynesboro, PA 17268

Attorney: William S. Dick, Esq., Dick, Stein, Schemel, Wine & Frey, LLP, 13 W. Main Street, Suite 210, Waynesboro, PA 17268

ESTATE OF BEVERLY A. FOGLE, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of East Berlin, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Susan F. Few, 12714 Simpson Mill Road, Keymar, MD 21757

Attorney: David K. James, III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF PAULA P. HERRING a/k/a PAULA ELAINE HERRING, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of McSherrystown, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Chad A. Herring, 430 Ridge Avenue, McSherrystown, PA 17344

Attorney: Elinor Albright Rebert, Esq., 515 Carlisle Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF DORIS JEAN HOWE, DEC'D

Late of Franklin Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Kimberley M. Frank, 4615 Coventry Road, Harrisburg, PA 17109

ESTATE OF YVONNE KEENEY, DEC'D

Late of Conewago Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Brenda Hetrick, c/o Scott J. Strausbaugh, Esq., Strausbaugh Law, PLLC, 1201 West Elm Avenue, Suite #2, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Scott J. Strausbaugh, Esq., Strausbaugh Law, PLLC, 1201 West Elm Avenue, Suite #2, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF ELEANOR B. SHEEN, a/k/a ELEANOR L. SHEEN, DEC'D

Late of Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Geoffrey W. Sheen, 819 Old Stevens Creek Road, Martinez, GA 30907

ESTATE OF JOY L. SHEPARDSON a/k/a JOY LAVON SHEPARDSON, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of Bonneauville, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Karen P. Bowers, 7 North Pine Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: Thomas E. Miller, Esq., Law Office of Thomas E. Miller, Esquire, LLC, 249 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF KAROLINE SHIPE, DEC'D

Late of Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Richard B. Shipe, c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545

Attorney: Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545

ESTATE OF MILDRED L. TRIMMER, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of East Berlin, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Sandra L. Williams, c/o Sharon E. Myers, Esq., CGA Law Firm, PC, P.O. Box 606, East Berlin, PA 17316

Attorney: Sharon E. Myers, Esq., CGA Law Firm, PC, P.O. Box 606, East Berlin, PA 17316

ESTATE OF DOROTHY M. WILLIAMS, DEC'D

Late of Huntington Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Co-Executors: Ricky Williams and Deborah A. Myers, c/o Sharon E. Myers, Esq., CGA Law Firm, PC, P.O. Box 606, East Berlin, PA 17316

Attorney: Sharon E. Myers, Esq., CGA Law Firm, PC, P.O. Box 606, East Berlin, PA 17316

SECOND PUBLICATION**ESTATE OF MARGARET A. BECKER, DEC'D**

Late of Cumberland Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Anna M. Stump, 355 Centennial Avenue, Hanover, PA 17331

Attorney: Diveglia and Kaylor, P.C., 2 Lincoln Way West, New Oxford, PA 17350

ESTATE OF HARRIET B. BOLLER, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of Littlestown, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Michael P. Boller, 69 Country Drive, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: Robert E. Campbell, Esq., Salzmann Hughes, P.C., 112 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF ELLIS L. DIVINEY, DEC'D

Late of Hamilton Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executors: Mitchell O. Diviney, 219 Carlisle Street, New Oxford, PA 17350; Ann E. Diviney, 576 700 Road, New Oxford, PA 17350

Attorney: Larry W. Wolf, P.C., 215 Broadway, Hanover PA 17331

ESTATE OF CAROLYN B. NEELY, DEC'D

Late of Hamilton Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executors: Elizabeth J. Gibney, 4822 Brian Road, Mechanicsburg, PA 17050; Robert H. Neely, 2304 Tredington Court, Edmond, OK 73034

Attorney: Teeter Law Office, 108 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF CARMEN R. RAUM, DEC'D

Late of Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Wayne H. Raum, 4 Violet Trail, Fairfield, PA 17320

Attorney: David K. James, III, Esq., 234 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

JAMES PATRICK SCHUBACK, DEC'D

Late of Mount Joy Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: M. Schuback, P.O. Box 3502, Gettysburg, PA 17325

SECOND PUBLICATION CONTINUED

ESTATE OF GREGORY E. SMITH, DEC'D

Late of Reading Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Co-Executrix: Tessa Smith, 102 State Street, York Springs, PA 17372; Trisha K. Lane, 3027 Milky Way, Dover, PA 17315

Attorney: John A. Wolfe, Esq., Wolfe, Rice & Quinn, LLC, 47 West High Street Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF MARJORIE H. STEINBERGER, DEC'D

Late of Hamiltonban Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Co-Executor: Robert A. Hoyt, Jr., 2379 Mt. Hope Road, Fairfield, PA 17320

Attorney: Robert E. Campbell, Esq., Salzmann Hughes, P.C., 112 Baltimore Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF DURLAND K. WEBB, DEC'D

Late of Latimore Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Karen Webb, 1121 Latimore Creek Road, York Springs, PA 17372

Attorney: Kari E. Mellinger, Esq., R.J. Marzella & Associates, 3513 North Front Street, Harrisburg, PA 17110

THIRD PUBLICATION

ESTATE OF MARY E. BAUMGARDNER, a/k/a, MARY ELLEN BAUMGARDNER, DEC'D

Late of Conewago Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Daniel Burns, c/o John D. Miller, Jr., Esq., MPL Law Firm, LLP, 137 East Philadelphia Street, York, PA 17401-2424

Attorney: John D. Miller, Jr., Esq., MPL Law Firm, LLP, 137 East Philadelphia Street, York, PA 17401-2424

ESTATE OF PRINCE A. HENLON, a/k/a PRINCE A. HELON, JR., DEC'D

Late of the Borough of Gettysburg, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: Xavier O. Henlon, c/o Barbara Entwistle, Esq., Entwistle & Roberts, 37 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

Attorney: Barbara Entwistle, Esq., Entwistle & Roberts, 37 West Middle Street, Gettysburg, PA 17325

ESTATE OF ANNE K. MUMMERT, DEC'D

Late of the Borough of Littlestown, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executrix: Holly Mummert Udy, 18704 Mesa Terrace #6, Hagerstown, MD 21742

Attorney: Keith R. Nonemaker, Esq., Guthrie, Nonemaker, Yingst & Hart, LLP, 40 York Street, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF FRANKLIN D. REICHART, DEC'D

Late of Oxford Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Michael D. Reichart, 850 Berlin Road, New Oxford, PA 17350

Attorney: Ann C. Shultis, Esq., Shultis Law, LLC, 1147 Eichelberger Street, Suite F, Hanover, PA 17331

ESTATE OF RONNIE B. STEWARD

Late of Reading Township, Adams County, Pennsylvania

Executor: William B. Steward, 23 Bragg Drive, East Berlin, PA 17316

Attorney: John C. Zepp, III, Esq., P.O. Box 204, 8438 Carlisle Pike, York Springs, PA 17372

Are your clients ready to give back?

ADAMS COUNTY
COMMUNITY FOUNDATION
For Good. For Adams County. For Ever.

When your clients want to talk about what matters to them, are you ready?

Put the **Adams County Community Foundation** on your team.

- Free, no-obligation consultations
- Expertise in philanthropic planning
- Respect for your client relationship
- Support for giving in Adams County, South Central PA and across the U.S.

Contact us at 717-337-0060 or info@adamscountycf.org with questions or to discuss a specific scenario.



Good for your client. Good for Adams County. Good for you.